

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)
OFFICE OF SCIENCE (SC)
BIOLOGICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (BER)**



**PILOT STUDY: OBSERVING MARINE CLOUDS AND AEROSOLS
FROM SHIPS**

**DOE NATIONAL LABORATORY PROGRAM ANNOUNCEMENT NUMBER:
LAB 24-3330**

ANNOUNCEMENT TYPE: INITIAL

Announcement Issue Date:	May 24, 2024
Submission Deadline for Letter of Intent:	July 2, 2024 at 5:00 PM ET A Letter of Intent is required Letters of Intent must be submitted by an authorized institutional representative
Letter of Intent Response Date	July 9, 2024 at 5:00 PM ET
Submission Deadline for Proposals:	July 24, 2024 at 5:00 PM ET

Table of Contents

UPDATES AND REMINDERS.....	I
SECTION I – DOE NATIONAL LABORATORY OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION	1
SECTION II – AWARD INFORMATION.....	7
II.A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT	7
II.B. ESTIMATED FUNDING	7
II.C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE	7
II.D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS.....	8
II.E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE	8
II.F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	8
II.G. TYPE OF PROPOSAL	8
SECTION III – ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION	9
III.A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS AND TOPICS.....	9
III.B. COST SHARING.....	9
III.C. ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.....	9
III.D. LIMITATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS.....	9
SECTION IV – PROPOSAL AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION	10
IV.A. ADDRESS TO REQUEST PROPOSAL PACKAGE	10
IV.B. LETTER OF INTENT AND PRE-PROPOSAL	10
IV.C. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND CONTENT.....	12
IV.D. SUBMISSIONS FROM SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS	21
IV.E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES	21
SECTION V - PROPOSAL REVIEW INFORMATION	23
V.A. CRITERIA	23
V.B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS.....	25
V.C. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES	25
SECTION VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION	26
VI.A. AWARD NOTICES	26
VI.B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND POLICY REQUIREMENTS	26
VI.C. REPORTING	26
SECTION VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS.....	27
VII.A. QUESTIONS.....	27
VII.B. AGENCY CONTACTS	27

VI.C. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL HOTLINE:.....	27
SECTION VIII – SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL.....	28
VIII.A. HOW-TO GUIDES.....	28
VIII.B. POLICY PROVISIONS.....	41

UPDATES AND REMINDERS

RECOMMENDATION

The Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science (SC) encourages you to register in all systems as soon as possible. You are also encouraged to submit letters of intent and proposals before the deadline.

LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF SUBMISSIONS PER INSTITUTION

Applicant institutions are limited to no more than one letter of intent or proposal as the lead institution.

PROMOTING INCLUSIVE AND EQUITABLE RESEARCH (PIER) PLAN

All new and renewal proposals must provide a Promoting Inclusive and Equitable Research (PIER) Plan as an appendix to the research narrative. Please read the instructions in [Section IV](#) and the associated review criteria in [Section V](#).

INTERAGENCY FORMATS FOR CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT AND BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES

Interagency common instructions for preparing current and pending support and biographical sketches are being developed. The Science Experts Network Curriculum Vitae (SciENcv) system at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/> will be updated to support the forthcoming common instructions and formats. The fillable PDFs provided by the National Science Foundation are no longer available. When interagency common formats and instructions are promulgated, their use will be accepted. See <https://new.nsf.gov/funding/senior-personnel-documents> for more information. SC strongly encourages all researchers to use the online SciENcv system to ensure that their documents are prepared in the appropriate format with the least inconvenience.

INDIVIDUALS WHO SHOULD NOT SERVE AS MERIT REVIEWERS

Follow the updated instructions in [Section VIII](#) and consider the use of the Collaborator Template available at <https://science.osti.gov/grants/Policy-and-Guidance/Agreement-Forms>. Do not include this list as part of the biographical sketch.

SC STATEMENT OF COMMITMENT

The DOE SC is fully and unconditionally committed to fostering safe, diverse, equitable, and inclusive work, research, and funding environments that value mutual respect and personal integrity. SC is committed to advancing belonging, accessibility, justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion across the portfolio of activities we sponsor. SC's effective stewardship and promotion of safe, accessible, diverse, and inclusive workplaces that value and celebrate the diversity of people, ideas, cultures, and educational backgrounds across the country and that foster a sense of belonging in our scientific community is foundational to delivering on our mission. We are committed to promoting people from all backgrounds, including individuals and communities

that were historically underrepresented and minoritized in science, technology, engineering, and math (STEM) fields and the activities we sponsor in recognition of our responsibility to serve the public. We also recognize that harnessing a broad range of views, expertise, and experiences drives scientific and technological innovation and enables the SC community to push the frontiers of scientific knowledge for U.S. prosperity and security. Discrimination and harassment undermine SC's ability to achieve its mission by reducing productivity, discouraging, or inhibiting talent retention and career advancement, and weakening the integrity of the SC enterprise overall. SC does not tolerate discrimination or harassment of any kind, including sexual or non-sexual harassment, bullying, intimidation, violence, threats of violence, retaliation, or other disruptive behavior at institutions receiving SC funding or other locations where activities funded by SC are carried out. All applicants and collaborators should familiarize themselves with the SC Statement of Commitment available at <https://science.osti.gov/SW-DEI/SC-Statement-of-Commitment>.

UPDATING YOUR PAMS PROFILE

All applicants are encouraged to update their profiles in the PAMS website at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov> regularly, at least annually, to ensure SC has your most up to date information. The PAMS profile now requires that individuals provide responses to the demographic related fields. SC strongly encourages personnel at applicant and awardee institutions, including Principal Investigators (PIs), Co-PIs, and other Key Personnel, to provide their demographic information. By providing your demographic information, you are assisting with SC's continued commitment to advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion in its business practices. Alternatively, for information you wish not to disclose, please select, "Do not wish to provide." Your individual demographic information will not be shared with peer reviewers and the information in your PAMS profile is protected by the requirements established in the Federal Privacy Act of 1974. Aggregate, anonymized demographic information may be shared with confidential review committees who are charged to evaluate the quality and efficacy of SC's business practices. For example, summary statistics of all applicants to or award selections from a particular SC FOA may be reviewed by a Committee of Visitors.

PDF GENERATION

The research narrative in a proposal must be one single machine-readable PDF file that contains the DOE Title Page, project narrative, all required appendices, and other attachments. This single PDF file may not be scanned from a printed document and must be uploaded in PAMS. This must be a plain PDF file consisting of text, numbers, and images without editable fields, signatures, passwords, redactions, or other advanced features available in some PDF-compatible software. The research narrative will be read by SC staff using the full version of Adobe Acrobat: Please ensure that the narrative is readable in Acrobat. If combining multiple files into one research narrative, ensure that a PDF portfolio or binder is not created. If creating PDF files using any software other than Adobe Acrobat, please use a "Print to PDF" or equivalent process to ensure that all content is visible in the research narrative. Once a research narrative has been assembled, please submit the combined research narrative file through a "Print to PDF" or equivalent process to ensure that all content is visible in one PDF file that can be viewed in Adobe Acrobat. Review your submission to ensure that blank pages are not present.

Checklist for Avoiding Common Errors:

Item	Issue
Proposals	Submitted in PAMS. Do not submit proposals in Grants.gov or FedConnect. Do not attempt to submit a proposal unless you are affiliated with a DOE/NNSA National Laboratory.
Letters of Intent (LOIs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - LOIs must be submitted in PAMS by an authorized institutional representative - Do not submit the LOI in Grants.gov. - Follow the instructions in Section IV for the preparation of an LOI.
Page Limits	Strictly followed throughout proposal, including particular attention to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Research Narrative - Appendix 2 Narrative, if any - Biosketches - Data Management Plan(s) (DMPs) - Letter(s) of Recommendation, if any
Personally Identifiable Information	None present in the proposal
Research Narrative	Composed of one PDF file including all appendices
Project Summary / Abstract	Name(s) of applicant, PI(s), PI's institutional affiliation(s), Co-Investigator(s), Co-Investigator's institutional affiliation(s)
DOE Title Page	Follow instructions closely
Budget	Use current negotiated indirect cost and fringe benefit rates
Budget Justification (attached to budget)	Justify all requested costs
Biographical Sketches	Follow page limits strictly and do not include list of collaborators.
Current and Pending Support	Ensure complete listing of all activities, regardless of source of funding.
List of Individuals who Should not Serve as Merit Reviews	Emailed to the program manager by LOI due date Provided as separate file in proposal
Data Management Plans (DMP)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If referring to an experiment's DMP, describe the relationship to the proposed research - Include a DMP even if no experimental data is expected
Promoting Inclusive and Equitable Research (PIER) Plan	PIER Plans are a new requirement for new and renewal proposals.

<p>Institutions capable of being funded through the DOE Field Work System</p>	<p>Do not create new institutions in the PAMS website for any DOE/NNSA National Laboratory or DOE Site. Submissions will be evaluated for technical merit, but any resulting funding, work, or awards will be made under the laboratory or site's contract with DOE. No separate financial assistance awards will be made. No administrative provisions of this Announcement will apply to the laboratory or any laboratory subcontractor.</p>
---	--

Section I – DOE NATIONAL LABORATORY OPPORTUNITY DESCRIPTION

GENERAL INQUIRIES ABOUT THIS ANNOUNCEMENT SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO:

Technical/Scientific Program Contacts:

Dr. Shaima Nasiri
Shaima.Nasiri@science.doe.gov

Dr. Sally McFarlane
Sally.McFarlane@science.doe.gov

SUMMARY

The DOE SC program in Biological and Environmental Research (BER) hereby announces its interest in receiving proposals for the development of a pilot study to measure marine aerosols, clouds, and other environmental parameters of interest from commercial ocean vessels. Oceans cover 71% of the Earth's surface and the responses of marine clouds to climate change are a critical uncertainty in Earth system models. More observations of marine aerosols, clouds, greenhouse gases, and other atmospheric properties are needed to evaluate and improve Earth system models. The ability to leverage the vast number of commercial vessels that regularly sail the world's oceans would provide opportunities to greatly expand the reach of shipborne observations, but significant challenges must be addressed before a sustained atmospheric observing program on commercial vessels would be feasible. This announcement solicits proposals led by DOE/NNSA National Laboratories (including academic or industry partners, as appropriate) that focus on the identification, development, and pilot deployment of a small suite of instruments that can be operated autonomously on commercial vessels to address BER science questions.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Program Objective

The mission of the Biological and Environmental Research (BER) program (<https://science.osti.gov/ber>) is to support transformative science and scientific user facilities to achieve a predictive understanding of complex biological, earth, and environmental systems for energy and infrastructure security, independence, and prosperity. Within BER, Atmospheric System Research (<https://science.osti.gov/ber/Research/eessd/Atmospheric-System-Research-Program>) is focused on quantifying the interactions among aerosols, clouds, precipitation, and radiation to improve understanding of key cloud, aerosol, precipitation, and radiation processes that affect the Earth's radiative balance and hydrological cycle, especially processes that limit the predictive ability of regional and global models.

