

Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Form: ANL-985

Version: 5

Your Form ID: ANL-985-964 Form Status: Approved

Date: 6/19/2017 4:48:05 PM

Created By: Rash, Philip C.

Creator

Badge: 37773 Name: Rash, Philip C.

Cost Center: 501 Division: FAC

Job Title: Civil Engineer Employee Type: Regular Full-Time Exempt

Building: 202 Lab Extension: 2-8114

General Information

Project/Activity Title: Culvert Repair - 300 Area- Freund Brook & Foot Path

ASO NEPA Tracking No.: 2593 Type of Funding: MR

B & R Code: Identifying Number: 01656

b & R Code. Identifying Number. 01

SPP Proposal Number: CRADA Proposal Number:

Work Project Number: ANL Accounting Number: (Item 3a in Field Work Proposal)

Other (explain):

List appropriate NEPA Owners: Division: PMO NEPA Owner:

Financial Plans

To select a Financial Plan, click the magnifying glass icon to open a search window.

Cost Center: 208 Project: PRJ1000393 Major Repairs Phase: PH01 General Task: PT2911: Site Work

Description of Proposed Action

The culvert is a dual pipe installation about 60 lineal feet long. About 24 lineal feet will need to be removed and re-installed in total on both sides of the foot path. The existing separated pipe will be removed, the sub-grade and sub-base rebuilt, the area backfilled, and the surface replanted. Work will occur in the creek and below the normal high water elevation. All the culvert material can be reused and the central sections of the concrete culvert under the foot path can remain undisturbed. large rip-rap will be placed at the down stream outlet to control erosion. Additional, smaller rip-rap will be used at the culver inlet. the open surface area around the inlet and out outlet will be expanded to allow for easy inspection and maintenance. The open area as appropriate will be planted with native grasses.

Description of Affected Environment

This project will occur outdoors and involve work in and adjacent to a stream and in a wooded area. Excessive erosion is occurring at this time. The proposed repair will temporarily create some addition erosion. The overall impact to the area will be minimal, the area surfaces will be stabilized, and reduce erosion in the long run.

Potential Environmental Effects

- Attach explanation for each "yes" response near bottom of form.
- See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form.

Section A (Complete For All Projects)		No	Explanation
Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4,	•	0	Existing materials at the project site will be re-used. Other recycled materials will also be used.

		, 8, 16, and 20 below, applicable			
2.	Air l	Pollutant Emissions	⊙	O	Standard gas and diesel powered equipment will be used for excavating, backfills and material transportation. Contractor will advised to not allow excessive idling.
3.	Nois	se	О	\odot	
4.	Che	emical/Oil Storage/Use	\circ	\odot	
5.	Pes	ticide Use	\circ	\odot	
6.		cic Substances Control (TSCA) Substances			
	6a.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	О	•	
	6b.	Asbestos or Asbestos Containing Materials	0	•	
	6c.	Other TSCA Regulated Substances	0	⊙	
	6d.	Import or Export of Chemical Substances	0	•	
7.	Biol	nazards	О	⊙	
8.	see con at 2	uent/Wastewater (If yes, question #12 and tact Peter Lynch (HSE) -4582 or ch@anl.gov)	•	0	The project is outside. During the course of the project, about 700 SF of earth will be disturbed. Erosion control measures will be taken for the exposed surfaces, but due to operations within the creek, some silt will enter the stream. An erosion control plan was generated to manage the project.
9.	Was	ste Management			
	9a.	Construction or Demolition Waste	•	0	Some trees and plant growth will be removed and recycled either at the job site or on the Argonne site. All excavated soil and pipe material will be re-used.
	9b.	Hazardous Waste	0	\odot	
	9c.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	0	•	
	9d.	Radioactive Waste	\circ	\odot	
	9e.	Asbestos Waste	\circ	\odot	
	9f.	Biological Waste	О	\odot	
	9g.	No Path to Disposal Waste	О	•	
	9h.	Nano-material Waste	О	\odot	
10.	Rac	diation	О	\odot	
11.	ES8	eatened Violation of &H Regulations or mit Requirement	•	0	Due to the work being within a Jurisdictional Waterway, Freund Creek, a permit is required from the Corps. of Engineers.
12.	Nev	v or Modified Federal or te Permits	•	0	Excavation is required in a Jurisdictional Water Way.
13.	Maj Fac	ng, Construction, or or Modification of illity to Recover, Treat, re, or Dispose of Waste	0	•	
14.	Pub	lic Controversy	0	⊙	
15.		oric Structures and ects	0	⊙	
16.		turbance of Pre-existing namination	С	•	
17.	Res Sus	ergy Efficiency, source Conserving, and stainable Design stures	•	0	Long term erosion control features will be incorporated into the design
		on B (For Projects that			

