

# General Accelerator R&D Roadmaps: Advanced Accelerator Concepts Radio Frequency

G.C. Blazey Northern Illinois University HEPAP - June 5, 2017

# Context: P5

- P5 Stated: "The future of particle physics depends critically on transformational accelerator R&D to enable new capabilities and to advance existing technologies at *lower cost*."
- P5 Recommended:
  - "Align the present R&D program with the P5 priorities and long-term vision, with an appropriate balance
    - among general R&D, directed R&D, and accelerator test facilities
    - and among short-, medium-, and long-term efforts"
  - "Focus on outcomes and capabilities that will dramatically improve cost effectiveness of mid-term and far-term accelerators."
- P5 Suggested: a HEPAP subpanel on accelerator R&D to provide detailed guidance on implementation of accelerator R&D aligned with P5 priorities.

### Context: ARD Subpanel

- Following P5's advice, DOE convened the HEPAP Accelerator R&D Subpanel.
- ARD Subpanel Executive Summary: "The Subpanel examined the accelerator R&D that is required to prepare for the future-generation accelerators envisioned by P5."
- Recommendation 10. Convene the university and laboratory proponents of advanced acceleration concepts to develop R&D roadmaps with a series of milestones and common down-selection criteria.
- The HEP Committee of Visitors has recommended that this process be applied to other components of GARD.
- Today, as "facilitator" will review roadmaps for GARD-AAC and GARD-RF.

### AAC Workshop: Process

- Convened February 2-3, 2016 by DOE at this venue.
- Preceded by focused preparatory workshops.
- Proceedings very detailed and intense :
  - Presentation of individual roadmaps for PWFA, LWFA, DWFA.
  - Talks on synergies between the roadmaps and with global efforts.
  - Talks on potential early applications, diagnostic needs, simulation needs, and beam issues and challenges related to a collider.
  - Discussion of individual roadmaps with emphasis on the next 5-10 years and common challenges.
- Assumptions:
  - The primary long-term goal is a multi-TeV e+e- collider with a timescale set by a TDR in 2035-2040 timeframe.
  - As an intermediate goal, a TDR for a potential early application such as an XFEL or gamma-ray source.
- Workshop report at <u>https://science.energy.gov/~/media/hep/pdf/accelerator-rd-</u> <u>stewardship/Advanced\_Accelerator\_Development\_Strategy\_Report.pdf</u>

### AAC Workshop: Participants

- Attendees:
  - Expert proponents of LWFA, PWFA, DWFA
  - Invited accelerator science experts from universities and laboratories
- Invited participants:
  - Thomas Antonsen (Maryland)
  - Ilan Ben-Zvi (BNL, Stony Brook)
  - Jerry Blazey (NIU)
  - Yunhei Cai (SLAC)
  - Weiren Chou (FNAL)
  - Michael Downer (Texas-Austin)
  - Wei Gai (ANL)
  - Carl Schroeder (LBNL)
  - Mark Hogan (SLAC)
- Other participants:
  - L.K. Len (DOE)
  - G. Crawford (DOE)
  - E. Colby (DOE)
  - A. Lankford (HEPAP)

Chungguang Jing (ANL/Euclid) Chan Joshi (UCLA) Wim Leemans (LBNL) Michael Litos (SLAC) Sergei Nagaitsev (FNAL) James Rosenzweig (UCLA) Andrei Seryi (John Adams Inst.) Bill Weng (BNL)

J. Siegrist (DOE) J. Boger (DOE) K. Marken (DOE) V. Lukin (NSF)

### AAC Roadmap Common Challenges

- The workshop collaboratively identified a set of unifying, common challenges for the next decade:
- **Staging:** Higher energy\* staging of electron acceleration, with independent drive beams, equal energy, and 90% beam capture.
- *Emittance:* Understanding mechanisms for emittance growth and developing methods for achieving emittances compatible with colliders.
- *Higher energy electron acceleration stage:* Completion of a single electron acceleration stage at higher energy.
- **Positron acceleration:** Demonstration and understanding of positron acceleration.
- Collider parameter set: Continuous, joint development of a comprehensive and realistic operational parameter set for a multi-TeV collider, to guide operating specifications for AAC.

