

Project/Activity Title: Bldg	206 Parking Lot Resurfacing	
ASO NEPA Tracking No.: 2578	Type of Funding: SPPM	
B & R Code:	Identifying Number: 01647	
SPP Proposal Number:	CRADA Proposal Number:	
Work Project Number:	ANL Accounting Number:	(Item 3a in Field Work Proposal)
Other (explain):		
List appropriate NEPA Owners:		
Division: FMS NEPA Owner:		

#### **Financial Plans**

To select a Financial Plan, click the magnifying glass icon to open a search window.

Cost Center: 208 Project: PRJ1003418 Aegis System Integ Phase: PH01 General Task: PT1397: General Costs

## **Description of Proposed Action**

The proposed action is to resurface the south half of the existing 206 parking lot. This project will remove and replace approximately 33,000 SF (0.76 AC) of asphalt pavement. This project will also include miscellaneous sidewalk, culvert replacement, modification of swales along the edge of the parking lot, flush curbs, and landscaping/trees. The north half of the parking lot will remain as is, or as an option to the construction contract, be removed and restored with grass.

#### **Description of Affected Environment**

This action will not negatively impact the environment. All work will be conducted on previously disturbed land - paved parking lot and adjacent sidewalk and grass edges. This project occurs at the existing 206 parking lot with is located at the NE corner of Westgate and Outer Circle. Across Outer Circle to the NW is a habitat for an endangered dragonfly species. No work will be done in this area. Drainage swales will be created around the parking area to control storm water and improve infiltration. Extra debris from the construction action will be recycled. There are wetlands nearby including habitat for endangered species. However, no sensitive resources will be impacted and standard erosion control measures will be in place.

## **Potential Environmental Effects**

- Attach explanation for each "yes" response near bottom of form.
- See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form.

Section A (Complete For All Projects)	Yes	No	Explanation
Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and			Existing asphalt pavement will be ground up and recycled offsite. Recycled gravel will be used for the sidewalks' sub-bases and topsoil and clay spoils will be used onsite as backfill. Drainage

1.	1. details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable		C	swales will have the potential for being used for storm water detention and filtering of the parking lot runoff. The asphalt will contain Recycled Asphalt Products (RAP) in the mix.	
2.	Air Pollutant Emissions		c	Particulate matter may become airborne during the removal of the existing asphalt pavement. Also, motorized equipment will be used in the execution of the work.	
3.	Noi	se	O	c	The removal of the asphalt pavement will cause loud noise that is produced by the large construction equipment such as a bulldozer. This activity will occur outdoors and will be under 85 db.
4.	Che Sto	emical/Oil rage/Use	0	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
5.	Pes	sticide Use	$\circ$	$\odot$	
6.	Tox Cor (TS Sul	kic Substances htrol Act CA) ostances			
	6a.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	0	•	
	6b.	Asbestos or Asbestos Containing Materials	0	•	
	6c.	Other TSCA Regulated Substances	0	o	
	6d.	Import or Export of Chemical Substances	c	•	
7.	7. Biohazards		С	$\odot$	
8.	8. (HSE) at 2-4582 or (USE) at 2-4582 or		٠	c	Storm water runoff would be generated if it rains. This is a paved surface and runoff will discharge into culverts and soils. An erosion control plan is a requirement for any construction project.
9.	Wa Ma	ste nagement			
	9a.	Construction or Demolition Waste	۲	0	The construction project will generate approximately 400 cubic yards of asphalt waste along with miscellaneous amounts of concrete debris, clay and top soil as well.
	9b.	Hazardous Waste	c	$\odot$	
	9c.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	0	Θ	
	9d.	Radioactive Waste	0	o	
	9e.	Asbestos Waste	0	o	
	9f.	Biological Waste	C	o	
	9g.	No Path to Disposal Waste	0	Θ	
	9h.	Nano-material Waste	0	•	
10.	Rad	diation	C	$\odot$	

