

Department of Energy

Argonne Site Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

JUL 2 2 2011

Dr. Eric Isaacs Director, Argonne National Laboratory President, UChicago Argonne, LLC 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Dear Dr. Isaacs:

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION FOR ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ANL)

Argonne Site Office (ASO) has approved the following as a categorical exclusion (CX) under the category of "B 3.6 Siting/construction/operation/decommissioning of facilities for bench-scale research, conventional laboratory operations, small-scale research and development and pilot projects".

- Building 366 Expansion, Operation, and maintenance (ASO-CX-289)

Therefore, no further NEPA review is required. However, if any modification or an expansion of the scope is made to the above project, additional NEPA review will be necessary.

Enclosed please find a copy of the approved Environmental Review Form (ERF) for the project. If you have any questions please contact Kaushik Joshi of my staff at (630) 252-4226.

Sincerelv. 1. Livenaood

Enclosure: As Stated

- cc: M. Kamiya, ANL/ESQ, 201, w/encl.
 - S. Hunsberger, ANL/FMS, 222, w/encl.
 - H. Weerts, ANL/HEP, 362, w/encl.
 - P. Rash, ANL/FMS, 214, w/encl.
 - N. Van Wermeskerken, ANL/PSE, 208, w/encl.

Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Click on the blue question marks for instructions, contacts, and additional information on specific line items.

...

134.1.4

0

Project/Activity Title: Building 300 Expansion, Operation, and Maintenance					
ASO NEPA Tracking No.	Type of Funding: IGPP B&R Code				
Identifying number:OPS-01095WFOWork Project #08121ANLOther (explain)IESQ 1328	proposal # CRADA prop accounting # (item 3a in Field Work Propo	oosal # osal)			
Project Manager: Steve Hunsberger Project Manager: H. Weerts	Signature: Ster thursp Signature: Ky Weerty	Date: $7/5/11$ Date: $7/5/11$			
NEPA Owner: Phil Rash NEPA Owner: Van Wermeskerken	Signature: 1/2 / Kesh Signature: 1/2 / Vor usinsolade	Date: 7/5/1			
ANL NEPA Reviewer: <u>M. A. Kamiya</u>	Signature: Charles or Charles	Date: 7/14/2011			

I. Description of Proposed Action:

D '11'

200 5

This action would extend Building 366 by constructing an addition approximately 60' X 63' X 30'high off the southeast side of the existing building, into the existing parking lot area. This would accommodate the extension of the Argonne Wakefield Accelerator (AWA) bunker and provide space that would be used to centralize many accelerator R&D functions.

AWA is used for advanced accelerator R&D on dielectric structures, two beam acceleration and, in general, for a very active accelerator science program with users from other labs and universities. This R&D activity is aimed at providing the basis for a future electron-positron high-energy linear collider.

II. Description of Affected Environment:

All the work would take place in previously disturbed areas outside and inside of Building 366. No impacts would occur to environmentally sensitive areas.

III. <u>Potential Environmental Effects:</u> (Attach explanation for each "yes" response. See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form)

A. Complete Section A for all projects.

- Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable
- Air Pollutant Emissions
 Construction activities that generate air emissions would be minimal and consist of vehicles and machines used in construction and maintenance operations. No collection or discharge of refrigerants would occur or would be added to the systems. The removal of asbestos insulation, by an outside contractor, would occur and would be handled

per Argonne procedures. Two sections of steam and condensate piping with asbestos insulation (assumed 2" pipe diameter), totaling approximately 75 LF each, would be removed.

SF6 gas is used in normal operations in the waveguides pressurized at 14 psig. The leak rate in the current waveguide configuration is approximately 0.2 lb/hour. A system to recover this gas would be installed to minimize the loss into the environment. The gas would be removed from the waveguides when the facility is not in operation, thus gas emission would only occur during the time the accelerator is in operation.

Operations estimate an air release of 0.25 Ci/yr, therefore a new permit will be needed. Operations will not commence until the permit is approved.

3. Noise

Typical construction noise would be generated. All activities that may generate higher noise levels such as Construction and D&D would be evaluated and appropriate hearing protective equipment required as needed per Argonne procedures.

4. Chemical/Oil Storage/Use

Standard construction and maintenance chemicals would be used on-site. Construction industry chemicals such as gasoline, grease and oil may be used as well as standard cleaning chemicals. The materials would be stored in proper containers and protected from spillage. In addition, emergency clean-up plans would be in place in case of an accidental release.

