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Some Information About the DOE NP Portfolio Review
The Ton-Scale Candidates

Each experiment that was proposed is world leading in its isotope of choice. The three experiments under consideration are pretty clearly ahead of other efforts in terms of sensitivity and preparedness.

Each experiment proposed would provide unique benefits and strengths that are unmatched, and all three experiments made a compelling case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T$_{1/2}$ (10$^{28}$ years)</th>
<th>m$_{\beta\beta}$ (meV) 3$\sigma$ Discovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excl. Sens.</td>
<td>3$\sigma$ Discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUPID</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEGEND-1k</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nEXO</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Ton-Scale Candidates
As Proposed

Numbers are in $K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CUPID</th>
<th>LEGEND-1000</th>
<th>nEXO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Project TPC</td>
<td>63,903</td>
<td>442,350</td>
<td>406,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE Only TPC</td>
<td>34,703</td>
<td>257,347</td>
<td>349,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non DOE TPC</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>185,003</td>
<td>56,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE/Non %</td>
<td>55/45</td>
<td>60/40</td>
<td>85/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proj. Complete</td>
<td>2028-2030</td>
<td>2030-2033</td>
<td>2028-2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>LNGS</td>
<td>SNOLab or LNGS</td>
<td>SNOLab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CUPID: Scintillating Crystal Bolometer

LEGEND: High Purity Ge Crystals

nEXO: Liquid Xe Time Projection Chamber
• NEXT was also considered. It is extremely interesting as a potential multi-site option that is truly scalable. If the Ba fluorescence tagging technology is successfully developed, this would be a prime option for a future, near-zero background, multi-ton experiment that could explore phase space deep into the normal hierarchy.

• That said, it was not felt to be as technically mature for the next step ton-scale phase at this time.
Searching for the Origin of the Neutrino Mass

Lepton Number Violation (LNV) is thought to be the origin of the matter/antimatter imbalance in our universe. Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay (0vBB) experiments are sensitive to LNV at any scale.

Observation of 0vBB would at once

• Demonstrate Lepton Number Violation
• Provide a natural explanation for the origin of the matter vs anti-matter asymmetry of our universe (lepto-genesis)
• Confirm Majorana’s prediction that neutral fermions can be their own antiparticles
• Explain by what mechanism neutrinos get their mass
• Determine an absolute mass scale for neutrinos
Ambitious goals for the proposed Ton-scale experiments push well beyond past experiments. This example shows progress in high purity Germanium Crystals.

\[ \rightarrow \text{Slowest process ever observed} \]
\[ 2 \times 10^{22} \text{ years (Xenon-124 double electron capture)} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{Ton-scale goal, about 2 orders of magnitude higher than current limit; inverted hierarchy region excluded} \]

\[ \rightarrow \text{Ton-scale goal, about 2 orders of magnitude higher than current limit; inverted hierarchy region excluded} \]

There is an end-point to this line of exploration visible within the technology horizon.
Distinct Approaches: limits of sensitivity

**nEXO: Liquid Xe TPC**
- About 10 counts expected at sensitivity limit
  - Monolithic with differential information
  - Powerful multiparameter statistical analysis
  - Unique control experiment is possible

**LEGEND-1000: High Purity Ge**
- Several counts expected at sensitivity limit
  - Segmented and scalable
  - Excellent energy resolution (narrow ROI)
  - Excellent background suppression

**CUPID: Scintillating Bolometer**
- Several counts expected at sensitivity limit
  - Advantageous properties of Mo provide boost to sensitivity and room for growth
  - Excellent energy resolution
  - Upgrade within existing cryostat.

Confirmation likely crucial for such important and challenging measurements.
• Despite all our earnest efforts and total technical success there is a substantial chance after 20 years and ~ $1.0 B in investment that we will only observe background down to the limit of $10^{28}$ years.

• That puts a big premium on being able to verify any claimed signal at the limit of $10^{28}$ years
A Cautionary Tale?

