

Cost Code

Task: 0330800 Center: 208 Project: Activity:

Description of Proposed Action

Building 375D is a light steel frame construction with a gable roof. The facility was used as a storage facility constructed in 1970 with no modifications to the original structure. The total footprint of the building is 963 square feet. Prior to the start of demolition the area around Building 375D will be demarcated including erection of signage, and during the process the work will be paused for Emergency response training to be conducted which will include invasive operations. Following completion of the training work will resume for completion of the structural demolition with all debris removed from the site. The building has remained empty since 2008 when the Intense Pulsed Neutron Source (IPNS) was shut down, and this facility no longer meets the Laboratory's mission need. The structure is an outdated special purpose facility that has exceeded both the mission function and design life. Currently the facility is a liability for surveillance and maintenance, and as an antiquated facility removal would be consistent with the DOE-SC goal of asset utilization. Use for emergency response training including invasive operations allows a final useful purpose of the structure during demolition.

Description of Affected Environment

The demolition and emergency response training will impact the Building 375D structure and immediate surrounding area. Because there are low levels of lead present in some of the exterior paint wet methods will be utilized to keep dusts down and controls will be placed in the area to control runoff. The completion of demolition of the structure will follow the training. During the entire demolition and training evolution demarcation of the area will be erected until demolition and site restoration is completed.

Potential Environmental Effects

- Attach explanation for each "yes" response near bottom of form.
- See Instructions for Completing Environmental Review Form.

	Se	ction A (Complete For All Projects)	Yes	No	Explanation
1. Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable		©	c	Where possible metals and other recyclable materials will be separated as part of the project and directed away from landfill disposition pathways	
2.	Air	Pollutant Emissions	\odot	c	Due to detected levels of lead in some painted exterior surfaces wet/damp methods will be employed to keep dusts down
3.	Noi	se	o	0	During demolition activities such as concrete removal there may be noise levels above the OSHA action limit, however these will be brief and proper protection for employees will be in place.
4.	Che	emical/Oil Storage/Use	0	\odot	
5.	Pes	sticide Use	0	\odot	
6.		kic Substances Control Act CA) Substances			
	6a.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	\circ	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	Characterization completed in 2012 showed no presence of PCB
	6b.	Asbestos or Asbestos Containing Materials	0	Θ	Characterization completed in 2012 showed no presence of asbestos containing materials
	6c.	Other TSCA Regulated Substances	0	•	Characterization completed in 2012 showed no presence of other TSCA regulated substances
	6d.	Import or Export of Chemical Substances	0	Θ	
7. Biohazards		\circ	\odot		
8.	que Lyn	uent/Wastewater (If yes, see estion #12 and contact Peter ich (FMS-SEP) at 2-4582 or ch@anl.gov)	c	۲	
9.	Wa	ste Management	i		
	9a.	Construction or Demolition Waste	o	0	Completed demolition of the structure and associated pad is planned. Materials will be dispositioned in a manner consistent with the Federal regulations and DOE directives/guidance. Recycling will occur where possible. All materials will be managed in the most cost effective manner available
	9b.	Hazardous Waste	o	c	There is presence of lead in some exterior paint that could be a concern depending on the disposition pathway chosen. Where possible recycling of the sheet metal that is painted will be chosen to allow maximum reuse.
	9c.	Radioactive Mixed Waste	0	\odot	
	9d.	Radioactive Waste	o	c	Characterization in 2012 showed Carbon 14 concentrations above the background average for Argonne in the concrete, and Europium 152, Europium 155 and Hydrogen 3 (Tritium) in a sump sampled. These will be verified as part of the demolition and if elevated the material will be handled and disposed of as Low Level Radioactive Waste, based on the sample results from the characterization report.
	9e.	Asbestos Waste	0	\odot	
	9f.	Biological Waste	С	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
	9g.	No Path to Disposal Waste	С	\odot	
	9h.	Nano-material Waste	0	\odot	
10.	Rac	diation	o	0	There is not a radiation field above background in the facility, however because of the slightly elevated concentration of C-14 in the concrete and Eu and Tritium in the sump this has been selected.
11.	Reg	eatened Violation of ES&H gulations or Permit quirement	0	\odot	
12.		w or Modified Federal or State mits	0	\odot	