\* "Higher Energy" means multi-GeV for LWFA & PWFA and multiple 100-MeV for DWFA





#### LWFA: Next Decade



- 10 GeV e-beam single stage
- 5 GeV + 5 GeV staging.
- Positron Beams

- FEL Applications
- Plasma target / energy recovery
- Diagnostics
- Simulations

#### Particle Wakefield Acceleration (PWFA):

### Roadmap Overview

#### **Beam Driven Plasma Accelerator Roadmap for HEP**

	Constant of the	Constant of the second s				
	2016	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
	LHC Physics	Program			The second secon	sics Program
		erator R&D at Un & International F				
	PWFA-LC Cor	ncepts & Parame	ter Studies PWFA-Lo	C CDR	PWFA-LC TDR	PWFA-LC Construction
	Beam Dynami	ics & Tolerance S	tudies			
Ħ	Plasma Sourc	e Development				
mer	FACET-II Con	struction			Lege	nd
dol		FACET-II Operati	on		Theory/Sim	ulation/Design
Development	Experimental Design & Protoyping					/Construction
8 D		Emittance Preser	vation		Experiment	s/Operations
		Transfo	rmer Ratio > 1			
Research			Staging Studies	Multiple Stages		
<b>PWFAF</b>	PWFA App De & CDR	ev. PWFA-App TDR	PWFA-App Construction	A-App Operation		
۵.			ure Facility Design FFT TBD) Con	BD FFTBD Op struction 'String Tes	peration & Collider Prototyp	e
	Positron PWF Concept Dev.		PWFA in C Regime			
Tech.	Euro XFEL Construction	Euro XFEL (	Operation			
Driver Tech	LCLS-II Construct	tion LCLS-II Ope	ration			

- Emittance preservation
- Positron acceleration

#### PWFA: Next Decade

- Throughout the 10-years:
  - LC concept development
  - Beam dynamics & tolerance studies
  - Early applications development

	Beam Driven Plasma R&D 10 Year Roadmap						
2016	2018	2020	2020 2022 2024 2026				
	PWFA-LC Co	oncept Develop	oment ar	nd Para	meter Stud	lies	
	Bear	n Dynamics an	d Tolera	nce Stu	ıdies		
		10 GeV Ele	ctron St	tage			
FACET			FACET	II Phas	e I:Electro	ins	
	Operating with hig	yh beam loading: (	Gradient >	· 1GeV/m	, Efficiency >	10%	
Present			Goals				
9 GeV			10 GeV				
Q ~ 50 pC				Q ~ 10	00 pC		
ε ~ 100μm		ε ~ 10µm	ı	FA	CET-II: Ext	ernal Injector	
ΔE/E ~ 4%		∆E/E <5%	%		ε ~	1µm	
	Staging Studies				ΔE/E ~ 1%		
	Goals				Transfor	mer Ratio	
Characte	Characterization of active plasma lens at 10GeV			Pr	esent	Goals	
Beam quality	Beam quality preservation during injection and extraction				an Beams	Shaped Profiles	
Plasma source with tailored entrance & exit profile T ~1					T > 1		

- Program is shaped by availability of facilities.
  - FACET-II Phase 1 electrons
  - FACET-II Phase 2 positrons
  - FACET-II w/ external injector

- 10 GeV Electron Stage
  - FACETII will enable next step in gradient and beam quality
  - FACETII external injector will enable further progress ( $\epsilon$  and  $\Delta E$ )
- Staging Studies with independent witness beam injector

#### **PWFA:** Next Decade

	Beam Driven Plasma R&D 10 Year Roadmap						
2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2026		
	PWFA Application(s): Identification, CDR, TDR, Operation						
		Positron A	cceleration				
FACET			FACET-II	Phase 2: Positro	ons		
	Simulate, Test and	I Identify the Opti	mal Configuratio	n for Positron PWF	A		
Present ('New	v Regime' only)		C	ioals			
4GeV		100pC, >1GeV @ >1GeV/m, dE/E < 5%, Emittance Preserved in at least one regime: 'New Regime' seeded with two bunches Hollow Channel Plamsas					
Q ~ 100 pC							
3 GeV/m							
ΔE/E ~ 2%							
8 mm			Quesi	non linner			
		Plasma Source	e Developmen	t			
		G	oals				
	Tailored density ramps for beam matching and emittance preservation						
	Uniform, hollow and near-hollow transverse density profiles						
	Accelerating region density adjustable from 10 <sup>15</sup> - 10 <sup>17</sup> e <sup>-</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup>						
		Accelerating	g length > 1m				
	Scalable to high repetition rate and high power dissipation						

- Positrons: FACETII Phase 2 will enable the next step in positron acceleration
- Plasma source development.

