U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF SCIENCE INTEGRATED SUPPORT CENTER—CHICAGO OFFICE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "Applicant," i.e., organization with responsibilities for a "Federal action" involving application to DOE for a permit, license, exemption or allocation, or other similar actions. For assistance with this Form, refer to "Instructions for Preparing ISC-CH F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form."

Solicitation/Award No.	(if applicable): DE-SC0020032
J	QuesTek Innovations LLC Evanston, IL
Proposed Action Title:	Computational Materials Design of Functionally Graded Structures for Enhanced Cooling Plasma Facing Components via AM
Total DOE Funding/To	tal Funding: \$199,894.00

I. <u>Project Description:</u> (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

A. Proposed Project/Action (if applicable, delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)

QuesTek will lead the overall STTR program with the long-term objective to design and fabricate a functionally graded material (FGM) component, joining the W shielding layer and underlying coolant-contacting parts (e.g., RAFM steel) via advanced Additive Manufacturing techniques for enhanced cooling of the PFCs. Prof. Raymundo Arroyave and Prof. Ibrahim Karaman at Texas A&M University (TAMU), leading experts in the field path optimization and AM production of FGM, will serve as academic partners for this STTR program. Path planning and optimization algorithm developed at TAMU and the unique multi-hopper DED laser engineering net shaping (LENS®) AM capabilities at TAMU's AMTex Laboratory will be leveraged to ensure program success.

	Yes	No
Would the project proceed without Federal funding?		\checkmark

If "yes," use explanation page.

Β.

II. Description of Affected Environment: (Use explanation pages if additional space is required)

Our proposed STTR research to design and develop novel functionally graded PFCs with enhanced cooling can directly boost success of fusion energy programs. Advanced helium-cooled fusion reactors offer the promise of environmentally-benign energy generation, substantially eliminating CO2 and other greenhouse gas plant emissions. Additionally, the radioactive byproducts produced by fusion reactors have a very short half-life compared to nuclear fission, such that the logistics involved with fusion reactor waste storage would be simpler and easier to implement than with fission-based nuclear waste.

DOE NEPA	Tracking	Number
	i raoring	1 4 41 11 10 01

III.	Prel	iminary (Questions:	Yes	No
	Α.	Is the D	OE-funded work routinely administrative or entirely advisory or a "paper study?"		Ø
	If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.				
	B.	B. Is there any potential whatsoever for: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)			
		1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Work to be performed outdoors? Major modification of a building interior? Threat of violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health? Siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities? Disturbance to hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?		
		6. 7.	The presence of any environmentally-sensitive resources? Any potential whatsoever for high consequence impacts to human health or the		\mathbf{V}
		8.	environment? The work being connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially create a significant impact?		
		9.	Nearby past, present, and/or reasonably foreseeable future actions such that collective significant impacts could result?	_	
		10.	Scientific or public controversy, uncertainty over potential impacts, or conflicts regardin resource usage?	لےا و	₹

If "No" to ALL Section III.B. questions, go directly to Section V.

- IV. Potential Environmental Effects: (Provide an explanation for each "Yes" response)
 - A. <u>Environmentally Sensitive Resources:</u> Could the proposed action potentially result in changes and/or disturbances to any of the following resources? Voe

1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds, Pollinators) Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)	Yes	
3. 4.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)	님	\checkmark
3. 4.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)		
4.			\mathbb{Z}
	Cultural or Historic Resources		\checkmark
	Important Farmland		\checkmark
6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards		141
7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region		\checkmark
8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)		\checkmark
9.	Navigable Air Space		
10.	Coastal Zones		\checkmark
11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)		\checkmark
12.	Floodplains and/or Wetlands		
Rea			

B. Regulated activities?

13. 14.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments Invasive Species or Exotic Organisms	S S S
15. 16.	Noxious Weeds Clearing or Excavation greater than one acre or Removal of Trees Governed by	N N
17.	Local Requirement Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, greater than one acre)	\checkmark

