

## Department of Energy

Argonne Site Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

JAN 1 4 2015

Dr. Peter B. Littlewood Director, Argonne National Laboratory President, UChicago Argonne, LLC 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Dear Dr. Littlewood:

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION FOR

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ARGONNE)

The Argonne Site Office (ASO) has approved the following as a categorical exclusion (CX) under Appendix B (to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Integrated DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures, December 1996), Category B 3.10 "Siting/construction/operation/decommissioning of particle accelerators, including electron beam accelerators, primary beam energy less than approximately 100 MeV."

- Operation of the 50 MeV Electron LINAC Accelerator in Building 211, ASO-CX-310

Therefore, no further NEPA review is required. However, if any modification or expansion of the scope is made to the above project, additional NEPA review will be necessary.

Enclosed please find a copy of the approved Environmental Review Form (ERF) for the project. If you have any questions, please contact Kaushik Joshi of my staff at (630) 252-4226.

Joanna M. Livengood

Mahager

Sincerely

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: J. Stauber, ANL, w/encl.

S. Chemerisov, ANL, w/encl.

N. VanWermeskerken, ANL, w/encl.

K. Joshi, ASO, w/encl.

M. McKown, SC-CH, w/encl.

P. Siebach, SC-CH, w/encl.



## **Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory**

<u>Project</u>	/Acti	vity Title: Operation o	f the 50 MeV Electron Li	nac Accelerator	
ASO NE	PA T	racking No. ASO-	-CX-310	Type of Funding: Open	ration funds
				B&R Code	
Identify	ing r	number:	WFO proposal #	CRADA prop	osal #
Work P	rojec	t #	ANL accounting # (item	a 3a in Field Work Propo	sal)
Other (	expla	in)			
					Date: 12 15.2014
NEPA O	wne	r: Nancy VanWermesk	erken_Signature: 1/2/	gal ar vaniliele	(4Date: 12/17/20
ANL NE	PA Re	eviewer: <u>Joel V. Staube</u>	er Signature:	(V. Stantes	Date: 12/22/14
Planning  II.  facility to samples cooling high end but dire the small target a	Descential	ectron accelerator as it d authorized limits as of authorized limits as of Control documentations. I Control documentations are used by CSE division to Linac accelerator facility system and a one past to induce radioactivity teraction of the high errors section. Activation of the energy x-rays are pair are detailed below	t is currently authorized. detailed in the governing on, Radioactive Work Period of the Study radiation induction induction in the study radiation induction in the second of the air is possible only roduced. Calculations of the air is possible only roduced. Calculations of the second of the air is possible only roduced.	s the operation and main The accelerator will be a g Safety Assessment Doc rmit, or other applicable Linac electron accelerate ed effects in solid, liquid, 211, room D-076, and us. The energy of the gene ents (beam pipes, magne does not effectively active when high energy elect the radioactivity production	operated within nument, Work documents.  or is an existing and gaseous tilizes a closed loop rating electrons is ets, and beam stops) wate the air due to rons strike a specific ced during the
.,		Completing Environme			
	A.	Complete Section A fo	or all projects.		
	1.	•	Pollution Prevention and ails provided under item	d Waste Minimization ns 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20	Yes <u>X</u> No

ANL-985 (12/0<del>6</del>/2012)

## 2. Air Pollutant Emissions

Yes X No \_\_\_\_

Per B. Micklach (PHY) the activity for conditions that are planned to use for thermal load test of the Mo target.

Table 1. Operational parameters of the accelerator

beam energy (MeV)	<sup>1</sup> 35
beam current (uA)	700
accelerator power (kW)	24.5
assumed path length of brems in air (m)	1
target room volume (liters)	300000
run time (hr)	800
wait time (min)	15
occupancy time (min)	5

Release (Table 2) is calculated based on room inventory (concentration) during operation plus exhaust of air after run stops. The run is this case is defined as 800 hrs, the nominal amount of operating time in one year. 35 MEV was used as a limiting case because maximum efficiency for radioactive gasses production is expected at this energy with current configuration of the accelerator

Table 2. Radioactive gases release at the scenario mentioned above. Activities are calculated for nominal amount of operation time in a calendar year. Realistic estimate of experimental (irradiation time) per year is 10 times less. The activity will be proportional to the irradiation time.

nuclide	half life (s)	activity released due to one run (Ci)
He-3	3.89e+08	1.76E-05
Be-7	4.61e+06	3.18E-04
C-11	1223.1	1.11E+01
N-13	597.9	9.84E+02
0-15	122.24	3.33E+02
N-16	7.13	2.321E-1
CI-38	2234.4	1.26E-01
CI-39	3336	5.68E-01
Total		1.66E+03

In addition to the air activation Linac will induce radioactivity in the solid Mo targets used in the experiments. Part of the targets will be converted to liquid form (dissolved) in the

facility. Table 3 presents planed maximum activities and isotope composition for the targets and released activities.