11.	Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirement	c	۲	
12.	New or Modified Federal or State Permits	0	$\odot$	
13.	Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste	c	•	
14.	Public Controversy	0	$\odot$	
15.	Historic Structures and Objects	0	$\odot$	
16.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	0	•	
17.	Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	۲	0	If the option is exercised to remove the north half of the parking lot, there may be the potential for restoring the land and/or incorporating sustainable design features. The asphalt contains RAP in the mix. Added trees will shade the asphalt and drainage swales will increase the absorption of water and chemicals from the parking lot runoff prior to entering the wetland.
Pr	Section B (For ojects that Occur Outdoors)	Yes	No	
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	۲	C	There is an endangered dragonfly habitat in the vicinity of this project and the proper, standard steps will be taken to protect the areas. According to guidance documents furnished from the US Fish and Wildlife Service, a 65 foot (20 meter) buffer area (an area cordoned from disturbances) shall be maintained around known larval habitat for the Hine¿s Emerald Dragonfly (HED). This project is beyond the border of known larval habitat; however there are drainage swales that enter into a wetland which eventually connect to the larval habitat of HED. The project may have the following stressors to the Hines Emerald Dragonfly: Sedimentation, nutrient/biologic pollutant, increased water levels/flooding, and oil/engine fluids release. Practices specified in Argonne¿s Construction Specifications Section 01014 Erosion and Sediment Control and 01015 Protection of the Environment can mitigate all of these stressors by maintaining a buffer area around the nearby wetland (wetland 201) and sediment control measures around drainage swales. These circumstances do not warrant consultation with the USFWS.
19.	Wetlands	0	•	There is a wetland in the vicinity of this project (outside the project boundaries). The proper standard steps are being taken to protect the surrounding areas.
20.	Floodplain	0	$\odot$	
21.	Landscaping	o	0	Salt-tolerant grass seed mix (without invasive species) will be used for replanting disturbed areas along the edges of the parking lot. The plan will also call for planting native species trees to replace the ash trees that had to be cut down due to the emerald ash borer.
22.	Navigable Air Space	$\circ$	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
23.	Clearing or Excavation	۲	c	The estimated size of the area to be affected by excavation and backfilling actions is 50 SF. Any spoils will be put back into the landscape and seeded. Runoff will be managed through an erosion control plan with standard erosion control measures such as silt fence.
24.	Archaeological Resources	0	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
25.	Underground Injection	c	$\odot$	
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	c	$\odot$	
	D. L.F. LICEC			

28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	0	۲	
P	Section C (For rojects Outside of ANL)	Yes	No	10
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	c	o	0
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	c	c	0
31.	Coastal Zones	0	$\circ$	
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	0	c	0
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	0	c	0
34.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	c	o	0

## **Categorical Exclusion**

Roadway, Sidewalk, Shoulders, and Site Signage Installation and Maintenance

# ANL NEPA Reviewer Use Only

O My approval is the final approval necessary

• This form requires additional approval from DOE

## To be Completed by DOE/ASO

Section D	Yes	No			
Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	C	۲			
Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	C	۲			
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	0	0			
Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	۲	0			
If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded: 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B 1.3 Routine maintenance.					
If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.					

#### Attachments

File Description: CX-066

View Attachment

File Description: Sketch 206 N & S Parking Lot View Attachment

File Description: ASO-CX-346

## Comments

The purpose of this work is to prepare a set of design drawings to be finalized and kept on the shelf for future execution. When funding becomes available in the future, the drawings will be combined with updated specifications as needed and issued for award in a construction contract. The planned work is for design only.

#### Add Approver

Approver Name	Approver Badge	Reason	Delete

#### Notifications

The approval notification email will be copied to the people listed below.

Badge	Name	Division	Delete
45633	Frego, James	FMS	
37773	Rash, Philip C.	FMS	
224097	Dearborn, Jacqueline C.	FMS	

# ASO-CX Number

ASO-CX- 346

Comments:

This ERF is approved and tracked as ASO-CX-346.

#### Approval

Approver	<u>Action</u>	Date Routed	Action Date	Approval Reason / Comments	<u>Approval</u> <u>Type</u>
Dearborn, Jacqueline C.	APPROVED	2017-04-27	2017-04-27 11:54:02.0	Creator :	PRIMARY
Dearborn, Jacqueline C.	APPROVED	2017-04-27	2017-04-27 11:54:02.0	Allows access to the form :	PRIMARY
Dearborn, Jacqueline C.	APPROVED	2017-04-27	2017-04-27 11:54:02.0	Allows access to the form :	PRIMARY
Dearborn, Jacqueline C.	APPROVED	2017-04-27	2017-04-27 11:54:02.0	Project Manager :	PRIMARY
Matton, Philip B.	APPROVED	2017-04-27	2017-05-03 08:49:26.0	NEPA Owner Approval for Argonne Environmental Review :	PRIMARY
Ptak, Jill S.	APPROVED	2017-05-03	2017-05-03 17:06:50.0	ANL NEPA Reviewer :	PRIMARY
Hellman, Karen B.	APPROVED	2017-05-03	2017-05-08 11:10:40.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	PRIMARY
Stine, Gail Y.	APPROVED	2017-05-08	2017-05-08 14:28:34.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	PRIMARY
Lee, Alice J. for Kearns, Paul K.	APPROVED	2017-05-08	2017-05-08 16:11:40.0	ANL-985 ANL COO Review and Approval :	DELEGATE
Joshi, Kaushik N.	APPROVED	2017-05-08	2017-06-07 15:31:30.0	ANL-985 DOE-ASO Review and Approval : This ERF approval is tracked as ASO-CX-346.	PRIMARY
Siebach, Peter R.	APPROVED	2017-06-07	2017-06-12 10:42:30.0	ANL-985 DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Review and Approval :	PRIMARY