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

	B. <u>Regulated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regulated Items or activities? (continued)</u>					<u>ns or</u>	
		activitie	<u>st (continued)</u>			Yes	No
		18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)				
		19.	Asbestos Removal				\checkmark
		20.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)				\checkmark
		21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances				\checkmark
		22.	Chemical Storage/Use				\checkmark
		23.	Pesticide Use				\checkmark
		24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions				
		25.	Liquid Effluents				\checkmark
		26.	Spill Prevention/Surface Water Protection				
		27.	Underground Injection				
		28.	Hazardous Waste			Ē	
		20. 29.	Underground Storage Tanks			Ħ	7
		29. 30.	Radioactive or Radioactive Mixed Waste			Ħ	7
						H	E I
		31.	Radiation Exposure			H	団
		32.	Nanoscale Materials	Pieleav		H	E I
		33.	Genetically Engineered Microorganisms/Plants or Synthetic	Diology		H	Ě.
		34.	Ozone Depleting Substances			H	E E E
		35.	Greenhouse Gas Generation/Sustainability			H	
		36.	Off-Road Vehicles			H	벌
		37.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory			H	¥.
		38.	Research on Human Subjects or other Vertebrate Animals			H	¥.
		39.	Facility footprint exceeds 5,000 Square Feet				$\mathbf{\nabla}$
	C.	Other I	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action involve the	e followin	<u>a?</u>	Vee	No
		40.	Disproportionate Nearby Presence of Minority and/or Low I	ncome F	opulations	Yes	
		41.	Existing, Modified, or New Federal/State Permits		•		\checkmark
		42.	Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license/permi	t. funding	approval)		
		43.	Action in a State with NEPA-type law				
		4 3. 44 .	Expansion of Public Utilities/Services			Π	
		4 1 . 45.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resources			Fi	
			Subject to an Existing Institutional Work Planning and Conf	rol Proc	226	Ħ	
		46.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could Impact Human He	abth or t	he Environment	Ħ	
		47.	Other Pertinent Information Which Could impact Human In	Saith Of t			
V.	App	olicant c	ertification that to the best of their knowledge all information r	orovided	on this form is accu	rate:	
	-					Yes	No
	Doe	es this d	isclosure contain: classified, sensitive business, or other exe	empt info	mation that DOE		$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$
	WOL	uld not b	e obligated to disclose pursuant to the Freedom of Informatic	on Aci.	Administrator		
	Α.	Organ	ization Official (Name and Title): Padma Kotaru, Col	mraci	Auministrator		
		Signat	ure: fadmat.	Date:			
		e-mail	pkotaru@questek.com	Phone:	847-425-821	6	
	B.		al Secondary Approval (Name and Title):				
	D.	-					
			ture:				
		e-mai		Phone:			

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Remainder to be completed by DOE

VI. DOE Concurrence/Recommendation/Determination:

Α.	DOE Project Director/Program Manager or Contract/Grant Management Speciality	st: Yes	No
	Has the Applicant completed this Form correctly?		
	Does an existing generic categorical exclusion apply? If yes, indicate: <u>On file in ACQ Policy</u>		
		· list	
	Signature: Date: Date:	26/19	
В.	DOE NEPA Team Review (if requested):	Yes	No
	Is the class of action identified in the DOE NEPA Regulations (Appendices A-D t		
	Subpart D (10 CFR § 1021))? If yes, specify the class(es) of action: <u>B3.6</u>	/	
	Name and Title Peter R. Sleboch		
		6/27/2019	
	- Kanal -	<u>ela 11 2011</u>	
C.	DOE Counsel (if requested):		
	Name and Title:	······	
	Signature: Date:		
D.	DOE NEPA Compliance Officer:		
	preceding pages are a record of documentation required under DOE Final NEPA 1 1.410.	Regulation, 10 CFR §	
\mathbf{X}	Action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review. I have dete	rmined that the propose	d
	action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion referenced above.		
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization. Recommend pr Environmental Assessment.	eparation of an	
	Action requires approval by Head of the Field Organization or a Secretarial (preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement.	Officer. Recommend	
	Comments/limitations if any:		
	NEPA Compliance Officer:		
	Name: Peter B. Siebuch		
	Signature:	: 6/27/2019	
	<u> </u>		

Page 4 of 4

DOE NEPA Tracking Number

Optional Additional Narrative: (add additional detail to description to Sections I and II or explanations to responses in Sections III and IV.

×