### Dielectric Wakefield Acceleration (DWFA): Roadmap Overview

- R&D primarily on dielectric two-beam acceleration:
  - Several critical technology elements can draw upon CLIC or ILC designs:
    - Polarized electron and positron sources
    - Beam delivery system and appropriate main-beam parameters at IP
  - The other critical technology elements are focus of current R&D:
    - Main (witness) beam acceleration
    - Drive beam power source
    - Staging of multiple accelerations structures to higher energy
- Goals:
  - 300 MV/m gradient
  - Low-cost dielectric structures
  - Simple drive-beam based power source
  - Main bunch shaping for high efficiency
  - High-efficiency klystrons
  - Multi-fold cost reduction compared to current LC technology

#### DWFA: Next Decade



Note: the color fading is proportional to the effort. Timeline is subject to funding level. Cost reduction compared to current LC technology

- 2016-21 Technology Consolidation Phase
  - Single stage
    - GW level RF power from drive beam
    - 300 MV/m in single stage
    - High Fidelity Staging
      - With beam kicker, RF delay lines, two TBA modules per stage
- 2021-26 Technology Integration Phase
  - Main Beam Source
    - X-band
  - 3-GeV Acceleration Facility
    - High-fidelity, integrated test facility
    - High-gradient
    - Staging
    - LC quality beam
- Ongoing:
  - Bunch Shaping
    - Increase RF-beam efficiency
  - High Efficiency Klystron
    - Synergistic with CLIC (and SLAC)

# AAC Synergies

- Many similarities and parallels in LWFA & PWFA roadmap, considerable physics and required R&D are independent of the driver.
  - Staging of 1-10 GeV modules
  - Mitigation of emittance growth due to collisions and ion motion
  - High-efficiency acceleration
  - Positron acceleration
  - Hollow plasma channels for positrons
  - Mitigation of transverse beam instabilities
- Similar timescales for progress
- Use of BELLA, FACET-II, ATF, and AWA as appropriate to each study will foster progress.
  - E.g.: positrons studies at FACET-II; staging and tolerances at BELLA; first studies of plasma lenses at ATF, moving to BELLA & FACET-II for higher energies; AWA for staging and bunch shaping.
- Synergies of DWFA with CLIC

### **RF Workshop: Process**

- Convened February 8-9, 2017 in Gaithersburg, MD.
- Preceded by focused preparatory workshops and SLAC and FNAL.
- Proceedings (also detailed, intense discussions):
  - Presentation of preparatory workshops & preliminary roadmaps for both SRF and NCRF.
  - Detailed talks on the status of modeling, international efforts, potential NCRF/SRF synergies beyond HEP, laboratory needs, university roles, user needs, and test facilities at SLAC and FNAL.
  - Discussion of cross-cuts
  - Review and integration of the two roadmaps
- Overarching themes:
  - Dramatically improve performance and cost by an order of magnitude or more.
  - Decadal time scale for the roadmap informs improved performance and cost of accelerators now under consideration.
- Currently discussing a mature draft which forms the basis of this talk.

### RF Workshop: Participants

- Attendees:
  - Experts on SRF, NCRF, RF sources, and auxiliary RF systems
  - Invited accelerator science experts from universities and laboratories
- Invited participants:
  - Sami Tantawi (SLAC)
  - Seregy Belomestnykh (FNAL)
  - Cho Ng (SLAC)
  - Jerry Blazey (NIU)
  - Erk Jensen (CERN)
  - Richard Temkin (MIT)
  - Weiren Chou (FNAL)
  - Bruce Carlsten (LANL)
  - Wim Leemans (LBNL)
- Other participants:
  - L.K. Len (DOE)
  - G. Crawford (DOE)
  - E. Colby (DOE)
  - V. Lukin (NSF)

Emilio Nani (SLAC) Anna Grassellino (FNAL) Mathias Liepe (Cornell) Alex Gurevich (Old Dominion) Robert Rimmer (Jefferson) James Rosenzweig (UCLA) Sergei Nagaitsev (FNAL) Lia Merminga (SLAC)

J. Siegrist (DOE) J. Boger (DOE) A. Lankford (HEPAP)

(Apologies to anyone missed!)

## Integrated Roadmap



- Decadal integrated roadmap
- Two main components
  - RF structures
  - RF sources & auxiliary systems
- Themes or cross-cuts for cryogenic or warm RF structure R&D:
  - Understanding basic physics and processes
  - Exploring new shapes, materials, and operating regimes.

