U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitatio	on/Award No. (if applicable): DE-SC-0021424		
Organizat	tion Name: University of Kentucky Research Foundation (Lexington, Kentucky)		
Proposed	Action Title: Neutron Scattering Cross Sections: (n,n'), (n,n'gamma), and (n,gamma) Meas	surements	
Total DOI	E Funding/Total Funding: \$197,605 (year 1)		
I. <u>Pro</u>	Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded por Nuclear data, i.e., neutron scattering and attenuation cross sections, along w gamma-ray production rates, are required in many technical fields such as bascience, experimental design and analysis, medical treatment and dosimetry and fusion power industries, homeland security, non-proliferation, safeguards interrogation communities. Members of this collaboration have surveyed need documents and participated in numerous workshops to identify measurementhe University of Kentucky Accelerator Laboratory (UKAL) can make signification that the contributions.	rith asic nucle , the fiss s, and the eds ts for wh	ion e
В.	Would the project proceed without Federal funding?	Yes	No ✓
	If "yes," use explanation page.		

II. <u>Description of Affected Environment</u>: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

The measurements will be performed indoors in the University of Kentucky Accelerator Laboratory, a facility that has existed for approximately 58 years. The facility was specifically constructed to accommodate measurements of the proposed type. http://www.pa.uky.edu/accelerator/

		DOE NEPA Tracking	Number							
III.	Pre	iminary Questions:								
	A.	Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"	Yes	No ✓						
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.								
	В.	Is there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)								
		4 Moule to be a grantegues of exitate and O								
		 Work to be performed outdoors? Major modification of a building interior? 	H							
		3. Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for	H							
		environment, safety, and health?	ш	ت						
		4. Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal		\checkmark						
		facilities?								
		5. Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the		\checkmark						
		environment?								
		The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the	H	✓						
		environment?	Ц	V						
		8. The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		\checkmark						
		potentially create a significant impact?	_							
		9. Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collecti	vely□	\checkmark						
		significant impacts could result?	\Box							
		10. Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regard	ling 🗀	\checkmark						
		resource usage?								
IV.	<u>Pot</u> A.	If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V. ential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response) Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in change	es and/or							
		disturbances to any of the following resources?								
		Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats	Yes	No						
		Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators)	H							
		3. Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)	Ħ	M						
		4. Cultural or Historic Resources		=						
		5. Important Farmland								
		6. Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		V						
		7. Class I Air Quality Control Region	님							
		8. Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)9. Navigable Air Space	H	씱						
		10. Coastal Zones	H	H						
		11. Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)	Ħ	M						
		12. Floodplains and/or Wetlands	_	_						
	B.									
		activities?								
		13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments		$\overline{\checkmark}$						
		14. Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms		$\overline{\checkmark}$						
		15. Noxious Weeds		\ \ \ \						
		16. Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by		\checkmark						
		Local Requirement 17. Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)								
		Tr. Drouge of Fill (under clear viate) Act, decilon 704, greater than one acte)		LV I						

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

	B.	Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or activities? (continued)				ns or	
		activiti	<u>les? (continuea)</u>			Yes	No
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)				\square
		19.	Asbestos Removal			Ħ	Ħ
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)			Ħ	M
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances			Ħ	7
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use			Ħ	₩.
		23.	Pesticide Use			Ħ	
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions			Ħ	刁
		25.	Liquid Effluents			Ħ	ᅒ
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection			Ħ	\Box
		27.	Underground Injection			Ħ	ᅒ
		28.	Hazardous Waste			Ħ	团
		29.	Underground Storage Tanks			Ħ	7
		30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste			V	Ħ
		31.	Radiation Exposure			7	Ħ
		32.	Nanoscale Materials			Ħ	Image: Control of the
		33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthet	ic Biology		Ħ	闩
		34.	Ozone Depleting Substances	io biology		H	
		35.	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability			Ħ	H
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles			Ħ	H
		37.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory			Ħ	爿
		38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals			H	H
		39.	Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet	,		Ħ	H
		55.	r acility lootprint exceeds 5,000 Square reet			Ш	LV .
	C.	Other	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the	ne followin	ıg?		
		'				Yes	No
		40.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low	Income F	Populations		
		41.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits				\checkmark
		42.	Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/perr	nit, funding	g, approval)		$\overline{\checkmark}$
		43.	Action in a State with NEPA-type law	•			$\overline{\checkmark}$
		44.	Expansion of Public Utilities/Services				$\overline{\checkmark}$
		45.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources				✓
		46.	Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Co	ntrol Proce	ess	Ħ	
		47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human I				$\overline{\square}$
			·			_	_
V.	Δnr	olicant c	ertification that to the best of their knowledge all information	provided	on this form is accu	ırata:	
٧.	APE	Jilcant C	erimeation that to the best of their knowledge all information	provided	OIT LINS TOTTI IS ACCL		
	_					Yes	No
Does this disclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or other exempt information that DOE					Ш	\checkmark	
	wou		pe obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information				
	۸	0	nization Official (Name and Title): Kim C. Carter, As	sociate	Director		
	A.	Organ	nization Official (Name and Title).				
		Signat	Digitally signed by Kim C. Carter Date: 2021.02.02 11:38:21 -05'00'	Date:	2/2/2021		
		Sigilal		_			
		e-mail	spa@uky.edu	Phone:	859-257-942	20	
				_			
	B.	Option	nal Secondary Approval (Name and Title):				
		Ciara - 1	h.ve.	Date			
		Signat	ture:	_ Date:			
		e-mail	l:	Phone [.]			
		2	*				

DOE NEPA Tracking Number	

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DO	DOE Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:							
	A.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Manag	ement Specialist:	V	NI.				
		Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly? Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? If yes, indicate:		Yes	No X				
		Name and Title:							
		Signature: BRADLEY SWITZER Digitally signed by BRADLEY SWITZER Date: 2021.02.08 15:00:48-06'00'	Date:						
	В.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if reguested):							
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Ap Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? B3.6, B3.10 If yes, specify the class(es) of action:		Yes X	No				
		Name and Title:							
		Signature:	Date:						
	C.	DOE Counsel (if reguested):							
		Name and Title:							
		Signature:	Date:						
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:							
	The preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA Regulation, 10 CFR § 1021.410.								
	X	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.							
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Assessment.							
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.							
		Comments/limitations if any:							
		NEPA Compliance Officer:							
		Name:							
		Signature:	Date:						

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

IV.B.30 The laboratory utilizes tritium for neutron production as authorized by the University's Radiation Safety Committee, which operates under a Broad Scope license. Procedures reduce and contain contamination. Swipe tests are performed. Small quantities of mixed waste are generated as gloves, swipes, and cleaning wipes. Waste is disposed of by the Radiological Safety Program, which also services the University's Medical Center.

IV.B.31 The project involves using a positive-ion accelerator to generate neutrons and gamma rays. There are no hazards from the particle beam itself. The facility was constructed with thick, high-density concrete walls and lockable spaces to adhere to ALARA principles and minimize exposure to the users. Users receive radiation safety training prior to working in the facility. All personnel are issued appropriate dosimetry by the Radiation Safety Office. Personnel do not normally receive measurable doses.