U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No. (if applicable): DE-SC0023946

Organization Name: Luna Innovations Incorporated

Proposed Action Title: C56-40.W Optical Fiber Based Distributed Radiation Detection

Total DOE Funding/Total Funding: \$199,935.00

Project Description: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

A. Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

Luna is teaming with Dr. Tom Blue from OSU, who will assist with design, modeling and testing of the gamma thermometer in the Ohio State University Research Reactor (OSURR), a 500 kW Materials Testing Reactor. Luna will fabricate the radiation probes and conduct extensive modeling, temperature testing and calibration of the completed probes. In addition, Luna will provide sensors, electronics, data analysis and on-site personnel support for the testing at OSU. Luna has successfully transitioned fiber optic sensing technology from the laboratory to commercial products, and this innovation would be integrated with our existing product line. It is anticipated that the results could have significant commercial potential in the nuclear reactor sensor market.

		100	140
Β.	Would the project proceed without Federal funding?		<

If "yes," use explanation page.

II. Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

Luna and The Ohio State University (OSU) propose to combine their expertise to develop an innovative Optical Fiber Based Distributed Radiation Sensor based on a Gamma Thermometer. Installing a permanent system of sensors for LPRM calibration that do not degrade over time would eliminate the risk of releasing radioactive material caused by inserting and removing the TIPs and would also increase the safety and speed of the calibration process while reducing the cost and complexity of the process.

ble

Vee

DOE	NEPA	Tracking	Number

No

Yes

III. – "	Preliminary	Questions:

A. Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"

If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.

Β.	Is there any potential whatsoever for:	(Provide an explanation for each "Yes"	" response)
----	--	--	-------------

1.	Work to be performed outdoors?		
2.	Major modification of a building interior?		7
3.	Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for		4
	environment, safety, and health?		
4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?		
5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or containinants preexisting in the environment?		V
6.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?		
7.	Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the		
<i>e.</i>	environment?		
8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		\checkmark
	potentially create a significant impact?		
9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collectiv significant impacts could result?	elyL	
10.	Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regardit resource usage?	ng 🗋	2

If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.

IV. Potential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)

Α.	Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and/	or
	disturbances to any of the following resources?	
	No.	

	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitals Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests) Cultural or Historic Resources Important Farmland Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards Class I Air Quality Control Region Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) Navigable Air Space Coastal Zones Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails) Floodplains and/or Wetlands		200000000000000000000000000000000000000
В.	Regul activit	ated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following re ies?	gulated ite	ins or
	13. 14. 15 <i>.</i> 16.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms Noxious Weeds Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local Requirement		

17. Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act. Section 404, greater than one acre)

Page 2 of 4

 \checkmark

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

			00	S	100				Yes	No
	18.		(in excess of		ns)				H	াবাবাবাবাবাবাবাবা
	19.		stos Remova							
	20.		hlorinated bi						Ц	
	21.				essing of Tox	ic Substances			H	
	22.		ical Storage	/Use					Ц	
		Pesticide Use Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions								
	24.			, or Criteria	Pollutant Air	Emissions			Ц	
	25.		Effluents							1
	26.				ter Protection				Ц	
	27.		rground Inje							_
	28.		dous Waste						Ц	
	29.		rground Stor							1
	30.				Mixed Waste					
	31.		tion Exposu						<u> </u>	
	32.		scale Materi							
	33.					lants or Synthe	tic Biology			1
	34.		e Depleting			8				
	35.				n/Sustainability	1				1
	36.		oad Vehicles		121					1
	37.		fety Level 3-							2
	38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet						~		
	Other	Relevar	nt Informatio	n: Would	the proposed	action involve	the followin	a?		
	22 	1.315	57 5	S. S. S.	in W	2 7.5853	25 62		Yes	No
	40.					ority and/or Lov	w Income F	opulations		
	41.				ederal/State F					
	42.					a.g. license/per	mit, funding	g, approval)	H	2
	43.		in a State							যারারারার
	44.		ision of Pub						Ц	4
	45.				ble Resources			199		_
	46.					lanning and Co				2
	47.	Other	Pertinent In	formation	Which Could I	mpact Human	Health or t	he Environment		~
p	licant o	ertificati	on that to th	e best of th	heir knowledge	all information	n provided	on this form is acc	urate:	
									Yes	N
								rmation that DOE		
u						dom of Informa			100	6.5
	Organ	ization				oore/ Man	ager, Co	ontracts & Co	mpliar	nce
	Signat				Moore		Date:			
			and and Call	unainc.c	moc		Phone:	540-961-672	29	
		mo	orem@lu							
	e-mail	in the second	28.5 22	22422200						
	e-mail Option	nal Seco	ondary Appr	oval (Name	e and Title):		Maria			

٧.

Page 3 of 4

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Remainder to be completed by DOE

<u>00</u> A.	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination: DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:
	Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly? X Image: Correctly? Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? Image: Correctly? Image: Correctly? If yes, indicate: CX signed by the NEPA Compliance Officer is filed in ACQ-SD
	Name and Title: Julian Leal, Contract Specialist
	Signature: Julian Leal Date: 2023.08.03 15:02:56 -05'00' Date: 08/03/2023
₿.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested): Yes No
	Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If ves. specify the class(es) of action: B3.6
	Name and Title:Environmental Engineer
	Signature: Jayashree Jayaraj Date: Date: 2023.08.04 16:41:22 -05'00'
C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):
	Name and Title:
	Signature: Date:
D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:
	preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation. 10 CFR \S (410,
X	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.
	Comments/limitations if any:
	Actions are limited to those bounded by the award scope AND covered by the OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY RESEARCH REACTOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REGARDING RENEWAL OF FACILITY LICENSE NO. R-75 (TAC NO. MA7724) and the License itself.
	NEPA Compliance Officer:
	Name:
	Sign ature: Date:

Page 4 of 4

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

For those experiments that monitor radiation in the reactor, Cr-51 will be generated in the nichrome thermocouples. These will be allowed to decay in OSURR's holding pool, and properly disposed of by OSURR. OSU will handle all radiation work, including reactor operation, sensor installation and removal and waste disposal. The low level waste will be disposed of via OSURR's disposal procedures in accordance with the OSURR's NRC License and the OSURR ALARA program.

Current license /permit associated ith the project: The Ohio State University Research Reactor (OSURR) is owned and operated by OSU, a non-profit educational institution, and is licensed by the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Its current license, issued in June 2008, authorizes steady-state 500kW operation for 20 years. All work being performed and material disposal is covered by OSURR's License.

Explanation Page 1

Explanation Page 2