# SRF: High Q

	2018	2020	2022	2024	2026	2028			
Physics of RF Surface		he field dependence and effect of differen		develop new u	knowledge and understanding for ve materials				
Resistance	Understand origin of residual resistance and its field dependence								
	Understand tra	Understand trapped magnetic flux losses and flux trapping							
	•	tion with nitrogen in nt temperatures		Doping fo	r new materials	Potential of			
Doping	-	ltimate limits of Nb F loping with different				Nb material: Q (2 K)~ 1x10 <sup>11</sup> @ 1.3 GHz			
	Study Nb doping	at different frequenci	es and temperature	!S		e 110 cm			
Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn or other new	form (Nb₃Sn) – e	promising path forwa	coating techniques		studies for cryomodule operation	e Potential of Nb₃Sn			
materials	Eval	nts for single cell / mo uate alternative mate N, NbTiN, MgB <sub>2</sub> ) first on cavitio	erials, bulk or film on samples, then	Ex	plore SIS for Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn	material: Q (4 K) ~ 1x10 <sup>11</sup> @ 1.3 GHz			
	Drastically redu	ice sensitivity to mag	netic flux for Nb an	d new materials		Impact:			
Magnetic Flux	In sit	u removal of trapped	magnetic field (in c	ryomodule)	~	• Retain 1x10 <sup>11</sup> • Sustain very			
Losses	Develop Mate ensure maximum					high gradients			
Goals	Q > 4x10 <sup>10</sup> at 2	K, 1.3 GHz and E <sub>acc</sub> >	35 MV/m		Residual resistance	Nb₃Sn cryomodule			
Guais			Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn: <i>E<sub>acc</sub></i> > 20 N 1x10 <sup>10</sup> at 1.3		< 1 nΩ in cryomodule	ready technology			

- Investigate the physics of RF surface resistance
- Explore doping
- Examine new materials
- Physics of magnetic flux losses

## SRF: High Gradient



- Fundamental limits
- Niobium surface treatment and doping
- New materials

#### NCRF: New Structures

### Normal Conducting Structures Roadmap



- Advanced topologies, materials and manufacturing.
- New temperature and frequency operating regimes.

#### **RF** Sources

## **RF Source Roadmap**

Year:	2018	2023 20		
RF Sources		h perveance, low voltage, high efficiency, multi-dimensional beams / Efficient modulators / Virtual prototyping tools / Prototypes / Energy recovery		
	Discrete Architecture	Distributed Architecture	Energy Recovery Concepts	
Pulsed Sources Projected Cost Power Delivery Efficiency	Prototype Sources 20 \$/kW Peak Pulsed 65 MW/m 35%	Integrate with Accelerators 5 \$/kW Peak Pulsed 100 MW/m >50%	Technology Transfer 2 \$/kW Peak Pulsed 200 MW/m >70%	
CW Sources Projected Cost Power Delivery Efficiency	CW sources 650 MHz / 1.3 GHz 5 \$/W Avg. CW 100 W >50%	Cost-Effective CW Sources 2 \$/W Avg. CW 100 Kw >70%	CW Sources at Facilities <1 \$/W Avg. CW 100 kW >80%	

Explore discrete architectures (multi beam klystron), distributed architectures (radial klystron), and energy recovery concepts to reach high perveance while operating at lower voltage and higher efficiency.

## **RF: Auxiliary Systems**

# **Auxiliary Systems**

Year: 2018			2028		
Components	High power SRF couplers /	/ Broadband HOM dampers / Active cavity tuners / Circulators for high peak and high average power sources			
Particle Sources	High repetition rate and high brightness e- source	Sub-micron/nC Emittance Fast Frequency Tuners for SRF Cavities		Cavity Freq. ~50 MHz Slew Rate 5 MHz/s	
		Polarized emitters		200 MV/m, ~10µA	

- Electron sources
- Higher Order Mode damping
- Power couplers
- Fast frequency tuners for SRF cavities
- Rapidly tunable NCRF cavities.

# **RF** Synergies

- SRF/NCRF synergies
  - Surface physics of the cavity
  - Novel fabrication methods
- Office of Science synergies
  - Nuclear physics (ATLAS, RHIC, FRIB)
  - Spallation sources (SNS, ESS)
  - Light sources (LCLS-II, NSLS-II, XFEL...)
- Federal Sponsors & Industry
  - Interrogation
  - Medicine
  - Reinvigorating commercial RF sector

#### As seen by AAC and RF: GARD Computational Needs

- A strong modeling program is a critical component of R&D progress.
- Requirements:
  - Development of personnel and of computational teams
  - Development of new multi-scale models and algorithms



- Exploitation of new processor architectures
- Addressing these requirement is itself a challenge.