In 2018, BER hosted a workshop to facilitate input and discussion from the scientific community on the highest-priority opportunities for BER observational capabilities to best address the BER

goal of improving the predictability of Earth system models ([Atmospheric Radiation Measurement \(ARM\) User Facility ARM Mobile Facility Workshop Report](#)). One of the key areas identified in that workshop were marine regions. As discussed in the workshop report, “Approximately 80% of all low clouds on Earth occur over the oceans, and uncertainty in how marine low clouds are expected to change with increasing greenhouse gases remains the largest source of uncertainty in cloud feedback and climate sensitivity. In addition, although most anthropogenic aerosols originate from emissions over land, models show that a disproportionately large fraction of the global aerosol indirect forcing is associated with aerosol-cloud interactions over remote marine regions. Earth system models suffer from major biases in their representation of clouds, precipitation, and aerosols in marine regions. There is a great need for surface and in situ observations of clouds, aerosols, and precipitation in marine regions, but logistical and measurement challenges mean that such observations are mostly restricted to relatively short campaigns with research vessels and/or aircraft.”

Targeted field campaigns on dedicated research vessels allow deployment of complex instruments to particular marine regions of interest to address important science questions. However, these complex instruments usually require dedicated scientists and technicians to operate them for high quality measurements. The expense of these dedicated campaigns and the small number of available research vessels limit the amount of data that can be collected. Development of suites of autonomously operating instrumentation that could be deployed on ships without dedicated scientists/technicians would enable scientists to leverage the vast number of commercial vessels that regularly sail the world’s oceans and would provide opportunities to greatly expand the reach of shipborne observations.

A pilot study to identify and address the challenges in deploying unattended autonomously operating instruments on commercial vessels for atmospheric observations would provide important information for assessing the feasibility and scientific value of developing a sustained observational program. In March 2024, BER organized a workshop to obtain information from the research community on the highest scientific priorities, technical challenges and potential solutions, and opportunities for deploying instrumentation to measure marine aerosols, clouds, greenhouse gases, and other atmospheric variables on commercial ocean vessels. The draft workshop report [Observing Marine Aerosols and Clouds from Ships 2024 Workshop Report](#) identified key logistical challenges and potential solutions; opportunities for coordination with existing efforts; high-priority feasible instrumentation for unattended autonomous operations; scientific questions that could be potentially addressed with these measurements; and key elements that would be necessary for a successful pilot program.

Pilot study

Given the significant challenges that must be overcome to develop a sustainable observational program on commercial ships, BER is soliciting proposals for a pilot study to develop and deploy a small suite of autonomously operating instruments on a commercial ship to address BER science questions. The primary goals of the pilot study are to demonstrate feasibility of the selected set of instrumentation for unattended operations on ships, develop data management procedures suitable for the measurements, and illustrate the potential of the measurements for addressing BER-relevant science questions.

Proposals must include:

- A vision for a sustained observational program of unattended autonomous measurements of marine aerosols, clouds, and other environmental factors on commercial ships to address BER science questions;
- A research plan and up to 3-year timeline for conducting a pilot study to test and assess the feasibility and approach in support of the observational program vision;
- Discussion of collaboration, leveraging, or coordination with existing activities; and
- A comprehensive Data Management Plan (DMP) that spans quality control, data processing, data flow, data archiving, and data distribution.

The proposed pilot study must include:

- Metrics of success for the pilot study demonstration;
- A set of specific BER-relevant science questions;
- A defined set of planned instrumentation and measurements that are clearly linked to the set of specific BER-relevant science questions;
- Any necessary instrument development, hardening, packaging into modules, operational configuration, and phased testing of autonomous operations;
- Development of instrument maintenance and calibration processes suitable for a sustained observational program (i.e., that do not require skilled technicians to operate/maintain the instruments onboard the vessel);
- Demonstration of unattended autonomous deployment of instrumentation on a non-research marine vessel for at least a one-week period;
- Analysis of the proposed observations and evaluation of their applicability and utility for addressing the set of BER-relevant science questions;
- A publicly available pilot study report that summarizes instrument development, data management processes, best practices, and lessons learned; and
- Communication and engagement with the broader scientific community.

Applicants may consider phased approaches for the pilot study, in which a small set of instruments is initially deployed, and additional more complex instruments are added in later phases. The pilot study may focus on a smaller subset of science questions than those described in the vision for a sustained observational program.

Coordination with existing DOE or other agency activities

Applicants are encouraged to coordinate with and/or leverage expertise on instrumentation, data quality control, data processing, and data archiving from existing DOE projects or facilities (or other agency efforts), where appropriate rather than developing new or stand-alone capabilities. Funding for the personnel/effort to support these activities should be included in the budget of this application.

Applicants should not assume that instrumentation from the Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) facility will be available for loan or deployment to support the proposed

pilot project. Applicants may propose to use existing equipment owned by their institutions or to purchase new equipment to support the pilot project.

Participation in ASR Meetings

To ensure that the program meets the broadest needs of the research community and the specific needs of BER, successful applicants are expected to attend the annual science team meeting and to participate as ASR science team members in the appropriate working group(s) relevant to their efforts.

Costs for participation in the annual Joint ARM User Facility and ASR Principal Investigators Meeting should be included in the budget. Estimated costs should be based on one one-week meeting in Washington, D.C.

Teaming Arrangements

Teams of multiple institutions are invited to submit a proposal under this Program Announcement. Any multi-institutional team must be led by a DOE/NNSA National Laboratory

1. Members of multi-institutional teams that are not DOE/NNSA National Laboratories must be proposed as subawards under the proposal from the lead DOE/NNSA National Laboratory.
2. Members of multi-institutional teams that are DOE/NNSA National Laboratories must be proposed using the collaborative proposal process described below.

Limitation on Submissions

Applicant institutions are limited to no more than one letter of intent or proposal as the lead institution.

Open Science

SC is dedicated to promoting the values of openness in Federally-supported scientific research, including, but not limited to, ensuring that research may be reproduced and that the results of Federally-supported research are made available to other researchers. These objectives may be met through any number of mechanisms including, but not limited to, data access plans, data sharing agreements, the use of archives and repositories, and the use of various licensing schemes.

The use of the phrase “open-source” does not refer to any particular licensing arrangement, but is to be understood as encompassing any arrangement that furthers the objective of openness.

To be in compliance with the data policy of the U.S. Global Change Research Program of full and open access to global change research data and the [Office of Science Statement on Digital Data Management](#), the required DMP must include a description of the researcher's data sharing plans if the proposed research involves the acquisition of data in the course of the research that

would be of use to the climate research and assessment communities. This includes data from extensive, long-term observations and experiments and from long-term model simulations of climate that would be costly to duplicate. The DMP must describe plans for sharing the data that are to be acquired in the course of the proposed research, particularly how the acquired data will be preserved, documented, and quality assured, and where it will be archived for access by others. Data of potentially broad use in climate change research and assessments should be archived, when possible, in data repositories for subsequent dissemination. Additional BER requirements and guidance for digital data management is available at <https://science.osti.gov/ber/Funding-Opportunities/Digital-Data-Management>.

Multi-Institutional Teams

SC uses two different mechanisms to support teams of multiple institutions.

COLLABORATIVE PROPOSALS

Teams of multiple institutions may submit collaborative proposals for DOE/NNSA National Laboratories. Each submitted proposal in such a team must indicate that it is part of a collaborative project/group. Every partner institution must submit its own proposal through its own processes. Each multi-institutional team can have only one lead institution. Each proposal within the multi-institutional team, including the narrative, starting with the title page, and all required appendices and attachments, must be identical with the following exceptions:

- Each proposal must contain a correct PAMS cover page for the submitting institution only.
- Each proposal must contain a unique budget corresponding to the expenditures for that proposal's submitting institution only.
- Each proposal must contain a unique budget justification corresponding to the expenditures for that proposal's submitting institution only.
- Each proposal must include a list for the Identification of Merit Review Conflicts for the submitting institution's senior/key personnel and its subawards' senior/key personnel.
- Each proposal must include the biographical sketches and current and pending support from that institution's senior/key personnel. The applicant leading the multi-institutional team must include biographical sketches and current and pending support from each institution's senior/key personnel.

Our intent is to create from the various proposals associated with a multi-institutional team one document for merit review that consists of the common, identical materials combined with a set of detailed budgets from the partner institutions. Thus, it is very important that every research narrative in the multi-institutional team be identical, while each team member must submit its own system-generated cover page, budget, and budget justification.

SUBAWARDS

Multi-institutional teams may submit one proposal from a designated lead institution with all non-DOE/NNSA National Laboratory team members proposed as subawards.

Other Federal agencies and another Federal agency's FFRDCs¹ may not be proposed as team members, either as subawardees or using the collaborative proposal process.

DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN COLLABORATIVE PROPOSALS AND SUBAWARDS

The following points of advice to proposers may be helpful:

1. Both collaborative proposals and proposed subawards are methods by which multiple institutions can work together to reach the scientific objectives described in this Announcement. Choose the appropriate structure based on the institutions being proposed.
 - a. Team members that are not DOE/NNSA National Laboratories must be proposed as subawards under the lead DOE/NNSA National Laboratory's proposal.
 - b. Team members that are DOE/NNSA National Laboratories must be proposed using the collaborative proposal process.
2. A single multi-institutional team may thus require the use of both subawards and collaborative proposals.

¹ An authoritative list of all Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) may be found at <https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdelist/>

Section II – AWARD INFORMATION

II.A. TYPE OF AWARD INSTRUMENT

DOE anticipates awarding laboratory work authorizations under this DOE National Laboratory Program Announcement.

Any awards made under this Announcement will be subject to the provisions of the contract between DOE and the awardee National Laboratory.

DOE will consider funding multi-institution teams submitted as collaborative proposals, in which each institution must submit its own proposal with an identical common research narrative, under this Announcement. Multi-institutional teams may also apply using a prime and subaward model with one proposal submitted by the lead institution. Team members that are not DOE/NNSA National Laboratories must be proposed as subawards under the lead DOE/NNSA National Laboratory's proposal. Team members that are DOE/NNSA National Laboratories must be proposed using the collaborative proposal process.

II.B. ESTIMATED FUNDING

DOE anticipates that a total of \$3 million in current fiscal year funds will be used to support awards under this Announcement.

DOE is under no obligation to pay for any costs associated with preparation or submission of proposals. DOE reserves the right to fund, in whole or in part, any, all, or none of the proposals submitted in response to this Announcement.

