Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Click on the blue question marks (?) for instructions, contacts, and additional information on specific line items.

(?)Pı	roject/A	ctivity Title: Building 3	362 Engine Test Facili	ty Cell #3 Phase II Proje	ct
(?)A	SO NEP	A Tracking No.		(?)Type of Funding: B&R Code	
Work	c Project	<u>g number</u> :		CRADA pro m 3a in Field Work Prop	oposal #
(?)Pr	oject M	anager: John Tinnin	Signature:	un Tappe	Date: 1/18/20
(?)NI	EPA On	vner: Phil Rash	Signature: [lif Clash	Date: 1/18/2011
ANL	NEPA I	Reviewer: <u>M. A. Kamiyo</u>	2 Signature:	. & Lung	Date: 1/19/201
I.	(?) De	scription of Proposed	Action:	V	
distril tank (build	bution sy (installed ing.	ystem that includes pane if inside B362). The pro	els, controls, (2) 1400 a ject also proposes to d	exhaust for heat dispersing the second fuel tanks, emolish a gas storage shade categorical exclusion (A	(1) 120-gallon day ack outside of the
II.	(?)De	scription of Affected E	invironment:		
		uld be performed inside where previous constructions		side adjacent to the build	ling. All work would
III.		tential Environmental uctions for Completing		anation for each "yes" re	sponse. See
	A. (Complete Section A for	all projects.		
	C	?)Project evaluated for lopportunities and details below, as applicable		nd Waste Minimization 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20	Yes X No
	2. (?)Air Pollutant Emissio	ns		Yes No <u>X</u>
	d		ner emissions from ope	powered pumps and generations and maintenance X.	
	3. (?)Noise			Yes No X

rev. April 2009 Page 1 of 5

4.	(?)Chemical Storage/Use	Yes	No X		
	Standard construction materials would be used such as fuel, oil, grease, cleaning products, concrete, etc. Permanent tanks would be installed that will store gasoline and diesel fuel. The tanks would be constructed with a double walled system. Spill control basins/pans would be installed under Argonne requirements; the oil delivery vendor would have spill prevention kits with the trucks and shall protect adjacent storm sewer basins during filling. The facility would have spill prevention inside the building for emergency spills.				
5.	(?)Pesticide Use	Yes	No X		
6.	(?) Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes	No X		
7.	(?) Biohazards	Yes	No X		
8.	(?)Liquid Effluent (wastewater)	Yes	No X		
9.	(?)Waste Management				
	a) Construction or Demolition Waste Minor construction debris would be generated including asphalt, grave These materials would be recycled as appropriate.	Yes X el, and con			
	b) Hazardous Waste c) Radioactive Mixed Waste d) Radioactive Waste e) PCB or Asbestos Waste Outside gas bottle storage structure contains PCB contaminated paint. be dismantled and disposed by ANL Waste Management Division. f) Biological Waste g) No Path to Disposal Waste h) Nano-material Waste (?)Radiation (?)Threatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes Yes X Structur Yes	No X No X No X No X		
12.	12. (?) New or Modified Federal or State Permits Yes X No Construction permit #10090018 has been received for installation of the gasoline above ground storage tank. This tank would be operated under a special condition in the construction permit until the activity is added to the Argonne CAAPP (Title V) permit upon renewal.				
13.	(?)Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>		
14.	(?)Public Controversy	Yes	No X		
15.	(?)Historic Structures and Objects	Yes	No X		
16.	(?)Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No X		
17.	(?) Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	Yes	No X		

rev. April 2009 Page 2 of 5

В.	B. For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Section A.				
18.	(?)Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	Yes	No X		
19.	(?)Wetlands	Yes	No <u>X</u>		
20.	(?)Floodplain	Yes	No X		
21.	(?)Landscaping	Yes	No <u>X</u>		
22.	(?)Navigable Air Space	Yes	No X		
23.	(?)Clearing or Excavation	Yes X	No		
	Minor excavation would occur. The volume of material is expected to be let the excavated debris would be recycled on-site. Recycled gravel would be base.		-		
24.	(?)Archaeological Resources	Yes	No X		
25.	(?)Underground Injection	Yes	No X		
26.	(?)Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No X		
27.	(?)Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No <u>X</u>		
28.	(?)Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No X		
C.	For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as Se	ections A	and B.		
29.	(?)Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No		
30.	(?)Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No		
31.	(?)Coastal Zones	Yes	No		
	(?) Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No		
33.	(?) Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No		
34.	(?)Class I Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No		
<u>(?)Sı</u>	abpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)				
	here any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No X		
	e project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts lated to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	Yes	No X		
	s, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 CFR 1021.211?	Yes	No		

rev. April 2009 Page 3 of 5

IV.

Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?

Yes	X	No	

Page 4 of 5

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded. CX B 1.5 Improvements to Cooling Water's within existing building, structure and B 3.6 Siting/construction/oper decommissioning of facilities for bench-scale research, conventional labor operations, small-scale research and development and pilot projects If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.	sustems
---	---------

ASO NEPA Coordinator Review: James R. Oprzedek	KAUSHIK	N. JOSHI	
		01-24-20	711
ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination: The preceding pages are a record of documentation tha further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 proposed action meets the requirements for the Categoric Signature: Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	CFR Part 1021.40 at Exclusion identification	0. I have determine	d that the
ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation: NA			
Signature: Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO			
Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation:	IA		
CH GLD:			
Signature:		Date:	
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation	on: NA		
An EA EIS shall be prepared for the propos	sed	and	
shall serve as the document mana	iger.		
Signature:		Date:	

rev. April 2009



rev. April 2009 Page 5 of 5