### **Closing Comments**

- The Advanced Accelerator Concepts and Radio Frequency communities invested significant time and effort to develop integrated, comprehensive, and detailed roadmaps.
- Roadmaps responsive to
  - P5 request for alignment of R&D with priorities and improved cost and operation.
  - ARD request for detailed milestones and goals.
- AAC roadmap identifies and addresses five common challenges for LWFA, PWFA, DWFA: a higher energy stage, emittance control, acceleration stages, positron acceleration, maintenance of a collider parameter set.
- RF roadmap addresses performance and cost goals through a focus on SRF and NCRF accelerating structures and RF and auxiliary systems.

(For details consult your local accelerator experts)

#### ARD GARD-AAC Recommendations

- Recommendation 7. Vigorously pursue particle-driven plasma wakefield acceleration of positrons at FACET in the time remaining for the operation of the facility. Be-tween the closing of FACET and the operation of a follow-on facility, preserve the momentum of particle-driven wakefield acceleration research using other facilities.
- Recommendation 8. Continue to support laser-driven plasma wakefield acceleration experiments on BELLA at the current level.
- **Recommendation 9.** Reduce funding for direct laser acceleration research activities.
- Recommendation 10. Convene the university and laboratory proponents of advanced acceleration concepts to develop R&D roadmaps with a series of milestones and common down-selection criteria

#### ARD GARD-RF Recommendations

- Recommendation 4. Direct appropriate investment in superconducting RF R&D in order to inform the selection of the acceleration technology for the multi-MW proton beam at Fermilab.
- Recommendation 6. Increase funding for development of superconducting RF (SRF) technology with the goal to significantly reduce the cost of a ~1 TeV energy upgrade of the ILC. Strive to achieve 80 MV/m accelerating gradients with new SRF materials on the 10-year timescale.
- **Recommendation 11.** Continue research on high-efficiency power sources and high-gradient normal conducting RF structures.
- **Recommendation 12.** Make NLCTA available for RF structure tests using its RF power and beam sources.
- Recommendation 13. Focus normal conducting RF R&D on developing a multistage prototype based on high-gradient normal conducting RF structures and high-efficiency RF power sources to demonstrate the effectiveness of the technology for a multi-TeV e+e- collider.

#### LWFA: Decadal Laser Roadmap



- Laser R&D cannot be overlooked, technological progress essential
- 30kW, kHz class laser represents an appropriate next step.

# LWFA Update

- Modeling and simulations: novel algorithms resulting in higher speed modeling codes, EXASCALE project funded for developing tools to carry out start-to-end modeling of a 1 TeV laser plasma based colliders
- **10 GeV module**: experimental demonstration of dynamic shaping of plasma channels in capillary discharges with laser heater pulse to provide guiding of high intensity laser pulses at lower plasma densities than previously achieved. Designed, built and operated 20 cm long monolithic capillary discharges, another key step towards higher energies. Experiments underway at BELLA towards 10 GeV with these significant new developments and preliminary results are very promising.
- Staging: two independently powered modules at 100 MeV per stage were demonstrated) and a design for staging at 5 GeV+5GeV was completed. FWP submitted to DOE for second beamline on BELLA to enable experiment.
- Phase space shaping, efficiency, diagnostics, tolerances: demonstrated a reliable, tunable injector based on gas jet with knife blade first emittance measurement of two different injection techniques
- Design of concepts for colliders: studied impact of ion motion in plasmas for ultra-intense electron beams; analyzed beam-breakup (BBU) instability and found new method for stabilization BBU was seen as a potential roadblock but a viable solution has emerged.
- **Applications**: two new lasers (100 TW class) and beamlines under construction towards a laser plasma accelerator driven XUV free electron laser and a tunable gamma ray source
- High average power demonstrator (k-BELLA): organized workshop with national and international experts and solid approach(es) have been identified for the near future 3 kW system as well as for future 10's to 100's of kW average power collider class lasers with high wallplug efficiency.