II.C. MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM AWARD SIZE

(See B. Estimated Funding section above.)

A multi-institutional team, whether applied for as a prime applicant with subawards or as collaborative proposals, is limited to a total request of no more than \$3,000,000.

Ceiling

\$3,000,000 total

The award ceiling applies to the total budget, regardless of whether the application is from a single institution or from a multi-institutional team.

Floor

\$2,750,000 total

II.D. EXPECTED NUMBER OF AWARDS

(See B. Estimated Funding Section above.)

One award is expected.

II.E. ANTICIPATED AWARD SIZE

(See B. Estimated Funding Section above.)

It is anticipated that award size will be approximately \$3,000,000.

II.F. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

(See B. Estimated Funding section above.)

DOE anticipates making awards with a project period of two to three years.

Continuation funding (funding for the second and subsequent budget periods) is contingent on: (1) availability of funds appropriated by Congress and future year budget authority; (2) progress towards meeting the objectives of the approved proposal; (3) submission of required reports; and (4) compliance with the terms and conditions of the award.

II.G. TYPE OF PROPOSAL

DOE will accept new DOE National Laboratory Proposals under this DOE National Laboratory Announcement. Please only submit a PAMS lab technical proposal in response to this Announcement; do not submit a DOE Field Work Proposal (FWP) at this time. SC will request FWPs later from those selected for funding consideration under this Announcement.

Section III – ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

III.A. ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS AND TOPICS

This is a DOE/NNSA National Laboratory-only Announcement. FFRDCs from other Federal agencies are not eligible to submit in response to this Program Announcement.

III.B. COST SHARING

Cost sharing is not required.

III.C. ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS

Eligible individuals with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as a Principal Investigator (PI) are invited to work with their organizations to develop a proposal. Individuals from underrepresented groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply.

III.D. LIMITATIONS ON SUBMISSIONS

Applicant institutions are limited to no more than one letter of intent or proposal as the lead institution.

Should DOE receive submissions in excess of the applicable limits, DOE reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to request additional or clarifying information to ascertain the institution's intended submissions. Otherwise, DOE will consider the latest received submissions to be the institution's intended submissions.

- Letters of intent in excess of the limited number of submissions will be discouraged.
- Proposals in excess of the limited number of submissions will be declined without review.

LIMITATIONS ON PI

Individuals receiving less than half of their salary and benefits from a DOE/NNSA National Laboratory may not be named as the PI in a proposal under this Announcement, regardless of any arrangement between the Laboratory and another institution.

Section IV – PROPOSAL AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

IV.A. ADDRESS TO REQUEST PROPOSAL PACKAGE

Proposal submission instructions are available in this Announcement on the DOE SC Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS). Screenshots showing the steps in DOE National Laboratory proposal submission are available in the PAMS Help materials, accessible by navigating to <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov> and clicking on the “PAMS Help” link.

Proposals submitted outside of PAMS will not be accepted.

IV.B. LETTER OF INTENT AND PRE-PROPOSAL

IV.B.1. Letter of Intent

LETTER OF INTENT DUE DATE

The LOI due date is printed on the cover of this Announcement.

RESPONSE DATE

The response date is printed on the cover of this Announcement. Applicants who have not received a response regarding the status of their LOI by this date are responsible for contacting the program manager to confirm this status.

A Letter of Intent is required and must be submitted by the date indicated on the cover of this Announcement.

If a multi-institutional team is submitting collaborative proposals, only the lead institution should submit an LOI.

The LOI is to help in planning the review and the selection of potential reviewers for the proposal. The LOI must include, at the top of the cover page, the following information:

Title of LOI

Principal Investigator Name, Job Title

Institution

PI Phone Number, PI Email Address

Announcement Number: Include the Number indicated on the cover of this Announcement

- A list of all known senior/key personnel at the applicant institution and all team member institutions
- A list of all known unfunded collaborators and their institutions

The material listed here defines the minimum acceptable information on a cover page. Additional information may be provided at the applicant’s discretion.

- The cover page must be followed by a clear and concise description of the objectives and technical approach of the proposed research.
- The description of the proposed research may not exceed **two pages**, when printed using standard letter-size (8.5-inch x 11-inch) paper with 1-inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right). The body text font must not be smaller than 11-point. Figures and references, if included, must fit within the **two-page** limit. The cover page does not count toward the two-page limit.

If a multi-institutional team is being proposed, provide the following information on a separate page. This information will not count toward the page limitation.

- List all institutions by name with each institution’s PI on the same line.
- Indicate the lead PI who will be the point of contact and coordinator for the combined research activity.
- Include a table modeled on the following chart providing summary budget information from all institutions. Provide the total costs of the budget request in each year for each institution and totals for all rows and columns.

	Name	Institution	Year 1 Budget	Year 2 Budget	Year 3 Budget	Total Budget
Lead PI						
Institutional PI						
Institutional PI						
Institutional PI						

Example budget table (\$ in thousands)

In addition, the LOI must include a listing of senior/key personnel and a listing of individuals who should not serve as merit reviewers of a subsequent proposal (i.e., conflict of interest information for senior/key personnel). Detailed instructions for how to craft such a listing are provided in [Section VIII](#) of this Announcement. **Note that the listing of individuals who should not serve as merit reviewers is rarely empty because the instructions contain mandatory inclusions requirements.** This listing will not count toward the LOI’s page limit. **The list of individuals should be combined into a single Excel spreadsheet and sent via email to shaima.nasiri@science.doe.gov by the LOI due date.**

The LOI may include a list of suggested reviewers (including email address and organizational affiliation) who they believe are especially well qualified to review the proposal. DOE is under no obligation to consider any suggested reviewers.

LOI REVIEW

Those LOIs that are encouraged will be used to help SC begin planning for the proposal peer review process. SC’s intent in discouraging submission of certain proposals is to save the time and effort of applicants in preparing and submitting proposals not responsive to this Announcement.

The PI will be automatically notified when the LOI is encouraged or discouraged. The DOE SC Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) will send an email to the PI from PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov, and the status of the LOI will be updated at the PAMS website <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. Notifications are sent as soon as the decisions to encourage or discourage are finalized.

LOI SUBMISSION

LOIs are created in the software system of your choice and must be submitted electronically through the DOE SC Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) website <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. You cannot draft or edit an LOI in PAMS. Do not submit an LOI through [FedConnect](#) or [Grants.gov](#).

LOIs may only be submitted by a user at the PI's institution with the "Submit to DOE" privilege in PAMS. A PI may draft a LOI but will only be able to submit the LOI for institutional countersignature.

Applicants are strongly encouraged to inform their DOE Program Manager if teaming arrangements, proposed personnel, topics, or the anticipated title change between submitting the LOI and when a proposal is submitted, to ensure that their proposal is properly linked to their LOI and that reviewers are properly assigned to the proposal.

Detailed instructions about how to submit an LOI are in [Section VIII](#) of this Announcement.

IV.B.2. Pre-proposal

Not applicable.

IV.C. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION AND CONTENT

IV.C.1. Summary of Proposal Contents

Each DOE National Laboratory proposal will contain the following sections:

- A Cover Page, entered into PAMS as structured data using the on-screen form
- Budget, entered into PAMS as structured data using the PAMS budget form
- Abstract (one page), entered into PAMS as a separate pdf
- Budget justification, entered into PAMS as a separate pdf
- Proposal, combined into a single pdf containing the following information:
 - Proposal Title Page
 - Table of Contents
 - Project Narrative (main technical portion of the proposal, including background/introduction, proposed research and methods, timetable of activities, and responsibilities of key project personnel)
 - Appendix 1: Biographical Sketch(es)
 - Appendix 2: Current and Pending Support

- Appendix 3: Bibliography and References Cited
- Appendix 4: Facilities and Other Resources
- Appendix 5: Equipment
- Appendix 6: Data Management Plan
- Appendix 7: Promoting Inclusive and Equitable Research (PIER) Plan
- Appendix 8: Other Attachments (optional)
- Collaborator Information

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

Completed proposals must be submitted into the DOE SC Portfolio Analysis and Management System (PAMS) at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov>.

Important Instructions to the Sponsored Research Office of Submitting Institutions: SC requires that you create one single machine readable PDF file that contains the DOE Title Page, project narrative, biographical sketch, current and pending support, bibliography and references cited, facilities and other resources, equipment, data management plan, and other attachments. This single PDF file may not be scanned from a printed document and must be uploaded in PAMS. This must be a plain PDF file consisting of text, numbers, and images without editable fields, signatures, passwords, redactions, or other advanced features available in some PDF-compatible software. Do not use PDF portfolios or binders. The research narrative will be read by SC staff using the full version of Adobe Acrobat: Please ensure that the narrative is readable in Acrobat. If combining multiple files into one research narrative, ensure that a PDF portfolio or binder is not created. If creating PDF files using any software other than Adobe Acrobat, please use a “Print to PDF” or equivalent process to ensure that all content is visible in the research narrative. Once a research narrative has been assembled, please submit the combined research narrative file through a “Print to PDF” or equivalent process to ensure that all content is visible in one PDF file that can be viewed in Adobe Acrobat.

WARNING: The PAMS website at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov> will permit you to edit a previously submitted proposal in the time between your submission and the deadline. If you choose to edit, doing so will remove your previously submitted version from consideration. If you are still editing at the time of the deadline, you will not have a valid submission. Please pay attention to the deadline.

PROPOSAL DUE DATE

The proposal due date is printed on the cover of this Announcement.

LETTERS

Letters from collaborators or from institutions providing access to data, models, software, equipment and/or facilities may be appended to your research narrative and are not considered part of the research narrative’s page limit. Please ensure that letters from collaborators or from institutions providing access to data, models, software, equipment and/or facilities only describe the nature of the collaboration or the access to data, models, software, equipment and/or

facilities: Letters of support or recommendation are not allowed in applications under this Announcement.

All letters may be addressed “To Whom It May Concern:”.

Letters of collaboration for unfunded or funded collaborations should be placed in Appendix 6 (Other Attachments). Each letter of collaboration may contain two and only two sentences and must use the following format:

Dear <Principal Investigator Name>:

If your application entitled, “<Application Name>,” is selected for funding under the Announcement Name, it is my intent to collaborate in this research by <Complete Sentence With a Very Short Description of What the Collaborator Offers to Do or Provide>.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate.

