## U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

## NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitati	ion/Award No. (if applicable): DE-SC-0021424		
Organiza	ation Name: University of Kentucky Research Foundation (Lexington, Kentucky)		
Propose	Neutron Scattering Cross Sections: (n,n'), (n,n'gamma), and (n,gamma) Mea	surements	
Total DC	DE Funding/Total Funding: \$197,605 (year1), \$175,000 (year2)		
<i>I.</i> <u>Pr</u>	Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded por Nuclear data, i.e., neutron scattering and attenuation cross sections, along was gamma-ray production rates, are required in many technical fields such as be science, experimental design and analysis, medical treatment and dosimetry and fusion power industries, homeland security, non-proliferation, safeguards interrogation communities. Members of this collaboration have surveyed need documents and participated in numerous workshops to identify measurementhe University of Kentucky Accelerator Laboratory (UKAL) can make signification tributions.	vith asic nucl v, the fiss s, and the eds ts for wh	ion e
B.	. Would the project proceed without Federal funding?	Yes	No ✓

II. Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

If "yes," use explanation page.

The measurements will be performed indoors in the University of Kentucky Accelerator Laboratory, a facility that has existed for approximately 59 years. The facility was specifically constructed to accommodate measurements of the proposed type. http://www.pa.uky.edu/accelerator/

			DOE NEPA Tracking N	lumber					
III.	Pre	liminar	y Questions:						
	A.	Is the	DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes 	No ✓				
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.							
	B.	Is the	ere any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)						
		1.	Work to be performed outdoors?		[Z]				
		2.	Major modification of a building interior?	Ħ	Ä				
		3.	Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health?		\ \ \				
		4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal		✓				
		5.	facilities? Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the		✓				
		6.	environment? The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?		[7]				
		7.	Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the		<b>√</b>				
		8.	environment? The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		✓				
		^	potentially create a significant impact?		<u> </u>				
		9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collective significant impacts could result?	_	<b>✓</b>				
		10.	Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding resource usage?	ng 🏻	✓				
IV.	P <u>ot</u> A.	<u>Envir</u>	Environmental Effects: ( <i>Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response</i> )  conmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes be any of the following resources?	s and/or					
			<u></u>	Yes	No				
		1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats		V V				
		2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators)		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
		3.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)						
		4.	Cultural or Historic Resources	⊢	M				
		5.	Important Farmland	닏	M				
		6. 7	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards	님	띩				
		7. °	Class I Air Quality Control Region	H					
		8. 9.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer) Navigable Air Space	님	爿				
		9. 10.	Coastal Zones	H	밝				
		11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)	H	崇				
		12.	Floodplains and/or Wetlands	Ш	V				
	B.								
		<u>activities?</u>							
		13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments		$\overline{\checkmark}$				
		14.	Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms	Ц	☑				
		15.	Noxious Weeds	닏	V V V				
		16.	Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local Requirement	Ш					
		17.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)		$\checkmark$				

DOE NEPA	Tracking Number	

B.		Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or activities? (continued)				
	18. Nois 19. Asbe 20. Poly 21. Impe 22. Che 23. Pes 24. Haz 25. Liqu 26. Spill 27. Und 28. Haz 29. Und 30. Rad 31. Rad 32. Nan 33. Gen 34. Ozo 35. Gree 36. Off-l 37. Bios 38. Res	se (in excess of regulations) estos Removal chlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) ort, Manufacture, or Processing mical Storage/Use ticide Use ardous, Toxic, or Criteria Polluta id Effluents Prevention/Surface Water Prot erground Injection ardous Waste erground Storage Tanks ioactive or Radioactive Mixed W iation Exposure oscale Materials etically Engineered Microorgani ne Depleting Substances enhouse Gas Generation/Sustai Road Vehicles afety Level 3-4 Laboratory earch on Human Subjects or oth lity tootprint exceeds 5,000 Squ	ant Air Emissions ection  /aste isms/Plants or Synthei inability ner Vertebrate Animals		Yes	
C.	40. Disp 41. Exis 42. Invo 43. Acti 44. Exp 45. Dep 46. Sub	ant Information: Would the property proportionate Nearby Presence of ting, Modified, or New Federal/Solvement of Another Federal Age on in a State with NEPA-type lawarsion of Public Utilities/Service letion of a Non-Renewable Resipect to an Existing Institutional Ver Pertinent Information Which Control of the New York Pederal Age of the New	of Minority and/or Low State Permits ency (e.g. license/perr w es ources Vork Planning and Co	nit, funding, approval)	Yes	No V V V V V V V
Doe	es this disclos uld not be obli		e business, or other ex e Freedom of Informa m C. Carter, Ass	xempt information that DOE	<u>rate</u> : Yes □	No ✓
	Signature: e-mail:	pa@uky.edu	ed by Kim C. Carrer, MPA, CBA, CPRA, CFRA, C. Carter, MPA, CRA, CPRA, CRA, CPRA, CPR	<sub>Date:_</sub> 3/16/2022 _ <sub>Phone:_</sub> _859-257-942	0	
B.		condary Approval (Name and Ti	tle):			
	Signature:_			_Date:		
	e-mail:			_Phone:		

V.

DOE NEPA	Tracking	Number	

## Remainder to be completed by DOE

I.	DOI	<u> Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination</u> :					
	A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Specialist:	Yes	No			
		Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly?  Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply?					
		If yes, indicate:					
		Name and Title: Brad Switzer, Contract Specialist					
		Signature: BRADLEY SWITZER Digitally signed by BRADLEY SWITZER Date: 2022.03.16 11:28:14-05:00' Date: 3/16/2022					
	B.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):	.,				
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D to Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))?  If yes, specify the class(es) of action:	Yes	No			
		Name and Title:					
		Signature:Date:					
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):					
		Name and Title:					
		Signature:Date:					
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:					
		preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regula .410.	ition, 10 CFR §				
	X	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.					
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.					
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.	Recommend				
		Comments/limitations if any:					
		NEPA Compliance Officer:					
		Name:					
		Signature: Date:					

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

IV.B.30 The laboratory utilizes tritium for neutron production as authorized by the University's Radiation Safety Committee, which operates under a Broad Scope license. Procedures reduce and contain contamination. Swipe tests are performed. Small quantities of mixed waste are generated as gloves, swipes, and cleaning wipes. Waste is disposed of by the Radiological Safety Program, which also services the University's Medical Center.

IV.B.31 The project involves using a positive-ion accelerator to generate neutrons and gamma rays. There are no hazards from the particle beam itself. The facility was constructed with thick, high-density concrete walls and lockable spaces to adhere to ALARA principles and minimize exposure to the users. Users receive radiation safety training prior to working in the facility. All personnel are issued appropriate dosimetry by the Radiation Safety Office. Personnel do not normally receive measurable dose