

Department of Energy

Argonne Site Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

MAR 1 1 2015

Dr. Peter B. Littlewood Director, Argonne National Laboratory President, UChicago Argonne, LLC 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Dear Dr. Littlewood:

SUBJECT:

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION FOR

ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ARGONNE)

The Argonne Site Office (ASO) approves the following as a categorical exclusion (CX) under Appendix B (to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Integrated DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures, December 1996), Category B 3.6 "Siting/construction/operation/decommissioning of facilities for bench-scale research, conventional laboratory operations, small-scale research and development and pilot projects."

- Scale up of Lithium Ion Battery Material Recycling, Building 369, ASO-CX-312

Therefore, no further NEPA review is required. However, if any modification or an expansion of the scope is made to the above project, additional NEPA review will be necessary.

Enclosed please find a copy of the approved Environmental Review Form (ERF) for the project. If you have any questions, please contact Kaushik Joshi of my staff at (630) 252-4226.

Sincerely,

Joanna M. Livengood

Manager

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: J. Stauber, ANL, w/encl.

J. Spangenberger, ANL, w/encl.

W. Brocker, ANL, w/encl.

K. Joshi, ASO, 201, w/encl.

M. McKown, SC-CH, w/encl.

P. Siebach, SC-CH, w/encl.



Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Project/Activity Title: Scale up of Lithium Ion Battery Ma	aterial Recycling
ASO NEPA Tracking No. ASO-CX-312	Type of Funding:
	B&R Code
!dentifying number: C1500601 WFO proposal #	CRADA proposal # C1500601
Work Project # ANL accounting # (ite	em 3a in Field Work Proposal)
Other (explain)	15.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1
Project Manager: Jeffrey Spangenberger Signature:	H fry Date: 2/17/15 21 Browle Date: 02/17/2019
ANL NEPA Reviewer: Joel Stauber Signature:	bell Stanley Date: 2/17/15
I. Description of Proposed Action: The proposed a sized system to separate and recover materials fit specifically, the trimmings of anodes and cathodomanufacture that are supplied by our research purus by the conclusion of this work. The anode convince while the cathode is an aluminum foil coated with separate the coating from the foil the trimmings acid is pumped into the tank at or near room terminutes. The acid causes the coating to detach for the coating from the foil and to become suspend removed the acid solution is pumped from the appumped into the agitation tank and briefly agitat. The water is then pumped back to its storage tant is pumped through a filter to recover the coating foil is removed. The acids and rinse water are recoft NO2 and SO2 gas evolution during the acid agit to determine the amount of these gases that are negative pressure and will be routed through a B 2LC to remove any NO2 or SO2 that may be given agitation phase of the operation. A chiller with he generated	rom lithium ion battery manufacturing scrap; es from LiFePO4 and LiMnO2 battery artners. Testing will include up to 10 separate consists of a copper foil coated with graphite the particular active cathode material. To are placed in a tank and either nitric or sulfuric inperature. The mixture is agitated for several from the foil and the agitation helps to remove led in the acid solution. Once the coatings are gitating tank to a storage tank. Water is then ted to rinse any remaining acid off of the foil. In the acid solution is material. The agitating tank is opened and the used. Previous testing has shown small amounts itation phase of the process. Part of this work is generated. The system will be kept under the noff. Heat may be generated during the

<u>Description of Affected Environment:</u> The installation of this system will take place in building

369. No building modifications are necessary. Existing utilities will be used for this work. All

11.

tanks will be in secondary containment. At the conclusion of this work used nitric acid, sulfuric acid, scrubber solution and rinse water solution will need to be disposed. This work will involve 100 gallons of dilute nitric acid, 100 gallons of dilute sulfuric acid, 50 gallons of scrubber solution and 200 gallons of rinse water. All anode and cathode materials will be returned to the supplier.