Sincerely,

<Collaborator’s Name and Signature Block>

IV.C.2. Abstract

The project summary/abstract is a summary of the proposed activity suitable for distribution to the public and sufficient to permit potential reviewers to identify conflicts of interest. It must be a self-contained document. The project summary/abstract must be comprised of

- A cover sheet containing the name of the applicant, the project title, the PI and the PI’s institutional affiliation, and any coinvestigators or unfunded collaborators and their institutional affiliations
- This information must be followed by a statement of the project’s objectives, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, and the potential impact of the project (i.e., benefits, outcomes).
- The description of the proposed research may not exceed one pages when printed using standard letter-size (8.5-inch x 11 -inch) paper with 1-inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right). The body text font must not be smaller than 11-point. Figures and references, if included, must fit within the one-page limit.

A sample is provided below:

Project Title
A. Smith, Lead Institution (Principal Investigator) A. Brown, Institution 2 (Co-Investigator) A. Jones, Institution 3 (Co-Investigator) A. Williams, Institution 3 (unfunded collaborator)

Text of abstract (no more than one page, excluding Project Title and list of investigators)

The narrative portion of the project summary must not exceed one page when printed using standard letter-size (8.5-inch x 11-inch) paper with 1-inch margins (top, bottom, left and right) with body text font not smaller than 11-point. To attach a Project Summary/Abstract, click “Add Attachment.”

If an application is recommended for award, the project summary will be used in preparing a public abstract about the award. Award abstracts and titles form a Government document that describes the project and justifies the expenditure of Federal funds in light of the DOE and SC mission statements at <https://energy.gov/mission> and <https://science.osti.gov/about/>.

- Do not include any proprietary or sensitive business information.
- DOE may use the abstract to prepare public reports about supported research.

IV.C.3. Budget and Justification

The budget must be submitted into PAMS using the PAMS budget form.

Budgets are required for the entire project period. A budget form should be completed for each budget period of the award, and a cumulative budget form for the entire project period will be populated by PAMS. PAMS will calculate the cumulative budget totals for you.

A written justification of each budget item is to follow the budget pages. The budget justification should be placed in a separate, single pdf document and attached on the appropriate screen in PAMS. Further instructions regarding the budget and justification are given below and in the PAMS software.

While subawards are listed in PAMS as “optional,” that is because the presence of a subaward is optional. If a subaward exists, its budgetary information is required. The standard subaward budget form allows for a maximum of 10 subawards. If a proposal contains more than 10 subawards, please present the budgets for the eleventh and subsequent subawards in a tabular format, followed by the appropriate budget justification, as a part of the lead applicant’s budget justification.

IV.C.4. Proposal

DOE TITLE PAGE
(PART OF PROJECT NARRATIVE)

The following proposal title page information may be placed on a plain page. No form is required. This cover page will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

- The project title:
- Applicant/Institution:
- Street Address/City/State/Zip:

- Postal Address:
- Administrative Point of Contact name, telephone number, email:
- Lead PI name, telephone number, email:
- DOE National Laboratory Announcement Number:
- DOE/SC Program Office:
- DOE/SC Program Office Technical Contact:
- PAMS Letter of Intent tracking number:

TITLE PAGE SUPPLEMENT FOR COLLABORATIVE PROPOSALS
(PART OF PROJECT NARRATIVE)

If a multi-institutional team is submitting collaborative proposals, provide the following information on a separate page as a supplement to the title page.

- List all collaborating institutions by name with each institution’s principal investigator on the same line.
- Indicate the lead PI who will be the point of contact and coordinator for the combined research activity.
- Provide a statement explaining the leadership structure of the collaboration.
- Include a description of each institution’s facilities, equipment, and resources that will be made available to the team.
- Include a table modeled on the following chart providing summary budget information from all institutions. Provide the total costs of the budget request in each year for each institution and totals for all rows and columns.

	Names	Institution	Year 1 Budget	Year 2 Budget	Year 3 Budget	Total Budget
Lead PI						
Co-PI						
Co-PI						
Co-PI						

Example budget table (\$ in thousands)

* Note that collaborating proposals must be submitted separately.

The material listed here defines the minimum acceptable information on a title page. Additional information may be provided at the applicant’s discretion.

PROJECT NARRATIVE

The project narrative **must not exceed a page limit of 18 pages** of technical information, including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations, when printed using standard letter-size (8.5-inch x 11-inch) paper with 1-inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right). The body text font of all main text must not be smaller than 11-point. Merit reviewers will only consider the number of pages specified in the first sentence of this paragraph. This page

limit does not apply to the Title Page, Budget Page(s), Budget Justification, biographical material, publications and references, and appendices, each of which may have its own page limit defined later in this Announcement.

Do not include any Internet addresses (URLs) that provide supplementary or additional information that constitutes a part of the proposal. Merit reviewers are not required to access Internet sites; however, Internet publications in a list of references will be treated identically to print publications. See [Section VIII](#) for instructions on how to mark proprietary proposal information.

The Project Narrative comprises the research plan for the project. It should contain enough background material in the Introduction, including a brief review of the relevant literature and any prior research in this area, to demonstrate sufficient knowledge of the state of the science. The major part of the narrative should be devoted to a description and justification of the proposed project, including details of the methods to be used. It should also include a timeline for the major activities of the proposed project and should indicate which project personnel will be responsible for which activities. There should be no ambiguity about which personnel will perform particular parts of the project, and the time at which these activities will take place.

The following organization of the Project Narrative is suggested:

- **Background/Introduction:** Explanation of the importance and relevance of the proposed work as well as a review of the relevant literature.
- **Project Objectives:** This section should provide a clear, concise statement of the specific objectives/aims of the proposed project.
- **Proposed Research and Methods:** Identify the hypotheses to be tested (if any) and details of the methods to be used including the integration of experiments with theoretical and computational research efforts.

For Collaborative Proposals Only: Each institution in a multi-institutional team submitting collaborative proposals must submit an identical common narrative. The common narrative must identify which tasks and activities will be performed by which of the institutions in every budget period of the proposed project. The budget and the budget justification—which are unique to each institution—may refer to parts of the common narrative to further identify each institution’s activities in the joint project. There should be no ambiguity about each institution’s role and participation in the collaborative group.

SC will use the multiple proposals associated with a multi-institutional team to create one consolidated document for merit review that consists of the common, identical proposal materials combined with a set of detailed budgets from the partner institutions. It is very important that every proposal in the team be identical (including the title) with the exception of the budget and budget justification pages.

The Project Narrative is considered the intellectual work of the proposed researchers. Concurrent submission of the same or substantially similar narratives attributed to different researchers may constitute academic dishonesty or research misconduct. Submission of a research narrative that is not the work of the proposed researchers, including machine-generated research narratives, may constitute academic dishonesty or research misconduct.

APPENDIX 1: BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Provide a biographical sketch for the PI and each senior/key person as an appendix to your technical narrative.

- Provide the biographical sketch information as an appendix to your project narrative.
- Do not attach a separate file.
- The biographical sketch appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation. Detailed instructions may be found in [Section VIII](#) of this Announcement.

WARNING: These instructions have been significantly revised to require disclosure of a variety of potential conflicts of interest or commitment, including participation in foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs.

The PI and each senior/key person at the prime applicant and any proposed subaward must provide a list of all sponsored activities, awards, and appointments, whether paid or unpaid; provided as a gift with terms or conditions or provided as a gift without terms or conditions; full-time, part-time, or voluntary; faculty, visiting, adjunct, or honorary; cash or in-kind; foreign or domestic; governmental or private-sector; directly supporting the individual's research or indirectly supporting the individual by supporting students, research staff, space, equipment, or other research expenses. All foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs must be identified in current and pending support.

APPENDIX 2: CURRENT AND PENDING SUPPORT

Provide a list of all current and pending support for the PI and senior/key personnel, including subawardees. Provide the Current and Pending Support as an appendix to your project narrative. Concurrent submission of a proposal to other organizations for simultaneous consideration will not prejudice its review.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

Detailed instructions may be found in [Section VIII](#) of this Announcement.

APPENDIX 3: BIBLIOGRAPHY & REFERENCES CITED

Provide a bibliography of any references cited in the Project Narrative. Each reference must include the names of all authors (in the same sequence in which they appear in the publication), the article and journal title, book title, volume number, page numbers, and year of publication.

For research areas where there are routinely more than ten coauthors of archival publications, you may use an abbreviated style such as the *Physical Review Letters* (PRL) convention for citations (listing only the first author). For example, your paper may be listed as, “A Really Important New Result,” A. Aardvark et. al. (MONGO Collaboration), PRL 999. Include only bibliographic citations. Applicants should be especially careful to follow scholarly practices in providing citations for source materials relied upon when preparing any section of the proposal. Provide the Bibliography and References Cited information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

APPENDIX 4: FACILITIES & OTHER RESOURCES

This information is used to assess the capability of the organizational resources, including subawardee resources, available to perform the effort proposed. Identify the facilities to be used (Laboratory, Animal, Computer, Office, Clinical and Other). If appropriate, indicate their capacities, pertinent capabilities, relative proximity, and extent of availability to the project. Describe only those resources that are directly applicable to the proposed work. Describe other resources available to the project (e.g., machine shop, electronic shop) and the extent to which they would be available to the project. For proposed investigations requiring access to experimental user facilities maintained by institutions other than the applicant, please provide a document from the facility manager confirming that the researchers will have access to the facility. Please provide the Facility and Other Resource information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

APPENDIX 5: EQUIPMENT

List major items of equipment already available for this project and, if appropriate identify location and pertinent capabilities. Provide the Equipment information as an appendix to your project narrative.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

APPENDIX 6: DATA MANAGEMENT PLAN

Provide a Data Management Plan (DMP) as an appendix to the research narrative.

- This appendix should not exceed a page limit of **5 pages** including charts, graphs, maps, photographs, and other pictorial presentations, when printed using standard letter-size (8.5-inch x 11-inch) paper with 1-inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right)
- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

The standard requirements for a DMP may be found in [Section VIII](#) of this Announcement. The DMP is an integral component of the pilot program and is expected to cover quality control, data processing, data flow, data archiving, and data distribution.

In addition, the DMP must specifically address:

- Additional BER requirements and guidance for digital data management (<https://science.osti.gov/ber/Funding-Opportunities/Digital-Data-Management>).
- How FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable)² principles will apply to the anticipated data sets, software³, and models⁴ to be developed.
- What developed software, data sets, and models will be made available using an “opensource” licensing arrangement, noting the Software Package Data Exchange (SPDX) identifier(s) (<https://spdx.org/licenses/>) when possible, and where deviation in this arrangement is expected from The Open Source Initiative’s “Open Source Definition” (<https://opensource.org/osd>), a specific justification must be provided.
- How best practices in scientific software development will be applied to any development activities. For more information on best practices, see Better Scientific Software (<https://bssw.io/>).

