Superconducting ECR ion sources: an untapped application of artificial intelligence and its superhuman patience

<u>Damon Todd</u> and Larry Phair 88 Inch Cyclotron, Nuclear Science Division Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Why Nuclear Physics should care about high performance Electron Cyclotron Resonance (ECR) ion sources

Example from LBNL:

- 88-Inch Cyclotron was constructed around 1960 as an accelerator of light ions to kinetic energies of low hundreds of MeV
- Today, using the superconducting ECR ion source VENUS, it accelerates ions from protons to uranium with maximum kinetic energies in excess of 2.6 GeV

Example for Nuclear Physics field:

 VENUS' demonstration of over 200 μA ²³⁸U³³⁺ and ²³⁸U³⁴⁺ in 2008 allowed FRIB to be designed to reach on-target power at half the cost by doubling the source-delivered current

(source cost: < \$20M, reduction in design cost: \$1B \Rightarrow \$500M)

• Since that time VENUS has doubled the extracted currents in both of these charge states

ECR ion sources are a cost-effective way to improve the performance of accelerators used for Nuclear Physics



Goal: extract high current, highly-charged ion beams from a stable plasma and send to accelerator





Needs:

- 1. Confine plasma
- 2. Maintain plasma
- 3. Extract ions from plasma



Needs:

- 1. Confine plasma
- 2. Maintain plasma
- 3. Extract ions from plasma

Use magnetic mirroring to confine plasma

- Magnetic field increases axially via solenoids
- Magnetic field increases radially via sextupoles
- Use superconductors for better confinement



Needs:

- 1. Confine plasma
- 2. Maintain plasma
- 3. Extract ions from plasma

Magnetic field magnitude increases from source center

- Resonantly heat electrons on closed surface to provide energy
- Add plasma material via gas, sputtering, ovens, etc.

Note: higher frequency RF heating (again, higher magnetic fields) leads to higher currents and higher charge states



Needs:

- 1. Confine plasma
- 2. Maintain plasma
- 3. Extract ions from plasma

Positively bias a plasma chamber relative to beam line to encourage ions to come out.



Source optimization (current, charge state, and stability) requires adjusting multiple knobs:

- Coil currents
- Gas pressures
- Heating power
- Extraction voltages

- Oven temperatures
- Sputtering voltages
- etc.

Ion source tuning produces very different results

Example: bismuth production



- Adjusting source parameters (fields, RF power, pressure, etc.) shifts charge state distribution toward higher charge states
- This tuning is a slow and tedious multiple-parameter search of the operational phase space
- Typically the goals are relatively simple:
 - Increase charge state and / or increase current
 - Maintain long-term (~weeks) plasma stability

Improved performance comes from tuning



- VENUS production of U³³⁺ and U³⁴⁺ doubled between 2008 and 2012 with much of the increase due to finding better performance configurations (i.e. significant dedicated time spent tuning)
- Record beam production of different species bounces back and forth between Lanzhou, China and LBNL based largely on who has put more time in tuning

Where does AI come in?

- Tuning a plasma is a slow, often tedious, job that requires incredible patience. The computer has infinite patience.
- Human operators most often perform a two-parameter probing of operation space. Computers can perform more complicated searches turning multiple knobs at once
- Computer run multiple-parameter searches would be expected to find operation points with higher beam production, better stability, and reduced consumption rates of expensive consumables (⁴⁸Ca, ⁵⁰Ti, etc.)
- AI could be used to constantly monitor source and make small changes to maintain long-term stability or catch first signs of failure

Why we should implement AI with VENUS at LBNL





Superconducting ECR ion source VENUS is fully PLCdriven, so it is ready to be run via computer

The PLC system is robust safety-wise. Limits have been engineered to prevent operators running the source in an unsafe manner

The performance criteria are relatively simple: beam stability, beam current, charge state distribution, emittance. Determining "better" performance is straightforward

This would immediately benefit FRIB as well. Their new ion source is a near-replica of the VENUS ion source and that new source will not have the luxury of extended R&D tuning to improve performance

Conclusions

- LBNL's superconducting ECR ion source, VENUS, is a shovel-ready project in the implementation of artificial intelligence and eventually machine learning
- The performance and our understanding of superconducting ECR ion sources could benefit greatly from a computer-driven investigation of their operational space
- A near-identical source will come online at FRIB. Improved source operation will make reaching and maintaining target beam power easier

