## Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Click on the blue question marks (?) for instructions, contacts, and additional information on specific line items.

(?)Project/Activity Title: Midwest Photovoltaics Analysis Facility at the Tollway			
(?)ASO NEPA Tracking No.	(?)Type of Funding: B&R Code	WFO	
(?)Identifying number: ERF-01083 WF Work Project # ANL Other (explain)			
(?)Project Manager: Seth Darling	Signature: Physical Signature:	Date: 1/13/11	
(?)NEPA Owner: Phil Rash (?)NEPA Owner: N. VanWermeskerken	Signature: Nang Wanderlen	Date: ///C//	
ANL NEPA Reviewer: M. A. Kamiya	Signature Q. Wenge		

## I. (?)Description of Proposed Action:

We propose to establish a photovoltaics analysis facility involving the installation, operation, and monitoring of various photovoltaic (PV) technologies at a site located at the Ilinois Tollway headquarters. Data would be sent in real time to an existing central database located on the Argonne site. Solar PV panels of various technologies would be installed and studied and a weather instrumentation package would be installed on the mounting structure that would measure variables such as solar insolation, temperature, and precipitation. A second weather package would be installed near the Photovoltaics Analysis facility on an existing structure to measure conditions at standard heights and conditions. Monitoring devices would record information including voltage and current along with solar production and weather conditions.

The Tollway would perform any necessary environmental studies and obtain any required permits; the Tollway would also coordinate communications with the utility providers regarding interconnection. Argonne would (1) Identify candidate PV technologies; (2) Inspect the installation location for technical suitability; (3) Identify specific equipment vendors and purchase equipment for delivery to the Tollway; and (4) Prepare drawings of the system. Using the drawings and delivered equipment, the Tollway would install the mounting infrastructure, the solar panels and ancillary hardware (inverters, weather stations, etc.), and connect the system electrically and to communications equipment. Argonne would verify the installation has been carried out according to the specifications. The Tollway would maintain the system once installed such as through periodic cleaning.

## II. (?)Description of Affected Environment:

The project would be located at the Illinois Tollway Headquarters in Lisle, IL near Ogden Ave., I-88 & I-355. The specific location at the headquarters will be selected based on a technical review of the area. See attached photo.

rev. October 2010 Page 1 of 5

## (?)Potential Environmental Effects: (Attach explanation for each "yes" response. See III. Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form)

A.	Complete Section A for all projects.		
1.	(?) Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable.	Yes X	No
	The site would be selected based on minimum operations criteria and would also consider the sites that best suit the net minimum use of materials and control the project costs.		
2.	(?)Air Pollutant Emissions Minor emissions from cars, light duty vehicles, gas powered pumps and generators may occur during construction, maintenance and operations. The Tollway would be responsible for these activities.	Yes X	No
3.	(?)Noise Minor construction noises consistent with construction and maintenance would occur. The Tollway would be responsible for these activities.	Yes X	No
4.	(?)Chemical/Oil Storage/Use Standard maintenance and construction materials would be used such as fuel, oil grease, cleaning products, concrete, etc. The Tollway would be responsible for these activities.	Yes X	No
5.	(?)Pesticide Use	Yes	No X
6.	(?) Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes	No X
7.	(?) Biohazards	Yes	No X
8.	(?)Liquid Effluent (wastewater) No effluent is expected, if excavations fill with water due to rain etc. The foundations would be pumped out and the water filtered per the Erosion Control Plan.	Yes	No X
9.	(?)Waste Management a) Construction or Demolition Waste	v V	
	Minor amounts of construction debris would be generated. Recycling of these materials would occur where appropriate and reasonable. The Tollway would be responsible for the management of the waste.	Yes X	NO
	b) Hazardous Waste	Yes	
	c) Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes	
	<ul><li>d) Radioactive Waste</li><li>e) PCB or Asbestos Waste</li></ul>	Yes	
	f) Biological Waste	Yes Yes	
	g) No Path to Disposal Waste	Yes	
	h) Nano-material Waste	Yes	

rev. October 2010 Page 2 of 5

10	. (?)Radiation	Yes	No X
11	. (?)Threatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes	No <u>X</u>
12	. (?)New or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes	No X
13	. (?)Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste	Yes	No X
14	. (?)Public Controversy	Yes	No X
15	. (?)Historic Structures and Objects	Yes	No X
16	. (?)Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No X
17	. (?)Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features This project will install photovoltaic panels to determine energy efficiency as a sustainable initiative for the Tollway.	Yes X	No
В.	For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Sec Tollway would be responsible for activities that occur for construction of equipment including any environmental state requirements.		
18	. (?)Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	Yes	No X
19	. (?)Wetlands	Yes	No X
20	. (?)Floodplain	Yes	No X
21	. (?)Landscaping After construction the Tollway would be responsible to restore the landscape and then maintain it as a routine operation.	Yes X	No
22	. (?)Navigable Air Space	Yes	No X
23.	. (?)Clearing or Excavation  The Tollway would be responsible for clearing and excavation.  Erosion control measures for the excavation would be the responsibility of the Tollway.	Yes X	No
24	. (?)Archaeological Resources	Yes	No X
25.	. (?)Underground Injection	Yes	No X
26.	. (?)Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No <u>X</u>
27.	. (?)Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No X
28.	(?)Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No X

rev. October 2010 Page 3 of 5

	The Tollway would be responsible for activities that occur for cons installation of equipment including any environmental state require	truction ar	
	29. (?)Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No X
	30. (?) Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No X
	31. (?)Coastal Zones	Yes	No X
	32. (?) Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No X
	33. (?)Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No X
	34. (?)Class I Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No X
IV.	Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)		
	Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No 🗶
	Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	Yes	No <b>X</b>
	If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	Yes	. No
	Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	Yes X	. No
	If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart project may be excluded. B3.6 Siting/construction/odecommissioning of facilities for bench scale conventional laboratory operations, Small-scale development, and pilot projects.  If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.	perational le rese resear	earch, ech an
	NEPA Coordinator Review: Kaushik N. Joshi ture:		
Signa	ture: Date:	<u>,</u>	

rev. October 2010 Page 4 of 5

ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination:	
The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an ac-	
further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR P	Part 1021.400. I have determined that the
proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Excli	usion identified above.
Signature:  Peter R. Siebach	Date: $i/24/2011$
Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	
ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation:	
Class of Action:	
Characterist	Data
Signature:Peter R. Siebach	Date:
Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	
Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation: NA  CH GLD:	
Signature:	Date:
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:	NA _
An EA EIS shall be prepared for the proposed	and
shall serve as the document manager.	
Signature:	Date:
Dr. Joanna M .Livengood	
Manager	

rev. October 2010 Page 5 of 5