APPENDIX 7: PROMOTING INCLUSIVE AND EQUITABLE RESEARCH (PIER) PLAN

All new and renewal proposals that are not for conference support must provide a Promoting Inclusive and Equitable Research (PIER) Plan as an appendix to the research narrative. The PIER plan should describe the activities and strategies of the applicant to promote equity and inclusion as an intrinsic element to advancing scientific excellence in the research project within the context of the proposing institution and any associated research group(s). Plans may include, but are not limited to: strategies of your institution (and collaborating institutions, if applicable) for enhanced recruitment of undergraduate students, graduate students, and early-stage investigators (postdoctoral researchers, and others), including individuals from diverse backgrounds and groups historically underrepresented in the research community; strategies for creating and sustaining a positive, inclusive, safe, and professional research and training environment that fosters a sense of belonging among all research personnel; and/or training, mentoring, and professional development opportunities.⁵ Plans may incorporate or build upon existing diversity, equity, accessibility, and inclusion efforts of the project key personnel or applicant institution(s), but should not be a re-statement of standard institutional policies or broad principles. The complexity and detail of a PIER is expected to increase with the size of the research team and the number of personnel to be supported.

² Wilkinson, M. D. et al. The FAIR Guiding Principles for Scientific Data Management and Stewardship. *Sci. Data* 3:160018, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.18>

³ Chue Hong, N. P., Katz, D. S., Barker, M., Lamprecht, A-L, Martinez, C., Psomopoulos, F. E., Harrow, J., Castro, L. J., Gruenpeter, M., Martinez, P. A., Honeyman, T., et al. (2022). FAIR Principles for Research Software version 1.0. (FAIR4RS Principles v1.0). Research Data Alliance. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15497/RDA00068>

⁴ Ravi, N., Chaturvedi, P., Huerta, E.A. et al. FAIR principles for AI models with a practical application for accelerated high energy diffraction microscopy. *Sci Data* 9, 657 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01712-9>

⁵ Please see additional information at <https://science.osti.gov/SW-DEI/DOE-Diversity-Equity-and-Inclusion-Policies/Q-and-As#definitions>.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix should not exceed a page limit of 3 pages when printed using standard letter-size (8.5 -inch x 11-inch) paper with 1-inch margins (top, bottom, left, and right) This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation

APPENDIX 8: OTHER ATTACHMENT

If you need to elaborate on your responses to the PAMS Cover Page, please provide the Other Attachment information as an appendix to your project narrative. Information not easily accessible to a reviewer may be included in this appendix, but do not use this appendix to circumvent the page limitations of the proposal. Reviewers are not required to consider information in this appendix.

- Do not attach a separate file.
- This appendix will not count in the project narrative page limitation.

IV.C.5. Collaborator Information

Provide a listing of senior/key personnel at the applicant institution and any proposed subawards and a listing of individuals who should not serve as merit reviewers. You may also indicate suggested merit reviewers. Detailed instructions for these listings may be found in [Section VIII](#) of this Announcement.

IV.D. SUBMISSIONS FROM SUCCESSFUL APPLICANTS

If selected for award, DOE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information.

IV.E. SUBMISSION DATES AND TIMES

IV.E.1. Letter of Intent Due Date

The LOI due date is printed on the cover of this Announcement.

You are encouraged to submit your Letter of Intent well before the deadline. LOIs may be submitted at any time between the publication of this Announcement and the stated deadline.

IV.E.2. Pre-proposal Due Date

The pre-proposal due date is printed on the cover of this Announcement.

You are encouraged to submit your pre-proposal well before the deadline. Pre-proposals may be submitted at any time between the publication of this Announcement and the stated deadline.

IV.E.3. Proposal Due Date

The proposal due date is printed on the cover of this Announcement.

You are encouraged to transmit your proposal well before the deadline. Proposals may be submitted at any time between the publication of this Announcement and the stated deadline.

IV.E.4. Late Submissions

Delays in submitting letters of intent and proposals may be unavoidable. DOE has accepted late submissions when applicants have been unable to make timely submissions because of widespread technological disruptions or significant natural disasters. DOE has made accommodations for incapacitating or life-threatening illnesses and for deaths of immediate family members. Other circumstances may or may not justify late submissions. Unacceptable justifications include the following:

- Failure to begin submission process early enough.
- Failure to provide sufficient time to complete the process.
- Failure to understand the submission process.
- Failure to understand the deadlines for submissions.
- Failure to satisfy prerequisite registrations.
- Unavailability of administrative personnel.

You are responsible for beginning the submission process in sufficient time to accommodate reasonably foreseeable incidents, contingencies, and disruptions.

Applicants must contact the Program Office/Manager listed in this Announcement to discuss the option of a late submission. Contacting the Program Office/Manager after the deadline may reduce the likelihood that a request will be granted.

DOE notes that not all requests for late submission will be approved.

Section V - PROPOSAL REVIEW INFORMATION

V.A. CRITERIA

V.A.1. Initial Review Criteria

Prior to a comprehensive merit evaluation, DOE will perform an initial review to determine that (1) the applicant is eligible for the award; (2) the information required by the Program Announcement has been submitted; (3) all mandatory requirements are satisfied; (4) the proposed project is responsive to the objectives of the Program Announcement, and (5) the proposed project is not duplicative of programmatic work. Proposals that fail to pass the initial review will not be forwarded for merit review and will be eliminated from further consideration.

V.A.2. Merit Review Criteria

Proposals will be subjected to scientific merit review (peer review) and will be evaluated against the following criteria, listed in descending order of importance.

- Scientific and/or Technical Merit of the Project;
- Appropriateness of the Proposed Method or Approach;
- Competency of Applicant's Personnel and Adequacy of Proposed Resources; and
- Reasonableness and Appropriateness of the Proposed Budget.
- Quality and Efficacy of the Promoting Inclusive and Equitable Research (PIER) Plan.

The evaluation process will also include program policy factors such as the relevance of the proposed research to the terms of the DOE National Laboratory Announcement and the agency's programmatic needs, the balance of activities within the program, and the utility of the proposed activities to the broader scientific community. Note that external peer reviewers are selected with regard to both their scientific expertise and the absence of conflict-of-interest issues. Both Federal and non-Federal reviewers may be used, and submission of a proposal constitutes agreement that this is acceptable to the investigator(s) and the submitting institution.

The questions below are provided to the merit reviewers to elaborate the criteria:

SCIENTIFIC AND/OR TECHNICAL MERIT OF THE PROPOSED RESEARCH

- What is the scientific innovation of the proposed research?
- What is the likelihood of achieving valuable results?
- How might the results of the proposed work impact the direction, progress, and thinking in relevant scientific fields of research?
- How does the proposed work compare with other efforts in its field, both in terms of scientific and/or technical merit and originality?
- Is the Data Management Plan suitable for the proposed research? To what extent does it support the validation of research results? To what extent will research products, including data, be made available and reusable to advance the field of research?

- Is the Data Management Plan comprehensive? How effectively does it span quality control, data processing, data flow, data archiving, and data distribution?

APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PROPOSED METHOD OR APPROACH

- Does the proposed effort employ innovative concepts or methods?
- How logical and feasible are the approaches?
- Are the conceptual framework, methods, and analyses well justified, adequately developed, and likely to lead to scientifically valid conclusions?
- Does the applicant recognize significant potential problems and consider alternative strategies?
- Is the timeline of activities reasonable and suitable for meeting the goals of the pilot project?

COMPETENCY OF APPLICANT'S PERSONNEL AND ADEQUACY OF PROPOSED RESOURCES

- Does the proposed work take advantage of unique facilities and capabilities?
- What is the past performance of the team?
- Is the lead institution proposing to perform a greater portion of the scientific and technical work than any other team member?
- How well qualified is the team to carry out the proposed work?
- Are the environment and facilities adequate for performing the proposed effort?
- To what extent does the proposed work effectively leverage or coordinate with existing activities?

REASONABLENESS AND APPROPRIATENESS OF THE PROPOSED BUDGET

- Are the proposed budget and staffing levels adequate to carry out the proposed work?
- Is the budget reasonable and appropriate for the scope?

QUALITY AND EFFICACY OF THE PROMOTING INCLUSIVE AND EQUITABLE RESEARCH PLAN

- Is the proposed Promoting Inclusive and Equitable Research (PIER) Plan suitable for the size and complexity of the proposed project and an integral component of the proposed project?
- To what extent is the PIER plan likely to lead to participation of individuals from diverse backgrounds, including individuals historically underrepresented in the research community?
- What aspects of the PIER plan are likely to contribute to the goal of creating and maintaining an equitable, inclusive, encouraging, and professional training and research environment and supporting a sense of belonging among project personnel?
- How does the proposed plan include intentional mentorship and are the associated mentoring resources reasonable and appropriate?

V.B. REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS

V.B.1. Merit Review

Proposals that pass the initial review will be subjected to a formal merit review and will be evaluated based on the criteria above.

V.B.2. Program Policy Factors

The Selection Official may consider any of the following program policy factors in making the selection, listed in no order of significance:

- Availability of funds
- Relevance of the proposed activity to SC priorities
- Ensuring an appropriate balance of activities within SC programs
- Performance under current awards
- Quality of international or interagency collaboration
- Participation with multi-institutional teams
- Commitment to sharing the results of research
- Promoting principal investigators with a commitment to improving diversity, equity, and inclusion in the STEM community

V.B.3. Selection

The Selection Official will consider the findings of the merit review and may consider any of the Program Policy Factors described above.

V.B.4. Discussions and Award

The Government may enter into discussions with a selected applicant for any reason deemed necessary. Failure to resolve satisfactorily the issues identified by the Government will preclude award to the applicant.

V.C. ANTICIPATED NOTICE OF SELECTION AND AWARD DATES

It is anticipated that the award selection will be completed by September 30, 2024. It is expected that awards will be made in Fiscal Year 2024.

Section VI - AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

VI.A. AWARD NOTICES

VI.A.1. Notice of Selection

Selected Applicants Notification: DOE will notify applicants selected for award. This notice of selection is not an authorization to begin performance.

Non-selected Notification: Organizations whose proposals have not been selected will be advised as promptly as possible. This notice will explain why the proposal was not selected.

VI.A.2. Notice of Award

A work authorization/contract modification issued by the contracting officer is the authorizing award document.

VI.B. ADMINISTRATIVE AND POLICY REQUIREMENTS

The following additional policy provisions are applicable to this Announcement. The full text of each provision is in Section VIII of this Announcement and may be accessed by navigating to the hyperlinks below:

- [1. Availability of Funds](#)
- [2. Commitment of Public Funds](#)
- [3. Environmental, Safety and Health \(ES&H\) Performance of Work at DOE Facilities](#)
- [4. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel](#)
- [5. Federal, State, and Local Requirements](#)
- [6. Funding Restrictions](#)
- [7. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate](#)
- [8. Modification](#)
- [9. Proprietary Proposal Information](#)
- [10. Publications](#)

VI.C. REPORTING

Annual progress reports from the award investigator will be required and will be due 90 days before the end of each budget year.