13.	Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste	0	o	
14.	Public Controversy	0	\odot	
15.	Historic Structures and Objects	0	\odot	
16.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	c	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	
17.	Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	0	o	
Se	ection B (For Projects that Occur Outdoors)	Yes	No	
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	0	\odot	
19.	Wetlands	0	\odot	
20.	Floodplain	0	\odot	
21.	Landscaping	0	\odot	
22.	Navigable Air Space	0	\odot	
23.	Clearing or Excavation	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	c	Removal of the concrete pad upon which the steel structure is placed would involve some excavation and backfill with gravel
24.	Archaeological Resources	С	\odot	
25.	Underground Injection	С	\odot	
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	0	\odot	
27.	Public Utilities or Services	С	\odot	
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	0	\odot	
Se	ection C (For Projects Outside of ANL)	Yes	No	
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	C	\odot	
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	C	\odot	
31.	Coastal Zones	С	\odot	
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	0	o	
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	C	\odot	
34.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	\circ	$oldsymbol{\circ}$	

Categorical Exclusion

Other (Use field below to enter other categorical exclusion)

A project specific CX is required from DOE ASO for this proposed action.

ANL NEPA Reviewer Use Only

C My approval is the final approval necessary

• This form requires additional approval from DOE

To be Completed by DOE/ASO

Section D	Yes	No
Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	C	o

Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	0	o				
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	0	0				
Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	۲	o				
If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded: DOE approves this ERF under the following categories of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B: B 1.23 Demolition and disposal of buildings						
If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.						

Attachments

File Description:	characterization report	View Attachment
File Description:	structure photo	View Attachment
File Description:	lead paint report	View Attachment

Comments

Add Approver

Approver Name	Approver Badge	Reason	Delete
Murdoch, Colin M.	207696	project Manager	
Rock, Cynthia M.	48996	program manager	

Notifications

The approval notification email will be copied to the people listed below.

Badge Name	Division	Delete
207696 Murdoch, Colin M.	FMS	

ASO-CX Number

ASO-CX- 343

Comments:

DOE tracks this ERF approval as ASO-CX-343

Approval

Approver	<u>Action</u>	Date Routed	Action Date	Approval Reason / Comments	<u>Approval</u> Type
McGhee, Jeffery	APPROVED	2017-03-12	2017-03-12 23:02:34.0	Creator :	PRIMARY
McGhee, Jeffery	APPROVED	2017-03-12	2017-03-12 23:02:34.0	Allows access to the form :	PRIMARY
McGhee, Jeffery	APPROVED	2017-03-12	2017-03-12 23:02:34.0	Project Manager :	PRIMARY
Rock, Cynthia M.	APPROVED	2017-03-12	2017-03-13 17:26:45.0	program manager :	PRIMARY
Murdoch, Colin M.	APPROVED	2017-03-12	2017-03-15 07:09:35.0	project Manager :	PRIMARY
Matton, Philip B.	APPROVED	2017-03-15	2017-03-22 15:39:39.0	NEPA Owner Approval for Argonne Environmental Review :	PRIMARY

Ptak, Jill S.	APPROVED 2017-03-22	2017-03-24 10:16:27.0	ANL NEPA Reviewer :	PRIMARY
Hellman, Karen B.	APPROVED 2017-03-24	2017-03-30 16:35:52.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	PRIMARY
Stine, Gail Y.	APPROVED 2017-03-30	2017-03-30 16:41:03.0	ANL-985 Review and Approval :	PRIMARY
Lee, Alice J. for Kearns, Paul K.	APPROVED 2017-03-30	2017-03-30 20:19:20.0	ANL-985 ANL COO Review and Approval :	DELEGATE
Joshi, Kaushik N.	APPROVED 2017-03-30	2017-04-03 10:49:26.0	ANL-985 DOE-ASO Review and Approval : ASO-CX-343	PRIMARY
Siebach, Peter R.	APPROVED 2017-04-03	2017-04-03 16:01:00.0	ANL-985 DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Review and Approval :	PRIMARY