Raymond "Ray" Davis Jr. was an American chemist and physicist. He is best known as the leader of the Homestake experiment in the 1960s-1980s, which was the first experiment to detect neutrinos emitted from the Sun; for this he shared the 2002 Nobel Prize in Physics.

- Ray Davis found evidence for neutrino mixing in experiments performed in 1960-1980
- His huge, game-changing discovery was not officially recognized until 40 years later
- The capability for contemporaneous verification will likely be KEY for a 0νββ claim
If nature is kind and the signal is strong enough over background -- no issue.

If nature is less kind (usually the case), even if everything goes very well (not usually the case), a single experiment could wind up with a few counts after 10 years of construction and 10 years of running which could be tantalizing but inconclusive. Even a limit that might be set would have significant additional uncertainty without confirmation from a second experiment.

Conclusion: a serious campaign would benefit greatly from having more than one ton-scale experiment and different systematics, especially given the uncertainty in the nuclear matrix elements.
Is the possibility of such low statistics after lots of time and money invested a show-stopper for getting to a definitive outcome?

Not if there is the possibility of contemporaneous confirmation as was done at CERN for the W and the Higgs.
The raw data used as the basis for UA1 announcement of W discovery

Spectrum is similar in character to the situation expected for $0\nu\beta\beta$
Figure 10 shows the $|p_T^{\text{miss}}|$ distribution, as measured by UA1 from the 1982 data.\textsuperscript{9} There is a component decreasing approximately as $|p_T^{\text{miss}}|^2$ due to the effect of calorimeter resolution in events without significant $|p_T^{\text{miss}}|$, followed by a flat component due to events with genuine $|p_T^{\text{miss}}|$. Six events with high $|p_T^{\text{miss}}|$ in the distribution of Fig. 10 contain a high-$p_T$ electron. The $p_T^{\text{miss}}$ vector in these events is almost back-to-back with the electron transverse momentum vector, as shown in Fig. 11. These events are interpreted as due to $W \rightarrow e\nu_e$ decay. This result was first announced at a CERN seminar on January 20, 1983. Figure 12 shows the graphics display of one of these events.
The experimental basis for the announcement of discovery of the W in UA1 and UA2.

A handful of counts (6) led to a major discovery in part because there were 2 experiments which both saw a signal.
• It is suggested that the need for contemporaneous verification should be an important (essential?) part of strategizing about the future path of neutrino-less double beta decay
DOE NP Portfolio Review

• The review produced a **numerical** ranking.

• All three experiments were highly rated and judged to be worth pursuing.

• To accomplish all three, an international campaign would be required.

• That need was the subject of a North American-European DBD Summit, September 29-October 1, 2021.
Why Consider Supporting Two Ton Scale Efforts

• Multiple experiments greatly increase the chance of a Nobel Prize in our lifetime as opposed to only well-read publications

• Forming a global investment strategy provides significant opportunity to strengthen S&T ties between traditional S&T partners in Europe and North America with possible collateral benefits in other research areas.

• The collegial competition between experiments will dramatically accelerate the pace of progress. It will also create and build out an $0\nu\beta\beta$/neutrino eco-system.

• This strategy could lead to a CERN-like International, Intergovernmental Alliance for Neutrino-less Double Beta Decay research, not only to mount multiple experiments near term, but also to provide stable funding for development efforts key to the next, next multi-ton efforts like NEXT.
Is this idea possible?

Assertion: the potential discovery of neutrino-less double beta decay would be every bit as much of a game changer as the discovery of super symmetry at CERN, and is as compelling as any accelerator-based research currently underway.

The annual investment in accelerator-based research world-wide is of order $2B per year.

To mount two ton-scale experiments plus CUPID, would require something of order $1B over 10 years or ~ $100M per year supported collectively by the international stakeholders.

Indeed possible, but still non-trivial.
The international stakeholders in neutrino-less double beta decay research agree in principle the best chance for success is an international campaign with more than one large ton-scale experiment implemented in the next decade.

The international stakeholders in neutrino-less double beta decay are interested in exploring whether a more formal structure for international collaboration on this research would be beneficial.