Section VII - QUESTIONS/AGENCY CONTACTS

VII.A. QUESTIONS

For help with PAMS, click the “PAMS Help” link on the PAMS website, <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, Email: sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov. All submission and inquiries about this DOE National Laboratory Program Announcement should reference the Announcement number printed on the cover.

Please contact the PAMS help desk for technological issues with the PAMS system.

Questions regarding the specific program areas and technical requirements may be directed to the technical contacts listed for each program within the DOE National Laboratory Program Announcement or below.

Please contact the program staff with all questions not directly related to the PAMS system.

VII.B. AGENCY CONTACTS

PAMS Customer Support	855-818-1846 (toll-free) 301-903-9610 sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov
Program Manager Scientific Contact	Dr. Shaima L. Nasiri Shaima.Nasiri@science.doe.gov Dr. Sally McFarlane Sally.McFarlane@science.doe.gov

VII.C. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL HOTLINE:

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) maintains a Hotline to facilitate the reporting of allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, or mismanagement in DOE programs or operations. If you wish to report such allegations, you may call, send a letter, or email the OIG Hotline ighotline@hq.doe.gov. Allegations may be reported by DOE employees, DOE contractors, or the general public. OIG contact information is available at <https://energy.gov/ig/services>.

Section VIII – SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

VIII.A. HOW-TO GUIDES

VIII.A.1. How Consortia May be Used

INCORPORATED CONSORTIA

Incorporated consortia are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient (lead organization) or subrecipient (team member).

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the DOE contracting officer. There is no requirement that subawards be formalized into incorporated consortia.

UNINCORPORATED CONSORTIA

Unincorporated consortia (team arrangements) must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the prime recipient/consortium representative (lead organization). There is no requirement that subawards be formalized into unincorporated consortia.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the DOE contracting officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

Note that a consortium is applied for in one proposal and results in one award with subawards to consortia members. Multi-institutional teams may, if permitted under this Announcement, submit collaborative proposals with each institution submitting its own proposal with an identical research narrative, resulting in multiple awards to the collaborating institutions.

VIII.A.2. How to Submit Letters of Intent

It is important that the LOI be a single file with extension .pdf, .docx, or .doc. The filename must not exceed 50 characters. The PI and anyone submitting on behalf of the PI must register for an account in PAMS before it will be possible to submit a letter of intent. **All PIs and those submitting LOIs on behalf of PIs are encouraged to establish PAMS accounts as soon as possible to avoid submission delays.**

Submit Your Letter of Intent:

- Create your letter of intent outside the system and save it as a file with extension .docx, .doc, or .pdf. Make a note of the location of the file on your computer so you can browse for it later from within PAMS.
- Log into PAMS and click the Proposals tab. click the “View DOE National Laboratory Announcements” link and find the current announcement in the list. Click the “Actions/Views” link in the Options column next to this Announcement to obtain a dropdown menu. Select “Submit Letter of Intent” from the dropdown.
- On the Submit Letter of Intent page, select the institution from which you are submitting this LOI from the Institution dropdown. If you are associated with only one institution in the system, there will only be one institution in the dropdown.
- Note that you must select one and only one PI per LOI; to do so, click the “Select PI” button on the far right side of the screen. Find the appropriate PI from the list of all registered users from your institution returned by PAMS. (Hint: You may have to sort, filter, or search through the list if it has multiple pages.) Click the “Actions” link in the Options column next to the appropriate PI to obtain a dropdown menu. From the dropdown, choose “Select PI.”
- If the PI for whom you are submitting does not appear on the list, it means he or she has not yet registered in PAMS. For your convenience, you may have PAMS send an email invitation to the PI to register in PAMS. To do so, click the “Invite PI” link at the top left of the “Select PI” screen. You can enter an optional personal message to the PI in the “Comments” box, and it will be included in the email sent by PAMS to the PI. You must wait until the PI registers before you can submit the LOI. Save the LOI for later work by clicking the “Save” button at the bottom of the screen. It will be stored in “My Letters of Intent” for later editing.
- Enter a title for your letter of intent.
- Select the appropriate technical contact from the Program Manager dropdown.
- To upload the LOI file into PAMS, click the “Attach File” button at the far right side of the screen. Click the “Browse” (or “Choose File” depending on your browser) button to search for your file. You may enter an optional description of the file you are attaching. Click the “Upload” button to upload the file.
- At the bottom of the screen, click the “Submit to DOE” button to save and submit the LOI to DOE.
- Upon submission, the PI will receive an email from the PAMS system <PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov> acknowledging receipt of the LOI.

You are encouraged to register for an account in PAMS at least a week in advance of the LOI submission deadline so that there will be no delays with your submission.

WARNING: The PAMS website at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/> will permit you to edit a previously submitted LOI in the time between your submission and the deadline. If you choose to edit, doing so will remove your previously submitted version from consideration. If you are still editing at the time of the deadline, you will not have a valid submission. Please pay attention to the deadline.

VIII.A.3. How to Submit a Pre-Proposal

It is important that the pre-proposal be a single file with extension .pdf, .docx, or .doc. The filename must not exceed 50 characters. The PI and anyone submitting on behalf of the PI must register for an account in PAMS before it will be possible to submit a pre-proposal. All PIs and those submitting pre-proposals on behalf of PIs are encouraged to establish PAMS accounts as soon as possible to avoid submission delays.

Submit Your Pre-Proposals:

- Create your pre-proposal (called a preproposal in PAMS) outside the system and save it as a file with extension .docx, .doc, or .pdf. Make a note of the location of the file on your computer so you can browse for it later from within PAMS.
- Log into PAMS and click the Proposals tab. click the “View DOE National Laboratory Announcements” link and find the current announcement in the list. Click the “Actions/Views” link in the Options column next to this Announcement to obtain a dropdown menu. Select “Submit Preproposal” from the dropdown.
- On the Submit Preproposal page, select the institution from which you are submitting this preproposal from the Institution dropdown. If you are associated with only one institution in the system, there will only be one institution in the dropdown.
- Note that you must select one and only one PI per preproposal; to do so, click the “Select PI” button on the far right side of the screen. Find the appropriate PI from the list of all registered users from your institution returned by PAMS. (Hint: You may have to sort, filter, or search through the list if it has multiple pages.) Click the “Actions” link in the Options column next to the appropriate PI to obtain a dropdown menu. From the dropdown, choose “Select PI.”
- If the PI for whom you are submitting does not appear on the list, it means he or she has not yet registered in PAMS. For your convenience, you may have PAMS send an email invitation to the PI to register in PAMS. To do so, click the “Invite PI” link at the top left of the “Select PI” screen. You can enter an optional personal message to the PI in the “Comments” box, and it will be included in the email sent by PAMS to the PI. You must wait until the PI registers before you can submit the preproposal. Save the preproposal for later work by clicking the “Save” button at the bottom of the screen. It will be stored in “My Preproposals” for later editing.
- Enter a title for your preproposal.
- Select the appropriate technical contact from the Program Manager dropdown.
- To upload the preproposal file into PAMS, click the “Attach File” button at the far right side of the screen. Click the “Browse” (or “Choose File” depending on your browser) button to search for your file. You may enter an optional description of the file you are attaching. Click the “Upload” button to upload the file.
- At the bottom of the screen, click the “Submit to DOE” button to save and submit the preproposal to DOE.
- Upon submission, the PI will receive an email from the PAMS system <PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov> acknowledging receipt of the preproposal.

You are encouraged to register for an account in PAMS at least a week in advance of the preproposal submission deadline so that there will be no delays with your submission.

WARNING: The PAMS website at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov> will permit you to edit a previously submitted pre-proposal in the time between your submission and the deadline. If you choose to edit, doing so will remove your previously submitted version from consideration. If you are still editing at the time of the deadline, you will not have a valid submission. Please pay attention to the deadline.

VIII.A.4. How to Prepare and Submit a Proposal

SUBMITTING A PROPOSAL

The following information is provided to help with proposal submission. Detailed instructions and screen shots can be found in the PAMS Help materials, accessible by clicking the “PAMS Help” link on the PAMS home page. Onscreen instructions are available within PAMS.

- Log into PAMS. From the proposals tab, click the “View DOE National Laboratory Announcements” link and find the current announcement in the list. Click the “Actions/Views” link in the Options column next to this Announcement to obtain a dropdown menu. Select “Submit Proposal” from the dropdown.
- Note that you must select one and only one Principal Investigator (PI) per proposal; to do so, click the “Select PI” button on the far right side of the screen. Find the appropriate PI from the list of all registered users from your institution returned by PAMS. (Hint: You may have to sort, filter, or search through the list if it has multiple pages.) Click the “Actions” link in the Options column next to the appropriate PI to obtain a dropdown menu. From the dropdown, choose “Select PI.”
- If the PI for whom you are submitting does not appear on the list, it means he or she has not yet registered in PAMS. For your convenience, you may have PAMS send an email invitation to the PI to register in PAMS. To do so, click the “Invite PI” link at the top left of the “Select PI” screen. You can enter an optional personal message to the PI in the “Comments” box, and it will be included in the email sent by PAMS to the PI. You must wait until the PI registers before you can submit the proposal. Save the proposal for later work by selecting “Save” from the dropdown at the bottom of the screen and then clicking the “Go” button. It will be stored in “My Proposals” for later editing. As a minimum, you must complete all the required fields on the PAMS cover page before you can save the proposal for the first time.
- The cover page, budget, and attachments sections of the lab proposal are required by PAMS before it can be submitted to DOE.
- Complete the sections in PAMS one at a time, starting with the cover page and following the instructions for each section.
- Click the “+View More” link at the top of each section to expand the onscreen instructions. On the budget section, click the “Budget Tab Instructions” link to obtain detailed guidance on completing the budget form.
- Save each section by selecting either “Save” (to stay in the same section) or “Save... and Continue to the Next Section” (to move to the next section) from the dropdown menu at the bottom of the screen, followed by clicking the “Go” button.

- If you save the proposal and navigate away from it, you may return later to edit the proposal by clicking the “View My Existing Proposals” or “My Proposals” links within PAMS.
- You must enter a budget for each annual budget period.
- You must also enter a budget for each proposed sub-award. The sub-award section can be completed using the same steps used for the budget section.
- In the attachments section of the lab proposal, the abstract, the budget justification, and the proposal narrative are required and must be submitted as separate files.
- You must bundle everything other than the budget, abstract, and budget justification into one single PDF file to be attached under “Proposal Attachment.”
- Do not attach anything under “Other Attachments.”
- To upload a file into PAMS, click the “Attach File” button at the far right side of the screen. Click the “Browse” (or "Choose File" depending on your browser) button to search for your file. You may enter an optional description of the file you are attaching. Click the “Upload” button to upload the file.
- Once you have saved all of the sections, the “Submit to DOE” option will appear in the dropdown menu at the bottom of the screen.
- To submit the proposal, select “Submit to DOE” from the dropdown menu and then click the “Go” button.
- Upon submission, the PI will receive an email from the PAMS system <PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov> acknowledging receipt of the proposal.
- The proposal will also appear under My Proposals with a Proposal Status of “Submitted to DOE.”