No chemicals are used in the accelerator operation. Mineral oil is used in the klystron tanks, per standard procedure. Small amounts of cleaning solvents (ethanol and acetone) are used during the preparation and installation of vaccum components.

- 5. Pesticide Use
- 6. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)
- 7. Biohazards
- 8. Liquid Effluent (wastewater)
 - These construction activities would require an Argonne Erosion Control Plan to ensure proper stormwater management. Any stormwater pumped from the foundation excavations would be filtered prior to discharge to grass surfaces near the work areas. Water collected from the piping systems during construction and operations would be collected and discharged to the laboratory sewer system located across the street or discharged to existing building lab or sanitary sewer systems inside the buildings. Untreated waste water would not be discharged to any storm water sewer system. Heavily chlorinated wastewater would be de-chlorinated prior to discharge to the laboratory

Yes ____ No X

Yes X No _____

- Yes <u>No X</u> Yes <u>No X</u> Yes <u>No X</u>
- Yes X No ____

or sanitary sewer systems. The only anticipated discharges will be from the fire protection system.

There is tap water that flows through the laser system during operation, for cooling purposes, and then goes to the sanitary drain.

- 9. Waste Management
 - a) Construction or Demolition Waste

Minimal debris would be generated from this action. Miscellaneous packaging materials would be recycled where possible. Excess soil, asphalt, etc. would be removed from the site. Excess gravel would be properly stockpiled and reused on the project or stored in the 362 Gravel Storage area.

- b) Hazardous Waste
- c) Radioactive Mixed Waste
- d) Radioactive Waste
- PCB or Asbestos Waste Asbestos insulation would be disturbed during piping work inside Building 366. ANL procedures would be followed concerning the reporting, removal, and cleanup of subject waste.
- f) Biological Waste
- g) No Path to Disposal Waste
- h) Nano-material Waste
- 10. Radiation

No special precautions are required for construction personnel working in or on the AWA bunker. Workers would not be allowed to work over the bunker when experiments are in progress.

Calculations for proposed work at higher energies give an estimated air release of 0.25 Ci/yr. An air permit will be applied for and operations of the facility will not commence until the permit is approved.

- 11. Threatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements
- New or Modified Federal or State Permits With an estimated air release of 0.25 Ci/yr, a new permit will be needed. Operations will not commence until the permit is approved.
- 13. Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste
- 14. Public Controversy15. Historic Structures and Objects
- 16. Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination
- 17. Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features
 The building expansion would follow current Argonne standards for wall and roof insulation, roof material and SRI, and mechanical system design. The project would use recycled materials in the asphalt and concrete products. No LEED certification is anticipated.

Yes X No

Yes	No <u>X</u>
Yes	No X
Yes	No X
Yes X	No

Yes	No X
Yes	No <u>X</u>
Yes	No X

Yes X___ No ____

- Yes <u>No X</u>
- Yes X No _____
- Yes No X
- Yes No X
- Yes____ No <u>X</u>____
-
- Yes ____ No <u>X</u>____

Yis X No____

	 Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species 	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	19. Wetlands	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	20. Floodplain	Yes	No X
	21. Landscaping	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	22. Navigable Air Space	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	23. Clearing or Excavation This action would excavate and recycle, asphalt, concrete, gravel, and earth. It is expected that approximately 20,000 CF of spoils would be removed and backfilled. An Erosion Control Plan would be developed and followed.	Yes <u>X</u>	No
	24. Archaeological Resources	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	25. Underground Injection	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	26. Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	27. Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	28. Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	C. For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as S	Sections A	and B.
	29. Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No
	30. Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No
	31. Coastal Zones	Yes	No
	32. Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No
	33. Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No
	34. Class I Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No
IV.	Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)		
	Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No_X
	Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	Yes	No X
	If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	Yes	No

B. For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Section A.

Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?

Yes X No____

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded. <u>Appendix B.6 Siting/construction/operation/</u> decommissioning of facilities for bench-scale research, conventional inborntory operations, Small-scale research and development and pilot projects.

> If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

ASO NEPA Coordinator Review: Kaushik N. Joshi

Signature:

Date: 7-20-11

ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination:

The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR Part 1021.400. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion identified above.

Signature: _________ Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO

Date: 7/20/11

ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation:

Class of Action:

Signature:

Date:

Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO

Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation:

CH GLD:_____

Signature:

Date: _____

Date:

ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:

An ____EA ___EIS shall be prepared for the proposed ______ and

shall serve as the document manager.

Signature:

Dr. Joanna M .Livengood Manager

rev. May 2011

.

.