Table 3. Radionuclides produced in irradiations of the metal Mo-100 targets. Calculation for radionuclide releases are assuming maximum target activity 200 Ci combined Mo-99 and Tc-99m. Calculation do not take into account decay of the Mo-99 (66 hours half life) and Tc-99m (6 hours half life). Target will be handled inside glove box with HEPA filtered exhaust.

Radionuclide	Maximum	Physical	Release	Control	Emission	Annual
	quantity, Ci	Form	Factor		Control	Release, Ci
					Factor	
			•			_
Mo-99	100	solid	1e-06	HEPA	0.01	1e-6
Mo-99	20	liquid	0.001	HEPA	0.01	2e-4
Tc-99m	100	solid	1e-06	HEPA	0.01	1e-6
Tc-99m	20	liquid	0.001	HEPA	0.01	<u>2e-4</u>
Total						4.02e-4

Radiological air emissions require annual submission of data to the Environmental Protection Manager for submission to the US EPA for their annual NESHAP report.

Yes\_\_\_\_\_ No <u>X</u>\_\_\_

3. Noise

4.	Chemical/Oil Storage/Use	Yes X	No
	Up to thirty liters of diluted acids and bases will be used in experiments. So (100s of mL) of concentrated acids and bases can be used in experiments. So common solvents are used for cleaning of vacuum equipment and stored in flammable liquid cabinet. 400L of transformer oil is used in the facility in his transformer tanks.	Small amo	ounts of lity in a
5.	Pesticide Use	Yes	No <u>X</u>
6.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes X	No
	Old capacitors in Linac pulse forming network and HV power supplies cont	ain PCB.	
7.	Biohazards	Yes	No X
8.	Effluent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact Gregg Kulma (FMS-SEP) at 2-9147 or gkulma@anl.gov	Yes	No X

ANL-985 (12/06/2012) 3

	a)	Construction or Demolition Waste	Yes	No X
	b)	Hazardous Waste	Yes X	No
	c)	Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes X	No
	d)	Radioactive Waste	Yes X	No
	e)	PCB or Asbestos Waste	Yes X	No
	f)	Biological Waste	Yes	No X
	g)	No Path to Disposal Waste	Yes	No X
	h)	Nano-material Waste	Yes	No X
		All generated wastes will be managed and disposed of according to L	.MS-PROC	C-103.
10.	Radi	ation	Yes X	No
		MeV Linac accelerator can produce ionizing radiation (beta, and gamma gy up to 50 MeV.	a rays) at	the
11.	Thre	atened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes	No X
12.	New	or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes	No X
13.		g, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, t, Store, or Dispose of Waste	Yes	No X
14.	Publ	ic Controversy	Yes	No X
15.	Histo	oric Structures and Objects	Yes	No X
16.	Dist	urbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No X
17.		gy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, Sustainable Design Features	Yes	No X
В.	For	projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Secti	on A.	N/A
18.		eatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or er Protected Species	Yes	No
19.	Wet	lands	Yes	No

9. Waste Management

4

20. Floodplain	Yes	No
21. Landscaping	Yes	No
22. Navigable Air Space	Yes	No
23. Clearing or Excavation	Yes	No
24. Archaeological Resources	Yes	No
25. Underground Injection	Yes	No
26. Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No
27. Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No
28. Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No
C. For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as S	ections A and	1B. N/A
29. Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No
30. Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No
31. Coastal Zones	Yes	No
32. Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No
33. Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No
34. Class   Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No
Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)		
Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No_X_
Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impact or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	ts Yes	No X
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	Yes	No

ANL-985 (12/06/2012) 5

IV.

ι	under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations	5?	Yes No
I	f yes, indicate the class or classes of action from the project may be excluded. Appendix Box of particle accelerators of no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.	om Appendix A or B of Subpart  B 3.10 "Siting/ S, including election I class(es) of action from Apper  Primary beam  approximatel	ndix C or D to
ASO NEP	A Coordinator Review: Kaushik Joshi		- 2015
The prec	Approval of CX Determination:  eding pages are a record of documentation  NEPA (Riview under DOE NEPA Regulation 1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
The prec	eding pages are a record of documentation NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 1 I action meets the requirements for the Cate	0 CFR Part 1021.400. I have	determined that the ove.
The prec further for proposed	eding pages are a record of documentation NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 1 I action meets the requirements for the Cate	O CFR Part 1021.400. I have of gorical Exclusion identified about the property of the property	determined that the ove.
The prec further N proposed Signature	eding pages are a record of documentation NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 1 d action meets the requirements for the Cate e: Peter R. Siebach	O CFR Part 1021.400. I have of gorical Exclusion identified about the property of the property	determined that the ove.
The precedent further for proposed Signature	Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	O CFR Part 1021.400. I have of gorical Exclusion identified about the property of the property	determined that the ove.

**Acting Argonne Site Office NCO** 

Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement

## 

ANL-985 (12/06/2012)