

Update on the Neutron Charge

Krishna Kumar, UMass Amherst
NSAC meeting, March 2 2011

Charge Elements: Background

- **2003 subcommittee recommendations**

- *launch nEDM*
- *construct new facility at SNS*

- **Agencies response**

- *Construct FNPB at the SNS*
- *R&D for nEDM*

- **NSAC LRP 2007**

- *Neutron physics part of targeted program of symmetry tests of the New Standard Model, and precision EW physics*

Charge Elements: Guidelines

- **Evaluate current and proposed research program**
 - *physics potential in the context of the larger FS subfield*
 - *scientific capabilities and specific opportunities*
 - *international context*
- **Recommendations of priorities in context**
 - *projected resources; constant level of effort at FY2011 levels*
 - *identify most compelling opportunities*
 - *spell out infrastructure and effort required*
 - *both US and international capabilities as backdrop*
 - *priorities for incremental investments beyond constant level*
 - *assessment of current scientific and technical workforce*

Activities since December

● Mid-December to late January

- *Peter and I pinged senior physicists in community*
- *We got agency guidance on scope of Charge*
- *Sent invitations to committee members (100% success rate!)*

● February

- *launched subcommittee teleconferences*
- *formulated a plan of work centered around three meetings*
- *First meeting planning nearly complete*

● March

- *Finalize plan for second meeting within 2 weeks*
- *committee self-orientation leading up to first meeting*

Physics Themes

● nEDM experiment

- *compelling physics case in larger context*
- *large fraction of funding and effort*

● Weak Interactions with Neutrons

- *semi-leptonic weak interactions*
 - *lifetime is a fundamental parameter; current results inconsistent*
 - *correlations comprehensively probe neutron charged weak current: evaluate in larger context based on sensitivity to BSM physics*
- *hadronic parity violation*
 - *fundamental description of non-leptonic weak interactions*
 - *connections to other important puzzles in nuclear physics*
- *Experimental program*
 - *Evaluate recent progress: degree of difficulty vs physics payoff*

Subcommittee Membership

Professor Hartmut Abele

Technische Universität Wien (Vienna)
Atominstytut der Österreichischen
Universitäten

Professor Alejandro Garcia

Department of Physics
University of Washington

Professor John Hardy

Department of Physics & Astronomy
Texas A&M University

Professor Wick Haxton

Department of Physics
University of California, Berkeley

Professor David Hertzog

Department of Physics
University of Washington

Dr. Peter Jacobs

Nuclear Science Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Professor Krishna S. Kumar, Chair

Department of Physics
University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Dr. Zheng-Tian Lu

Physics Division
Argonne National Laboratory

Professor Michael Ramsey-Musolf

Department of Physics
University of Wisconsin

Professor Michael Romalis

Department of Physics
Princeton University

nEDM: Fleshing out the Charge

● Physics case

- *within Fundamental Symmetries in Nuclear Physics*
- *High Energy Physics and Cosmology*

● Assessment of Status

- *Progress to date*
- *open technical issues and their projected resolution*

● International context

- *Comparison of sensitivity reach with competing experiments*
- *attention to projected timescales of phases of all projects*
- *best judgement on progress of world-wide initiatives*

nEDM: Related Issues

● *nEDM Project*

- *Status of management plan, budget and project timeline*
- *identify potential worries*

● *Impact on other neutron physics*

- *fully understand capabilities of existing facilities*
- *optimization of existing and projected program resources to maintain balance and maximize physics output and impact*

● *Overall Competitiveness*

- *nEDM as well as weak interaction physics competition*
- *unique US capabilities*

Meetings

- **Overall Philosophy**

- *first 2 meetings are “fact-finding” with focus on US program*
- *third meeting: round out broad (incl. international) perspective*

- **First meeting focused on nEDM**

- **Second meeting on neutron weak interaction physics**

- **Third meeting to converge on evaluation and recommendations**

- *could solicit additional talks/input/advice from outside experts*

Timeline for Meetings

● First meeting focused on nEDM

- *April 1 and 2*
- *Meeting in the vicinity of O'Hare*
- *main presentations in consultation with collaboration*
- *revisit important issues and relationship with competing projects in subsequent meeting*

● Second meeting focused on the rest of program

- *April 15, 16, and perhaps the morning of the 17th*
- *Meeting in vicinity of O'Hare*
- *will start interactions with full community this week*
- *Work on topics, speakers, scope in next 2 weeks*

Subsequent Activities

● Resolution meeting

- *Somewhere between mid-May and early June*
- *Goals and format will evolve from first two meetings*
- *Unclear at this stage whether any external talks needed*
- *homework/preparations for this meeting will begin at first meeting*

● Completion of Subcommittee work

- *Early June:*
 - *Principal recommendations*
 - *Executive summary*
- *Full report to be submitted by early September*

Outlook

● March activities

- *Finalize plan for second meeting within 2 weeks*
- *committee self-orientation leading up to April 1 meeting*

● Fundamental neutron physics is an important component of the US Nuclear Physics Program

- *Essential piece of the Fundamental Symmetries subfield*
- *technically challenging measurements*
 - *excellent training ground for the next generation of scientists*

● Committee must come to grips with maintaining balance, physics output and provide guidance especially around fiscal constraints