# PWFA Update

- FACET successfully finished its final run in April 2016 & published several key new results:
  - Investigated head-erosion mechanism mitigation to enhance energy extraction from drive bunch
  - Demonstrated a Positron Beam-Driven Hollow Channel Plasma Wakefield Accelerator
  - Mapped the longitudinal field structure within non-linear plasma wake to inform emittance preservation studies
  - Demonstrated record performance (gradient, energy gain, efficiency) for dielectric wakefield accelerators
- Data analysis and high-impact publications in preparation or out for review on:
  - Laser-triggered injection in electron-beam driven plasma wakefield accelerators important for studying emittance preservation and developing first off-ramp applications
  - Acceleration of a trailing positron bunch in a plasma wakefield accelerator first measurements of positron acceleration spanning non-linear to quasi-linear regimes to identify optimum regime for positron PWFA and LWFA
- Planning for FACET-II as a community resource with 3rd annual science workshop in October 2017

## DWFA Update

- Single stage
  - 11.7 GHz Metallic structure
    - (Gradient continues to increase over last year)
    - 300 MW RF (drive beam power generation
    - 150 MV/m (main beam acceleration)
  - 26 GHz Dielectric structure
    - (TBA demonstrated for first time in 26GHz dielectric)
    - 300 MW RF (drive beam power generation
    - 150 MV/m (main beam acceleration)
- High Fidelity Staging
  - Fast kicker:
    - · design completed,
    - in fabrication,
  - RF delay lines:
    - under construction,
  - Two TBA modules for both stages
  - Structures:
    - in-hand
  - Staging Beamline:
    - under design
- AWA User Facility Program
  - Adding many user's: (e.g. UCLA PWFA, US-Japan FNAL/NIU, etc.)

# **DWFA:** Applications

DWF	DWFA OTHER APPLICATIONS and SYNERGIES						
2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2026		
		Other	Applications				
	MHz Rep. Rate I	OWA-XFEL (colla	boration w/ APS)				
Present	Goals	Goals					
Conceptual design done	Testing critical t in the fabrication	echnology elements	Goals				
End to end beam simulation done		Finishing a meter scale module		Ready for CDR /TDR			
Engineering design started	PoP beam exper	PoP beam experiment					
High B	rightness Electroi	n Source (collabor	ation w/ MaRIE)				
Goals							
Character	Characterizing Ultrahigh brighness electron gun for MaRie Project						
	Synergies for PWFA/LWFA						
	PWFA Staging		High Transfo	rmer Ratio for PW	/FA/LWFA		
Goals Goals							
Demo of the1st staging for PWFA			Demo of Transform	ner ratio>2			

#### Alignment with Future Accelerators



### SRF Common Roadmap Elements

- New Materials, Films, and Multilayers:
- Development of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn as Practical SRF Material
- Field Emission Mitigation
- Microphonics and Lorentz Force Detuning Compensation
- Novel SRF Cavity Shapes

#### High Q/Gradient Start of the Art



#### Progress on NCRF Gradients



# Advance RF Source Concepts/Low Cost & Low Voltage

- Discrete Architecture:
  - Modular-Array Multi-Beam Klystron
    - Redefining the landscape of multi-beam architectures.
      - Simplified, low part count, klystrinos
      - Phase locked Floquet power extraction network
- Distributed Architecture:
  - Radial Klystron
    - Exploiting a novel geometry to mitigate space charge effects.
      - Low space charge radially propagating beam
  - Deflected Beam Amplifier
    - Multi-stage power extraction that enables high efficiency X-band operation.
      - Complete beam-wave synchronism with multi-stage output structure.





**Radial klystron** 



#### **Deflected beam amplifier**



#### Table 1. Milestones for RF modeling and simulation R&D thrusts.

Year	Milestone
1 - 2	Time domain thermal and mechanical solvers for cavity design; Integration of RF and beam dynamics codes for emittance calculation; Solver components for 2D modeling of RF sources; Nonlinear eigensolvers for damping calculation; GUI for multi-physics cavity design.
3 - 4	Capability for EM mode damping calculation for all boundary conditions; Integration of RF and radiation codes as a coupled system; 2D large-signal code for RF source design; Parallel RF shape optimization tool; GUI including RF shape optimization tool
5 – 6	Coupled time domain thermal and mechanical solver for cavity design; System-level integrated RF and beam dynamics simulation; Tool for analysis of spurious oscillations in RF sources; Nonlinear eigensolvers for dispersive lossy materials; GUI for code integration
7 – 8	Capability for microphonics simulation in cryomodule systems; System-level integrated RF and radiation calculation; 3D large-signal code for RF source design; Parallel multi-physics shape optimization tool; Dissemination of RF source codes
9 - 10	Multi-physics shape optimization of large accelerator systems; End-to-end integrated simulation; Optimization procedures for RF source codes; Performance enhancement of parallel codes on emerging supercomputing platforms; GUI for multi-physics shape optimization tool