	anu	200 gailons of finise water. All alloue and cathode materials will be returned	u to the st	upplier.
111.		ential Environmental Effects: (Attach explanation for each "yes" response. Completing Environmental Review Form)	See Instru	ıctions
	A.	Complete Section A for all projects.		
	1.	Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization opportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable	Yes X	No
		The proposed project has been evaluated for minimization of pollution an generation based on experience from earlier work. Only the minimum amneeded will be ordered.		nemicals
	2.	Air Pollutant Emissions	Yes X	No
		NO2 and SO2 may be generated. These substances will be routed through Industries Scrubpac Ventclean model 2LC scrubber for removal. Output of be exhausted outside of building 369 through a dedicated exhaust system IH will be conducted as necessary. FMS-SEP will be contacted to make sur falls within Argonne's Title V permit.	f the scrub 1. Monitori	ber will ing by
	3.	Noise	Yes	No X
	4.	Chemical/Oil Storage/Use	Yes X	No
Each test will process an estimated 20 lbs. of anode or cathode battery materials does not include processing any sealed batteries or any electrolyte materials). tests will be performed. Dilute nitric and sulfuric acid will be used, and stored use, for this work. Dilute acid solutions will be made from a more concentrated acid solutions, in use or storage, will be located on secondary containment. Ap 100 gallons of dilute nitric acid, 100 gallons of dilute sulfuric acid, 50 gallons of scrubber solution and 200 gallons of rinse water will be needed, in total, to pe work. Large samples anode/cathode materials processed in the high-bay area returned to the supplier after processing. Materials that will not be returned we disposed of as per Argonne's Laboratory Management System (LMS).		ials). Up to ored while crated acid at. Approxi as of used so perform area will be	not in . All mately this	
	5.	Pesticide Use	Yes	No X
	6.	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes	No X

7. Biohazards

Yes ____ No X

8.		uent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact gg Kulma (FMS-SEP) at 2-9147 or gkulma@anl.gov	Yes X	No
	whie FMS	laboratory drains are connected to the Laboratory waste water treatr ch the effluent is subject to a NPDES permit issued by the IEPA. We wi i-SEP to assure conformance with all environmental protection permit uirements.	II consult	with
9.	Was	te Management		
	a)	Construction or Demolition Waste	Yes	No X
	b)	Hazardous Waste	Yes X	No
	Area accu cert PRO the disp	argonne, all RCRA hazardous waste well be accumulated (in a Satellite a) by personnel qualified by Argonne-specific training. Requisitions for amulated hazardous waste to a central on-site facility will be complete ified personnel. The research personnel will conform to the requirement of the requirement of the requirement of the performed in RCRA Part B permit issued by the IEPA. The accumulated hazardous worked in accordance with Argonne's Part B permit, and in accordance was uirement in LMS-PROC-103.	transfer of ed by Argo ents in LM accordan aste will b	of onne- IS- ce with
	c)	Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	d)	Radioactive Waste	Yes	No X
	e)	PCB or Asbestos Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	f)	Biological Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	g)	No Path to Disposal Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	h)	Nano-material Waste	Yes	No <u>X</u>
10.	Rad	iation	Yes	No X
11.	Thre	eatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirements	Yes	No X
12.	New	or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes	No X
13.		ng, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, at, Store, or Dispose of Waste	Yes	No X
14.	Pub	lic Controversy		No X
15.	Hist	oric Structures and Objects	Yes	No X

16.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes	No <u>X</u>
17.	Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	Yes	No <u>X</u>
В.	For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Section	on A.	
18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	Yes	No
19.	Wetlands	Yes	No
20.	Floodplain	Yes	No
21.	Landscaping	Yes	No
22.	Navigable Air Space	Yes	No
23.	Clearing or Excavation	Yes	No
24.	Archaeological Resources	Yes	No
25.	Underground Injection	Yes	No
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No
27.	Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No
C.	For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as Section	ons A and	В.
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No
31.	Coastal Zones	Yes	No
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No
34.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No

ANL-985 (12/06/2012)

Subpart D Determination: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)

IV.

Are there any extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal?	Yes	No X		
Is the project connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts or related to other proposed action with cumulatively significant impacts?	Yes	No X		
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determination precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	Yes	No		
Can the project or activity be categorically excluded from preparation of an Environment Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations?	Yes X	No		
If yes, indicate the class or classes of action from Appendix A or B of Subpart D under which the project may be excluded. APPENDIX B, B3.6 "SITING/CONSTRUCTION/OPERIODECOMMISSIONING OF FACILITIES FOR BENCH-SCALE RESEARCH If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR. CONVENTIONAL LABORATORY OPERATIONS SMALL-SCALE RESEARCH, AND DEVELOPN AND PILOT PROJECTS.				
ASO NEPA Coordinator Review: Kaushik Joshi Signature:	2015	*		
ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination:				
The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an action may be categorical further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR Part 1021.400. I have doproposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion identified above Signature: Date: 3	etermined			

Acting Argonne Site Office NCO

ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation: NOT APPLICABLE	
Class of Action:	
Signature:	Date:
Peter R. Siebach	
Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	
Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation: NOT	APPLICABLE
CH GLD:	
Signature:	Date:
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:	OT APPLICABLE
An EA EIS shall be prepared for the proposed	and
shall serve as the document manager.	
Signature:	Date:
	(5) 1355 (American and American
Dr. Joanna M. Livengood	
Manager	