	Occur Outdoors)	Yes	No	
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	o	•	
19.	Wetlands	0	•	While the work is along and in a creek, the steepness of the shoulders of the creek do not allow the development of wetland conditions.
20.	Floodplain	•	0	The work will occur at the inlets and outlets of the culvert. As such, we will be working within the flood plain. No new structure will be added to the system. this action will only rebuild the culvert. However, it will remove two large pipe sections from the creek bed causing excessive flooding/erosion around the area.
21.	Landscaping	•	0	The area over the pipe culvert will be cleared of all trees. Bushes will be allowed if practicable. Otherwise, native grasses will be planted. There are not a lot of trees. Most have fallen due to the culvert failure. But some will need to be removed due to construction and the set up of good long term maintenance management of the area.
22.	Navigable Air Space	0	\odot	
23.	Clearing or Excavation	•	C	About 120 CY of soil will be removed and backfilled. Another approximately 40 SY of surface are will be cleared to provide an area for maintenance and culvert management. Several trees will need to be removed. The area will be replanted with native grasses or other plants appropriate for an open area within a wooded area. Rip-rap will be added up stream for temporary water control and used as a permanent stream bed re-enforcement and erosion control. down stream, large rip-rap and boulders will be used to control erosion down stream of the outlet.
24.	Archaeological Resources	0	\odot	
25.	Underground Injection	О	\odot	
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	О	•	
27.	Public Utilities or Services	0	\odot	
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	0	0	
	Section C (For Projects Outside of ANL)	Yes	No	
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	c	⊙	
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	О	⊙	
31.	Coastal Zones	О	\odot	
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	0	•	
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	0	•	
34.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	0	•	

Categorical Exclusion

ANL NEPA Reviewer Use Only

C My approval is the final approval necessary

• This form requires additional approval from DOE

To be Completed by DOE/ASO

Section D	Yes	No

Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	0	•
Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	0	•
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	0	0
Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	•	0

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded: 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B1.3 Routine maintenance---Erosion control and soil stabilization measures and B1.33 Stormwater Runoff Control

If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

Attachments

File Description: General Site Location View Attachment

Comments

Work would occur within USACOE waters of the state and permit action is required. Please contact Peter Lynch, NPDES Permit and Storm water Pollution Prevention Plan coordinator.

Add Approver

Approver Name	Approver Badge	Reason Delet	

Notifications

The approval notification email will be copied to the people listed below.

Badge	Name	Division	Delete

ASO-CX Number

ASO-CX-347

Comments:

This ERF CX approval is tracked as ASO-CX-347.

Approval

Approver	<u>Action</u>	Date Routed	Action Date	Approval Reason / Comments	<u>Approval</u> <u>Type</u>
Rash, Philip C.	APPROVED	2017-08-14	2017-08-14 09:16:12.0	Creator:	PRIMARY
Rash, Philip C.	APPROVED	2017-08-14	2017-08-14 09:16:12.0	Project Manager :	PRIMARY
Matton, Philip B.	APPROVED	2017-08-14	2017-08-21 10:37:21.0	NEPA Owner Approval for Argonne Environmental Review:	PRIMARY
Ptak, Jill S.	APPROVED	2017-08-21	2017-08-23 10:03:27.0	ANL NEPA Reviewer :	PRIMARY
Budd, Jason R. for Hellman, Karen B.	APPROVED	2017-08-23	2017-09-05 09:46:08.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval:	DELEGATE
Stine, Gail Y.	APPROVED	2017-09-05	2017-09-05 12:15:22.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval:	PRIMARY
Lee, Alice J. for Kearns, Paul K.	APPROVED	2017-09-05	2017-09-05 12:22:40.0	ANL-985 ANL COO Review and Approval:	DELEGATE

Joshi, Kaushik N.	APPROVED 2017-09-05	2017-09-12 15:31:26.0	ANL-985 DOE-ASO Review and Approval: This ERF CX approval is tracked as ASO-CX-346	PRIMARY
Siebach, Peter R.	APPROVED 2017-09-12	2017-09-14 14:00:29.0	ANL-985 DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Review and Approval : The correct ASO designation is ASO-CX-347	PRIMARY