Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

| | | t/Activity Title: Investigation | - | | • • | | |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---------------|
| (?)A | <u>so n</u> | EPA Tracking No. A50 - | CX - 260 | | | DOE | |
| (?)Id Worl | entif k Proj | ving number: William William Allolain) WP&C CSE-CEG04 | FO proposal # NL accounting # (| item 3a in Field | CRADA pro l Work Prop | posal # osal) | · |
| (?) P r | <u>oject</u> | Manager: Brian Ingram | Signature: | Bd | , | Date: 12-1 | <u>5-2∞</u> 9 |
| (?)NI | EPA (| Owner: Roberta Riel | _ Signature: | Roberto y | Riel | Date: /2// | 5/09 |
| ANL | NEP. | A Reviewer: Mark Kamiya | _ Signature: | ach Nen | <u></u> | Date: ~ 1 | 5/200 |
| I. | · <u>(?</u>) | Description of Proposed Ac | etion: | | | • | |
| | light photo hyr The ence | e proposed project will investight source, on photoactive device toactive catalyst to efficient occarbons, and mitigated the relevant process of the relevant process of the source is a 30 closure. The filtered light has at the All experimental work utilizated in Building 205 X-157 with | es and photocataly ly reduce carbon case into the atmosp 00 W xenon arc la a spectrum appoxi- zing the light sourc | sts. The goal of dioxide to ca ohere. mp, which is how mating natural so will be perform | the project in the project in a filte unlight at the need in a well was a second control of the project in a well was a second control of the project in a well was a second control of the project in a well was a second control of the project in a second control | s to establish a ide or simple ered collimated surface of the ventilated hood | |
| п. | | Description of Affected Environment: All proposed activities will be conducted indoors in isting bench-scale laboratory spaces within ventilation hoods in Building 205, X-157. | | | ors in | | |
| III. | | Potential Environmental Ef tructions for Completing E | | | ach "yes" res | sponse. See | |
| | A. | Complete Section A for a | ll projects. | | | | |
| | 1. | (?)Project evaluated for Poopportunities and details probelow, as applicable | | | | Yes X | No |
| : | 2. | (?)Air Pollutant Emissions | | | | Yes X | No |
| | | Some bench-scale research or criteria pollutants such a Clean Air Act. Such emi insignificant activity under | as hydrocarbons, ssions from bene | and carbon mo ch scale resear | noxide as d | efined by the | |
| | 3. | (?)Noise | | | | Yes | No X |
| | 4. | (?)Chemical Storage/Use | | | • | Yes X | No |

The proposed activities will involve the use and storage of chemicals. Pure gases, such as nitrogen, argon, helium, hydrogen, oxygen, and carbon dioxide, as well as mixtures of these pure gases may be used during the course of the project.

Additionally, solid metal-oxides will be stored and utilized in the scope of the experiments. These materials will be on the nano-scale and will be further addressed in section III.A.9.h.

| | III SECTION III.A.Y.II. | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| 5. | (?)Pesticide Use | Yes | No X |
| 6. | (?) Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) | Yes | No X |
| 7. | (?) Biohazards | Yes | No X |
| 8. | (?)Liquid Effluent (wastewater) | Yes | No X |
| 9. | (?)Waste Management | | |
| , | a) Construction or Demolition Wasteb) Hazardous Waste | Yes X | |
| | The proposed activities may involve generation of hazardous waste. The be accumulated, managed and documented in accordance with the Al Handling Procedures Manual. Generators will consult with Waste Ma personnel before the generation of unusual or difficult waste streams. who generate waste and those who prepare waste requisitions are recomplete the required training in accordance with Argonne requirements. | NL Waste magement Personnel | |
| . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | c) Radioactive Mixed Waste d) Radioactive Waste e) PCB or Asbestos Waste f) Biological Waste g) No Path to Disposal Waste h) Nano-material Waste | Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes | No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u> |
| | The proposed activities may involve generation of nano-material waste. will be accumulated, managed, and documented in accordance with the Al Handling Procedures Manual. Personnel who generate waste and those who waste requisitions are required to complete the required training in accordance requirements. | NL Waste no prepare | • |
| 10. | (?)Radiation | Yes | No X |
| 11, | (?)Threatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements | Yes | No X |
| 12. | (?)New or Modified Federal or State Permits | Yes | No X |
| 13. | (?)Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste | Yes | No <u>X</u> |
| 14. | (?)Public Controversy | Yes | No X |
| 15. | (2) Historic Structures and Objects | Yes | No X |

| 16. (?)Disturbance of Pre-existing Co | ontamination | Yes | No <u>X</u> | |
|---|---|------------|-------------|-----|
| 17. (?)Energy Efficiency, Resource C and Sustainable Design Features | —————————————————————————————————————— | Yes | No X | |
| B. For projects that will occur out | tdoors, complete Section B as well as S | Section A. | N/A | • |
| 18. (?)Threatened or Endangered Species | ecies, Critical Habitats, and/or | Yes | No | |
| 19. (?) Wetlands | | Yes | No | |
| 20. (?)Floodplain | | Yes | No | |
| 21. (?)Landscaping | | Yes | No | |
| 22. (?)Navigable Air Space | | Yes | No | ٠ |
| 23. (?)Clearing or Excavation | | Yes | No | |
| 24. (?) Archaeological Resources | | Yes | No | |
| 25. (?)Underground Injection | | Yes | No | |
| 26. (?)Underground Storage Tanks | | Yes | . No | |
| 27. (?)Public Utilities or Services | | Yes | . No | |
| 28. (?)Depletion of a Non-Renewable | Resource | Yes | No | |
| C. For projects occurring outside of | of ANL complete Section C as well as | Sections A | A and B. | N/A |
| 29. (?) Prime, Unique, or Locally Imp | ortant Farmland | Yes | No | |
| 30. (?) Special Sources of Groundwate | er (such as sole source aquifer) | Yes | No | |
| 31. (?)Coastal Zones | | Yes | No | |
| 32. (?)Areas with Special National De Forests, Parks, or Trails) | esignations (such as National | Yes | No | • |
| 33. (?)Action of a State Agency in a S | State with NEPA-type Law | Yes | No | |
| 34. (?)Class I Air Quality Control Reg | gion | Yes | No | |
| ?)Subpart D Determination: (to be c | completed by DOE/ASO) | | | |
| Are there any extraordinary circumstan nay affect the significance of the envir | | Yes | No_X_ | |
| s the project connected to other actions or related to other proposed action with | | Yes | No_X_ | |

IV.

Page 4 of 5

| or 10 CFR 1021.211? | Yes № |
|--|--|
| Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impactunder Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations? | |
| If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appen project may be excluded. <u>B. 3.6 Operation of the second of the secon</u> | edix A or B of Subpart D under which the facility for bench-scale research |
| If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR. | of action from Appendix C or D to |
| ASO NEPA Coordinator Review: Ken Chiu | |
| Signature: Lease de la companya del companya del companya de la co | Date: 12/16/09 |
| further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR P proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion Signature: Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO | |
| ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation: | |
| Class of Action: | |
| Signature: Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO | Date: |
| Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation: | |
| CH GLD: | |
| Signature: | Date: |
| ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation: | |
| AnEAEIS shall be prepared for the proposed | and |
| shall serve as the document manager. | |

rev. April 2009

| Ciamotawa | | Date: |
|--------------|-----------------|-------|
| Signature: _ | Ronald J. Lutha | • |
| | Site Manager | |
| | DIG 112000 | |