U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization receiving funds and/or implementing Federal Actions as defined by 40 CFR § 1508.18. For assistance, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No.	(if applicable):	DE-SC0019793
Organization Name:	Stellarray, Inc. (Austin, TX)
Proposed Action Title:	Developmer	nt of Sterile Insect Technique X-ray Irradiator
Total DOE Funding/To	tal Funding: \$1	99,970.00

I. <u>Project Description:</u> (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

A. Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

The "sterile insect technique" has been successfully applied for several decades to control or eradicate insect pests that threaten agricultural crops or human health in the U.S. and around the world. The problem is that most of the irradiators for this technique are based on radioactive Cesium-137 or Cobalt-60, both of which could be used by terrorists in a radiological attack. Stellarray will develop a Sterile Insect Technique X-ray Irradiator based on its flat panel x-ray sources which can efficiently deliver highly uniform x-ray flux over a broad area, with sustained operation at high power levels for high throughput. This will obviate the need for the dangerous isotopes and will help reduce the use of chemical pesticides to control harmful insects.

		Yes	No
В.	Would the project proceed without Federal funding?		\checkmark

If "yes," use explanation page.

II. Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

The project will be performed at Stellarray's laboratory and be all indoors. The environmental effect noted on the proposal form is the POSITIVE effect after the resulting product is deployed. The greater use of the sterile insect technique made possible by project-developed technology will REDUCE the need for chemical pesticides. This technique involves the irradiation in a controlled indoor environment of male pupae of the designated insect pest. When released in the wild, the adults mate but produce no offspring.

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

III. Preliminary Questions:

A. Is the DOE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"

No ☑

Yes

П

If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.

B. Is there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)

1.	Work to be performed outdoors?		\checkmark
2.	Major modification of a building interior?		\checkmark
3.	Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health?	\checkmark	
4.	Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities?		\checkmark
5.	Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?		\checkmark
6.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources?		$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
7.	Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the environment?		\checkmark
8.	The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could		\checkmark
	potentially create a significant impact?		171
9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collectively significant impacts could result?		\checkmark
10.	Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regarding resource usage?		\checkmark

If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.

IV. Potential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)

A. <u>Environmentally Sensitive Resources: Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and/or</u> disturbances to any of the following resources?

			Yes	NO
	1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats		\checkmark
	2.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators)		\checkmark
	3.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)		\checkmark
	4.	Cultural or Historic Resources		\checkmark
	5.	Important Farmland		\checkmark
	6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		\checkmark
	7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region		\checkmark
	8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)		\checkmark
	9.	Navigable Air Space		\checkmark
	10.	Coastal Zones		\checkmark
	11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)		\checkmark
	12.	Floodplains and/or Wetlands		
			6	
Β.	Regula	ated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regu	lated Ite	ms or
	activiti	es?		

- 13. Natural Resource Damage Assessments
- 14. Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms
- 15. Noxious Weeds
- 16. Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by Local Requirement
- 17. Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)

 $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$

...

B. <u>Regulated Substances/Activities:</u> Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or <u>activities? (continued)</u>

C.	 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39 	Noise (in excess of regulations) Asbestos Removal Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances Chemical Storage/Use Pesticide Use Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions Liquid Effluents Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection Underground Injection Hazardous Waste Underground Storage Tanks Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste Radiation Exposure Nanoscale Materials Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthetic Biolo Ozone Depleting Substances Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability Off-Road Vehicles Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the follow Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low Income Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/permit, fund Action in a State with NEPA-type Iaw Expansion of Public Utilities/Services Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Control Pro-	ving? e Populations ing, approval)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	<u>अघ्यायघड</u> द्याययघड द्याययघड द्यायदा द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्याय द्या द्या
	47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human Health o	r the Environment		$\overline{\checkmark}$
Doe	es this dia be obliga	ertification that to the best of their knowledge all information provid sclosure contain classified, confidential, or other exempt informatio ated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act? zation Official (Name and Title): Mark Eaton, Presider	on that DOE would	<u>curate</u> : Yes □	No ☑
73.			e:05/22/2019		
	Signatu	ire: Dat	e: 512-997-77	'81	
	e-mail:	eaton@stellar-micro.com Phon	e:	01	
В.	Optiona	al Secondary Approval (Name and Title):			
	Signatu	ıre: Dat	e:		
	e-mail:	Phon	e:		

 $V_{\rm s}$

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI.	DOI	E Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:			
	Α.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Spec	ialist:	Yes	No
		Has the Applicant completed the Form correctly? Does an existing Generic Categorical Exclusion apply? If yes, indicate:			
		Name and Title:Walter Strzepka, Contract Specialist			
		Signature: <u>Walter Strepka</u> Date:	05/29/2019		
	В.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):		Yes	No
		Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A- Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action: <u>B3.6</u>	D to	函.	Ö
		Name and Title:) Peter R. Slebach			
		Signature:	6/5/2	019	
	C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):			
		Name and Title:			
		Signature: Date:			
	D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:			
		preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEF 1.400.	PA Regulation	, 10 CFR <u>§</u>	
•	Å	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have d action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above		at the propose	∍d
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend Environmental Assessment.	preparation c	of an	
		Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial Officer. Recommend preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.			
		Comments/limitations if any:			
		NEPA Compliance Officer:			
		Name: Peter Stebach			
		Signature: find Jubuh	ate: <u>6/5</u>	5/2019	
			,		

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

"yes" explanations.

III. B. 3. We will be developing another x-ray source (this is what we do). X-rays, if mis-applied, can of course damage human health. We are licensed by the Texas Department of State Health Services for the development and production of radiation-emitting devices such as our x-ray sources. We implement comprehensive radiological safety procedures as part of the license process and are periodically inspected by the Dept. of Health to ensure compliance with radiological safety regulations. So, there is a potential threat, at the "whatsoever" level, of safety regulations not being followed, due merely to the fact that these regulations apply to our work.