Please only submit a PAMS lab technical proposal in response to this Announcement; do not submit a DOE Field Work Proposal (FWP) at this time. SC will request FWPs later from those selected for funding consideration under this Announcement.

PROPOSAL PREPARATION

All files submitted a part of a proposal must be PDF files unless otherwise specified in this Announcement. Attached PDF files must be plain files consisting of text, numbers, and images without editable fields, signatures, passwords, redactions, or other advanced features available in some PDF-compatible software. Do not use PDF portfolios or binders.

Please note the following restrictions that apply to the names of all files attached to your proposal:

- Please limit file names to 50 or fewer characters
- Do not attach any documents with the same name. All attachments must have a unique name.
- Please use only the following characters when naming your attachments: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, period, parenthesis, curly braces, square brackets, ampersand, tilde, exclamation point, comma, semi colon, apostrophe, at sign, number sign, dollar sign, percent sign, plus sign, and equal sign. Attachments that do not follow this rule may cause the entire proposal to be rejected or cause issues during processing.

RESUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS

Proposals submitted under this announcement may be withdrawn from consideration by using the PAMS website at <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov>. Proposals may be withdrawn at any time between when the applicant submits the proposal and when DOE makes the proposal available to merit reviewers. Such withdrawals take effect immediately and cannot be reversed. Please exercise due caution. After the proposal is made available to merit reviewers, the applicant may contact the DOE program office identified in this Announcement to request that it be withdrawn.

After a proposal is withdrawn, it may be resubmitted, if this Announcement is still open for the submission of proposals. Such resubmissions will only count as one submission if this Announcement restricts the number of proposals from an applicant.

IMPROPER CONTENTS OF PROPOSALS

Proposals submitted under this Announcement will be stored in controlled-access systems, but they may be made publicly available if an award is made. As such, it is critical that applicants follow these guidelines:

- Do not include information subject to any legal restriction on its open distribution, whether classified, export control, or unclassified controlled nuclear information.
- Do not include sensitive and protected personally identifiable information, including social security numbers, birthdates, citizenship, marital status, or home addresses. Pay particular attention to the content of biographical sketches and curriculum vitae.
- Do not include letters of support from Federal officials.
- Do not include letters of support on Federal letterhead. Letters that are not letters of support (such as letters confirming access to sites, facilities, equipment, or data; or letters from cognizant contracting officers) may be on Federal letterhead.
- Clearly mark all proprietary or trade-secret information.

VIII.A.5. How to Prepare a Biographical Sketch

A biographical sketch is to provide information that can be used by reviewers to evaluate the PI's potential for leadership within the scientific community. Examples of information of interest are invited and/or public lectures, awards received, scientific program committees, conference or workshop organization, professional society activities, special international or industrial partnerships, reviewing or editorship activities, or other scientific leadership experiences.

SC requires the use of the format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF), which may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vitae (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>. The fillable PDFs provided by the National Science Foundation are no longer available. When interagency common formats and instructions are promulgated, their use will be accepted. See <https://new.nsf.gov/funding/senior-personnel-documents> for more information. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats.

Requested information may be appended to a biographical sketch, whether produced from a fillable PDF or in SciENcv.

SC strongly recommends the use of SciENcv to reduce administrative burden by allowing the use of digital persistent identifiers, including the Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID).

Do not attach a listing of individuals who should not be used as merit reviewers: This information is no longer collected as part of a biographical sketch.

VIII.A.6. How to Prepare a List of Individuals Who Should Not Serve as Reviewers

To assist in identifying individuals who should not serve as merit reviews, provide the following information for each and every senior/key person who is planned to be or is identified in Section A of the proposal budget for the applicant and any proposed subrecipients:

- Advisees (graduate students or postdocs) of the senior/key person
- Advisors of the senior/key person while a graduate student or a postdoc
- Close associates of the senior/key person over the past 48 months
- Co-authors of the senior/key person over the past 48 months
- Co-editors of the senior/key person over the past 48 months
- Co-investigators of the senior/key person over the past 48 months
- Collaborators of the senior/key person over the past 48 months

Do not identify any personnel at the applicant institution or any proposed subrecipient or team institution: Those personnel are prohibited from serving as merit reviewers.

Large collaborations of 10 or more researchers do not require that all collaborators be identified: rather, only list the researchers with whom the senior/key person actually collaborated.

For all identified individuals, provide the following information:

- The senior/key person to whom the individual was an advisee, advisor, close associate, co-author, co-editor, co-investigator, or collaborator, identified by first name and last name
- The individual's first (given) name
- The individual's last (family) name
- The individual's Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID), if known
- The individual's institutional affiliation spelling out acronyms (For joint appointments, separate each institution with a slash ("/"). Do not list departmental affiliations.)
- The reason for listing the individual (advisee, advisor, close associate, co-author, co-editor, co-investigator, collaborator)
- The year when the individual last was a close associate, co-author, co-editor, co-investigator, or collaborator

You may also provide a list of all senior/key personnel who are planned to be or are identified in Section A of the proposal budget for the applicant and any proposed subrecipients.

The lists do not need to be sorted in any method.

The lists must be submitted in tabular format, preferably as Microsoft Excel (.xls or .xlsx) files.

For your convenience, a template is available at <https://science.osti.gov/grants/Policy-and-Guidance/Agreement-Forms>. If using the template:

- Do not add tabs to the spreadsheet
- Do not merge the existing tabs
- Do not remove headers
- Fill out the requested headers on both tabs with the same information
- Ensure that given and family names are presented in the correct columns

VIII.A.7. How to Prepare Current and Pending Support

WARNING: These instructions have been significantly revised to require disclosure of a variety of potential conflicts of interest or commitment, including participation in foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs.

Current and Pending support is intended to allow the identification of potential duplication, overcommitment, potential conflicts of interest or commitment, and all other sources of support. The PI and each senior/key person at the prime applicant and any proposed subaward must provide a list of all sponsored activities, awards, and appointments, whether paid or unpaid; provided as a gift with terms or conditions or provided as a gift without terms or conditions; full-time, part-time, or voluntary; faculty, visiting, adjunct, or honorary; cash or in-kind; foreign or domestic; governmental or private-sector; directly supporting the individual's research or indirectly supporting the individual by supporting students, research staff, space, equipment, or other research expenses. All foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs must be identified in current and pending support.

SC requires the use of the format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF), which may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vitae (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>. The fillable PDFs provided by the National Science Foundation are no longer available. When interagency common formats and instructions are promulgated, their use will be accepted. See <https://new.nsf.gov/funding/senior-personnel-documents> for more information. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats.

For every activity, list the following items:

- The sponsor of the activity or the source of funding.
- The award or other identifying number.
- The title of the award or activity. If the title of the award or activity is not descriptive, add a brief description of the research being performed that would identify any overlaps or synergies with the proposed research.
- The total cost or value of the award or activity, including direct and indirect costs. For pending proposals, provide the total amount of requested funding.
- The award period (start date – end date).

- The person-months of effort per year being dedicated to the award or activity.

If required to identify overlap, duplication of effort, or synergistic efforts, append a description of the other award or activity to the current and pending support.

Requested information may be appended to current and pending support, whether produced from a fillable PDF or in SciENcv.

SC strongly recommends the use of SciENcv to reduce administrative burden by allowing the use of digital persistent identifiers, including the Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID).

Details of any obligations, contractual or otherwise, to any program, entity, or organization sponsored by a foreign government must be provided on request to either the applicant institution or DOE.

VIII.A.8. How to Prepare a Data Management Plan

In general, a DMP should address the following requirements:

1. DMPs should describe whether and how data generated in the course of the proposed research will be shared and preserved. If the plan is not to share and/or preserve certain data, then the plan must explain the basis of the decision (for example, cost/benefit considerations, other parameters of feasibility, scientific appropriateness, or limitations discussed in #4). At a minimum, DMPs must describe how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of results, or how results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved.
2. DMPs should provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed research open, machine-readable, and digitally accessible to the public at the time of publication. This includes data that are displayed in charts, figures, images, etc. In addition, the underlying digital research data used to generate the displayed data should be made as accessible as possible to the public in accordance with the principles stated in the Office of Science Statement on Digital Data Management (<https://science.osti.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management>). This requirement could be met by including the data as supplementary information to the published article, or through other means. The published article should indicate how these data can be accessed.
3. DMPs should consult and reference available information about data management resources to be used in the course of the proposed research. In particular, DMPs that explicitly or implicitly commit data management resources at a facility beyond what is conventionally made available to approved users should be accompanied by written approval from that facility. In determining the resources available for data management at Office of Science User Facilities, researchers should consult the published description of data management resources and practices at that facility and reference it in the DMP. Information about other Office of Science facilities can be found at <https://science.osti.gov/user-facilities/>.
4. DMPs must protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness; and otherwise be consistent with all applicable laws, and regulations. There is no requirement to share proprietary data.

DMPs will be reviewed as part of the overall SC research proposal merit review process. Applicants are encouraged to consult the SC website for further information and suggestions for how to structure a DMP: <https://science.osti.gov/funding-opportunities/digital-data-management>

VIII.A.9. How to Prepare a Budget and Justification

The following advice will improve the accuracy of your budget request:

- Funds requested for personnel (senior, key, and other) must be justified as the product of their effort on the project and their institutional base salary.
- Funds requested for fringe benefits must be calculated as the product of the requested salary and, if present, the negotiated fringe benefit rate contained in an institution’s negotiated indirect cost rate agreement.
- Funds requested for indirect costs must be calculated using the correct indirect cost base and the negotiated indirect cost rate.
- You are encouraged to include the rate agreement used in preparing a budget as a part of the budget justification.
- Do not prepare a budget justification using the expired DOE form F4260.1.

