

## **Department of Energy**

Argonne Site Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

JUL 1 7 2015

Dr. Peter B. Littlewood Director, Argonne National Laboratory President, UChicago Argonne, LLC 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Dear Dr. Littlewood:

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION FOR ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ARGONNE)

The Argonne Site Office (ASO) has approved the following as a categorical exclusion (CX) under Appendix B (to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Integrated DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures, December 1996), Category B 3.1 "Site Characterization and Environmental Monitoring."

---Wind Forecast Improvement Project 2 (WFIP2), Goldendale (Monitoring Trailer), ASO-CX-316

Therefore, no further NEPA review is required. However, if any modification or an expansion of the scope is made to the above project, additional NEPA review will be necessary.

Enclosed please find a copy of the approved Environmental Review Form (ERF) for the project. If you have any questions, please contact Kaushik Joshi of my staff at (630) 252-4226.

Sincerely,

Joanna M. Livengood

juengood

Manager

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: J. Stauber, ANL, w/encl.

D. Cook, ANL, w/encl.

D. Rodi, ANL, w/encl.

K. Joshi, ASO, w/encl.

M. McKown, SC-CH, w/encl.

P. Siebach, SC-CH, w/encl.



ANL-985 (12/06/2012)

## **Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory**

Projec	t/Ac	tivity Title: WFIP2 Goldend	ale		
ASO N	EPA	Tracking No. ASO-	CX-316	Type of Funding:	
				B&R Code	
Identii	fying	number: 2008 Wi	FO proposal #	CRADA propo	osal#
Work I	Proje	ct # AN	Laccounting # (ite	m 3a in Field Work Propos	sal)
Other	(expl	ain)			3 2
Projec	t Ma	nager: David R. Cook	_ Signature:	und Vologo	Date: 6/10/2015
NEPA (	Owne	er: Diane J. Rodi	Signature:	Vene Alceli	Date: 6/10/2015
		Reviewer: <u>Joel V. Stauber</u>	:	IV. Starbus	Date: 6/11/19
barom m. 3) n	etric nicro arog Des	te installed. Measurements pressure on a 3 m triangula wave sensing of the atmosp raph to measure barometric cription of Affected Environation plant grounds.	r tower. 2) sodar ac here throughout the pressure variation	coustic sensing of the atm ne atmospheric boundary ns.	osphere up to 1500 layer. 4)
II.	Potential Environmental Effects: (Attach explanation for each "yes" response.)				
	A.	Complete Section A for all	projects.		
	1.	Project evaluated for Pollu opportunities and details p below, as applicable			Yes No <u>X</u>
	2.	Air Pollutant Emissions			Yes No X
	3.	Noise		ν.	Yes X No
		Noise from the sodar is min	nimal and is not lou	d enough to disturb any r	esidential areas

4.	Che	mical/Oil Storage/Use	Yes	No X	
5.	Pes	ticide Use	Yes	No X	
6.	Poly	ychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes	No X	
7.	Biol	nazards	Yes	No X	
8.	Effluent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact Yes No X  Gregg Kulma (FMS-SEP) at 2-9147 or gkulma@anl.gov				
9.	Waste Management				
	a)	Construction or Demolition Waste	Yes	No X	
	b)	Hazardous Waste	Yes	No X	
	c)	Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>	
	d)	Radioactive Waste	Yes	No X	
	e)	PCB or Asbestos Waste	Yes	No X	
	f)	Biological Waste	Yes	No X	
	g)	No Path to Disposal Waste	Yes	No X	
	h)	Nano-material Waste	Yes	No X	
10. Radiation Yes X No.			No		
Electromagnetic microwave radiation is directed vertically up into the atmosphere and cannot harm anything on the ground. There is some danger if a person poked their head over the clutter barrier. The waste water treatment areas will be fenced and all sites will have warning signs describing the hazard.					
11.	Thre	eatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes 4	No X	
12.	Nev	or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes	No X	
13.	13. Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Yes No X  Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste				
14.	14. Public Controversy Yes No X				
15. Historic Structures and Objects			Yes	No X	

ANL-985 (12/06/2012) 2

16	i. Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No X
17	. Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	Yes	No X
В.	For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Section	ion A.	
18	. Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	Yes	No X
19	. Wetlands	Yes	No X
20	. Floodplain	Yes	No X
21	. Landscaping	Yes	No X
22	. Navigable Air Space	Yes X	No
	The sodar and microwave profiler will send signals into the atmosphere at instrumentation, at altitudes where small aircraft may fly, but pose no haz aircraft.	oove the eard for the	ose
23	. Clearing or Excavation	Yes	No X
24	. Archaeological Resources	Yes	No X
25	. Underground Injection	Yes	No X
	. Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No X
27	. Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No X
28	. Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No X
C.	For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as Section	ions A and	В.
29	. Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No X
30	. Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No X
31	. Coastal Zones	Yes	No X
32	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No X
33	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No X

	34. Class I Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No X
III.	Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)		
	Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No X
	Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	Yes	No X
	If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	Yes	No
	Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement		
	under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	Yes	No
	If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D project may be excluded. Appendix B, B 3.1 Site che and environmental monit of the indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.	aract oring	<u>erization</u>
ASO N	JEPA Coordinator Review: Kaushik Joshi		
Signat	rure: MM 036. Date: 7-13.	- 201	5

## **ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination:**

The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR Part 1021.400. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion identified above.

Signature:

Peter R. Siebach

Argonne Site Office NCO

ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation:		
Class of Action:		
Signature:	Date:	
Peter R. Siebach		
Argonne Site Office NCO		
Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation:		
CH GLD:		
Signature:	Date:	
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:		
An EA EIS shall be prepared for the proposed	and	
shall serve as the document manager.		
Signature:	Date:	
Dr. Joanna M. Livengood		
Manager		

ANL-985 (12/06/2012) 5