

Department of Energy

Argonne Site Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

JUL 1 7 2015

Dr. Peter B. Littlewood Director, Argonne National Laboratory President, UChicago Argonne, LLC 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Dear Dr. Littlewood:

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION FOR ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ARGONNE)

The Argonne Site Office (ASO) has approved the following as a categorical exclusion (CX) under Appendix B (to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Integrated DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures, December 1996), Category B 3.1 "Site Characterization and Environmental Monitoring."

---Wind Forecast Improvement Project 2 (WFIP2), Walla Walla (Monitoring Trailer), ASO-CX-315

Therefore, no further NEPA review is required. However, if any modification or an expansion of the scope is made to the above project, additional NEPA review will be necessary.

Enclosed please find a copy of the approved Environmental Review Form (ERF) for the project. If you have any questions, please contact Kaushik Joshi of my staff at (630) 252-4226.

Sincerely, ivengood

Joanna M. Livengood Manager

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: J. Stauber, ANL, w/encl. D. Cook, ANL, w/encl. D. Rodi, ANL, w/encl. K. Joshi, ASO, w/encl. M. McKown, SC-CH, w/encl. P. Siebach, SC-CH, w/encl.



Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Project/A	ctivity Title: WFIP2 Walla Walla	······
ASO NEPA	Tracking No. ASO-CX-315 Type of Funding:	an a
	B&R Code	······
Identifyin	g number: 2007 WFO proposal # CRADA propos	al#
Work Proje	ect # ANL accounting # (item 3a in Field Work Proposa	I)
Other (exp	lain)	
Project Ma	inager: David R. Cook Signature: David R. Cook	Date: 6/10/10/5
NEPA Own	er: Diane J. Rodi Signature: Allere Aloch	Date: 6/10/2015
ANL NEPA	Reviewer: Joel V. Stauber Signature:	Date: 6/11/15
1) tempera 2) sodar ac throughou variations.	trumentation and a small container trailer will be installed. Measurements iture, humidity, wind speed and direction, barometric pressure on a 3 m tr oustic sensing of the atmosphere up to 1500 m. 3) microwave sensing of t t the atmospheric boundary layer. 4) microbarograph to measure baromet scription of Affected Environment: Grassed area within the Walla Walla, bunds.	langular tower. he atmosphere rric pressure
II. <u>Po</u>	tential Environmental Effects: (Attach explanation for each "yes" response	e.)
A.	Complete Section A for all projects.	
1.	Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable	Yes No_X
2.	Air Pollutant Emissions	Yes No X
3.	Noise	Yes X No
	Noise from the sodar is minimal and is not loud enough to disturb any re nearby.	sidential areas

1

4.	Che	mical/Oil Storage/Use	Yes	No X
5.	Pest	ticide Use	Yes	No X
6.	Poly	chlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes	No X
7.	Bloh	pazards	Yes	No X
8.		ient/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact gg Kulma (FMS-SEP) at 2-9147 or gkulma@anl.gov	Yes	No X
9.	Was	te Management		
	a)	Construction or Demolition Waste	Yes	No X
	b)	Hazardous Waste	Yes	No X
	c)	Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes	No X
	d)	Radioactive Waste	Yes	No X
	e)	PCB or Asbestos Waste	Yes	No X
	f)	Biological Waste	Yes	No X
	g)	No Path to Disposal Waste	Yes	No X
	h)	Nano-material Waste	Yes	No X
10.	Radi	ation	Yes X	No
	canr over	tromagnetic microwave radiation is directed vertically up into the atm not harm anything on the ground. There is some danger if a person pol the clutter barrier. The airport areas will be fenced and all sites will he ribing the hazard.	ked their h	ead

11. Threatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes	No X
12. New or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes	No X
 Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste 	Yes	No <u>X</u>
14. Public Controversy	Yes	No X
15. Historic Structures and Objects	Yes	No X

16	. Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No X
17.	Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	Yes	No <u>X</u>
B.	For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Secti	on A.	
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	Yes	No X
19.	Wetlands	Yes	No X
20.	Floodplain	Yes	No X
21.	Landscaping	Yes	No X
22.	Navigable Air Space	Yes X	No
	The sodar and microwave profiler will send signals into the atmosphere ab instrumentation, at altitudes where small aircraft may fly, but pose no has aircraft.		ose

23.	Clearing or Excavation	Yes	No X
24.	Archaeological Resources	Yes	No X
25.	Underground Injection	Yes	No X
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No X
27.	Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No X
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No X
C.	C. For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as Sections A and B.		
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No X
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No X
31.	Coastal Zones	Yes	No X
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No X
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No X

34. Class I Air Quality Control Region

III. Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)

Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?

Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?

If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?

Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?

Yes X No

No

If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded. <u>Appendix B, B 3.1 Site characterization</u> and environmental monitoring.

If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

ASO NEPA Coordinator Review: Kaushik Joshi	
Signature: MMSJA.	Date: 7-13-2015

ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination:

The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR Part 1021.400. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion identified above.

Signature:

Peter R. Siebach Argonne Site Office NCO

Yes No X

Yes No X

Class of Action:	-
Signature:	Date:
Peter R. Siebach Argonne Site Office NCO	
2 m	
Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation:	
CH GLD:	
Signature:	Date:
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:	
An 🔲 EA 🔲 EIS shall be prepared for the proposed	and
shall serve as the document manager.	
Signature:	Date:
Dr. Joanna M. Livengood	

¥

Dr. Joanna M. Livengood Manager