Budget Fields

Section A Senior/Key Person	For each Senior/Key Person, enter the requested information. List personnel, base salary, the number of months that person will be allocated to the project, requested salary, fringe benefits, and the total funds requested for each person. The requested salary must be the product of the base salary and the effort. Include a written narrative in the budget justification that justifies the need for requested personnel. Within the justification, explain the fringe benefit rate used if it is not the standard faculty rate.
Section B Other Personnel	List personnel, the number of months that person will be allocated to the project, requested salary fringe benefits, and the total funds requested for each person. Include a written narrative in the budget justification that fully justifies the need for requested personnel. Within the justification, provide the number of positions being filled in each category of other personnel.
Section C Equipment	For the purpose of this budget, equipment is designated as an item of property that has an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more and an expected service life of more than one year, unless a different threshold is specified in a negotiated Facilities and Administrative Cost Rate. (Note that this designation applies for proposal budgeting only and differs from the DOE definition of capital equipment.) List each item of equipment separately and justify each in the budget justification section. Do not aggregate items of equipment. Allowable items ordinarily will be limited to research equipment and apparatus not already available for the conduct of the work. General-purpose office equipment is not eligible for support unless primarily or exclusively

	used in the actual conduct of scientific research.
Section D Travel	For purposes of this section only, travel to Canada or to Mexico is considered domestic travel. In the budget justification, list each trip's destination, dates, estimated costs including transportation and subsistence, number of staff traveling, the purpose of the travel, and how it relates to the project. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis). To qualify for support, attendance at meetings or conferences must enhance the investigator's capability to perform the research, plan extensions of it, or disseminate its results. Domestic travel is to be justified separately from foreign travel. Within the budget justification, detail the number of personnel planning to travel and the estimated per-traveler cost for each trip.
Section E Participant/Trainee Support Costs	If applicable, submit training support costs. Educational projects that intend to support trainees (precollege, college, graduate and post graduate) must list each trainee cost that includes stipend levels and amounts, cost of tuition for each trainee, cost of any travel (provide the same information as needed under the regular travel category), and costs for any related training expenses. Participant costs are those costs associated with conferences, workshops, symposia or institutes and breakout items should indicate the number of participants, cost for each participant, purpose of the conference, dates and places of meetings and any related administrative expenses. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis).
Section F Other Direct Costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Materials and Supplies: Enter total funds requested for materials and supplies in the appropriate fields. In the budget justification, indicate general categories such as glassware, and chemicals, including an amount for each category (items not identified under "Equipment"). Categories less than \$1,000 are not required to be itemized. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis). • Publication Costs: Enter the total publication funds requested. The proposal budget may request funds for the costs of documenting, preparing, publishing or otherwise making available to others the findings and products of the work conducted under the award. In the budget justification, include supporting information. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis). • Consultant Services: Enter total funds requested for all consultant services. In the budget justification, identify each consultant, the services he/she will perform, total number of days, travel costs, and total estimated costs. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience

	<p>of similar items, or some other basis).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ADP/Computer Services: Enter total funds requested for ADP/Computer Services. The cost of computer services, including computer-based retrieval of scientific, technical and education information may be requested. In the budget justification, include the established computer service rates at the proposing organization if applicable. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis). • Subawards/Consortium/Contractual Costs: Enter total costs for all subawards/consortium organizations and other contractual costs proposed for the project. In the budget justification, justify the details. • Equipment or Facility Rental/User Fees: Enter total funds requested for Equipment or Facility Rental/User Fees. In the budget justification, identify each rental/user fee and justify. Indicate the basis for the cost estimate (quotes from vendors or suppliers, past experience of similar items, or some other basis). • Alterations and Renovations: Enter total funds requested for Alterations and Renovations. In the budget justification, itemize by category and justify the costs of alterations and renovations, including repairs, painting, removal or installation of partitions, shielding, or air conditioning. Where applicable, provide the square footage and costs. • Other: Add text to describe any other Direct Costs not requested above. Enter costs associated with “Other” item(s). Use the budget justification to further itemize and justify.
Section G Direct Costs	This represents Total Direct Costs (Sections A through F). PAMS will automatically calculate this.
Section H Other Indirect Costs	Enter the Indirect Cost information, including the rates and bases being used, for each field. Only four general categories of indirect costs are allowed/requested on this form, so please consolidate if needed. Include the cognizant Federal agency and contact information if using a negotiated rate agreement. Within the budget justification, explain the use of multiple rates, if multiple rates are used.
Section I Total Direct and Indirect Costs	This is the total of Sections G and H. PAMS will automatically calculate this.

VIII.A.10. How to Register in PAMS

You must register in PAMS to submit a pre-proposal, letter of intent, or DOE national laboratory proposal.

Notifications sent from the PAMS system will come from the PAMS email address <PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov>. Please make sure your email server/software allows delivery of emails from the PAMS email address to yours.

Registering to PAMS is a two-step process; once you create an individual account, you must associate yourself with (“register to”) your institution. Detailed steps are listed below.

CREATE PAMS ACCOUNT:

To register, click the “Create New PAMS Account” link on the website <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>.

- Click the “No, I have never had an account” link and then the “Create Account” button.
- You will be prompted to enter your name and email address, create a username and password, and select a security question and answer. Once you have done this, click the “Save and Continue” button.
- On the next page, enter the required information (at least one phone number and your mailing address) and any optional information you wish to provide (e.g., FAX number, website, mailstop code, additional email addresses or phone numbers, Division/Department). Click the “Create Account” button.
- Read the user agreement and click the “Accept” button to indicate that you understand your responsibilities and agree to comply with the rules of behavior for PAMS.
- PAMS will take you to the “Having Trouble Logging In?” page. (If you have been an SC merit reviewer or if you have previously submitted a proposal, you may already be linked to an institution in PAMS. If this happens, you will be taken to the PAMS home page.)

REGISTER TO YOUR INSTITUTION:

1. Click the link labeled “Option 2: I know my institution and I am here to register to the institution.” (Note: If you previously created a PAMS account but did not register to an institution at that time, you must click the Institutions tab and click the “Register to Institution” link.)
2. PAMS will take you to the “Register to Institution” page.
3. Type a word or phrase from your institution name in the field labeled, “Institution Name like,” choose the radio button next to the item that best describes your role in the system, and click the “Search” button. A “like” search in PAMS returns results that contain the word or phrase you enter; you do not need to enter the exact name of the institution, but you should enter a word or phrase contained within the institution name. (If your institution has a frequently used acronym, such as ANL for Argonne National Laboratory or UCLA for the Regents of the University of California, Los Angeles, you may find it easiest to search for the acronym under “Institution Name like.” Many institutions with acronyms are listed in PAMS with their acronyms in parentheses after their names.)
4. Find your institution in the list that is returned by the search and click the “Actions” link in the Options column next to the institution name to obtain a dropdown list. Select “Add me to this institution” from the dropdown. PAMS will take you to the “Institutions – List” page.
5. If you do not see your institution in the initial search results, you can search again by clicking the “Cancel” button, clicking the Option 2 link, and repeating the search.

6. If, after searching, you think your institution is not currently in the database, click the “Cannot Find My Institution” button and enter the requested institution information into PAMS. Click the “Create Institution” button. PAMS will add the institution to the system, associate your profile with the new institution, and return you to the “Institutions – List” page when you are finished.

For help with PAMS, click the “PAMS Help” link on the PAMS website, <https://pamspublic.science.energy.gov/>. You may also contact the PAMS Help Desk, which can be reached Monday through Friday, 9AM – 5:30 PM Eastern Time. Telephone: (855) 818-1846 (toll free) or (301) 903-9610, email: sc.pams-helpdesk@science.doe.gov. All submission and inquiries about this Announcement should reference the number printed on the cover page.

VIII.A.11. How to View Proposals in PAMS

Upon submission, the PI will receive an email from the PAMS system <PAMS.Autoreply@science.doe.gov> acknowledging receipt of the proposal.

Upon submission, the proposal will appear under My Proposals for the PI and the Submitter with a Proposal Status of “Submitted to DOE.”

VIII.B. POLICY PROVISIONS

VIII.B.1. Availability of Funds

Funds are not presently available for this award. The Government’s obligation under this award is contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds from which payment for award purposes can be made. No legal liability on the part of the Government for any payment may arise until funds are made available to the Contracting Officer for this award and until the awardee receives notice of such availability, to be confirmed in writing by the Contracting Officer.

VIII.B.2. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by other than the Contracting Officer, either explicit or implied, is invalid.

VIII.B.3. Environmental, Safety and Health (ES&H) Performance of Work at DOE Facilities

With respect to the performance of any portion of the work under this award which is performed at a DOE-owned or controlled site, the recipient agrees to comply with all state and Federal ES&H regulations, and with all other ES&H requirements of the operator of such site.

Prior to the performance on any work at a DOE-Owned or controlled site, the recipient shall contact the site facility manager for information on DOE and site specific ES&H requirements.

The recipient shall apply this provision to all subawardees at any tier.

VIII.B.4. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non-Federal personnel as reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its proposal, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers must sign a conflict of interest and a certificate of confidentiality prior to reviewing a proposal. Non-Federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign a non-disclosure agreement.

VIII.B.5. Federal, State, and Local Requirements

With respect to the performance of any portion of the work under this award, the recipient agrees to comply with all applicable local, state, and Federal ES&H regulations. The recipient shall apply this provision to all sub awardees at any tier.

VIII.B.6. Funding Restrictions

Funding for all awards and future budget periods are contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress and the availability of future-year budget authority.

VIII.B.7. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

DOE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all proposals received in response to this DOE National Laboratory Announcement and to select any proposal, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

VIII.B.8. Modification

Notices of any modifications to this DOE National Laboratory Announcement will be posted on the Grants and Contracts website (<http://science.osti.gov/grants/>).

VIII.B.9. Proprietary Proposal Information

Patentable ideas, trade secrets, proprietary or confidential commercial or financial information, disclosure of which may harm the applicant, should be included in a proposal only when such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project. The use and disclosure of such data may be restricted, provided the applicant includes the following legend on the first page of any document included in the proposal that contains such proprietary information and specifies the pages of the document which are to be restricted:

“The data contained in pages _____ of this document have been submitted in confidence and contain trade secrets or proprietary information, and such data shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes, provided that if this applicant receives an award as a result of or in

connection with the submission of this proposal, DOE shall have the right to use or disclose the data herein to the extent provided in the award. This restriction does not limit the government's right to use or disclose data obtained without restriction from any source, including the applicant."

To protect such data, each line or paragraph on the pages containing such data must be specifically identified and marked with a legend similar to the following:

"The following contains proprietary information that (name of applicant) requests not be released to persons outside the Government, except for purposes of review and evaluation."

VIII.B.10. Publications

Researchers are expected to publish or otherwise make publicly available the results of the work conducted under any authorization resulting from this Announcement. Publications and other methods of public communication describing any work based on or developed under an authorization resulting from this Announcement must contain an acknowledgment of SC support. The format for such acknowledgments is provided at <https://science.osti.gov/funding-opportunities/acknowledgements/>. The author's copy of any peer-reviewed manuscript accepted for funding must be announced to DOE's Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) and made publicly available in accordance with the instructions contained in the Reporting Requirements Checklist incorporated in all Assistance Agreements.