



# Report from NSF

Covering Activities in the Divisions of Physics and Astronomical Sciences

December 6, 2013

National Science Foundation

Denise Caldwell, Division Director, Physics Division

Contributions from: Saul Gonzalez, Randy Ruchti, Jean Cottam, Jim Whitmore, Marc Sher,  
Jim Ulvestad



## High Energy Physics at NSF – Budget Considerations

- NSF budgets are set by Director at the highest level  
OMB/Congress → NSF OD → Directorates → Divisions → Programs
- Currently (FY 2013), there are 6 lines in the NSF Budget:
  - Research & Related Activities** (R&RA, \$5,544M) Research Awards in all Research Directorates (MPS, GEO, BIO, ENG, CISE, SBE); **Facilities Operations here**
  - Education & Human Resources (EHR, \$833M)
  - Agency Operations & Award Management (AOAM, \$294M)
  - Major Research Equipment & Facilities Construction** (MREFC, \$196M); Includes **no funds for operations**; funds disappear when construction is complete
  - Office of Inspector General (OIG, \$13M)
  - National Science Board (NSB, \$4M)
- The Director has flexibility in making program and Directorate allocations; however President's budget has detailed "bottoms-up" justifications – administration priorities  
Appropriations language can highlight Congressional priorities  
Budget cuts can impact "core" research programs (9.6% PHY budget cut in FY 2013)
- The NSF mission is broad ("fund the best science"); all disciplines compete every year for non-core funding (Presidential priorities, Congressional priorities, MREFC projects); planning for long-term projects is difficult, although essential for high energy physics



## Consequences of Current Budget Constraints

There is a lot of very good science that will not be funded.

Whatever is funded should reflect:

- the strongest case for scientific progress at the frontier of knowledge, irrespective of field
- potential to transform society either directly or indirectly
- reasonable expectation of affordability over long term

PHY Perspectives:

- Maximize impact of NSF funds, e.g. invest in a few high-impact mid-scale projects as opposed to contributions to large efforts with incremental impact
- Optimize utilization of resources otherwise funded, e.g. OSG, XSEDE, PFC
- Focus on science questions, not disciplinary programs per se;  
utilize cross-cutting programs where feasible, e.g. MRI, CDS&E
- Encourage connections across PHY sub-areas

High Energy Physics has been and should remain highly competitive at NSF.



# PHY Particle Physics (PP) Funding - Details

	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
(in M\$)	Actuals	Omnibus	ARRA	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimate
<b>Experimental EPP</b>							
EPP Research	20.5	18.8	14.0	25.8	25.0	24.7	21.7
LHC Ops	18.0	18.0		18.0	18.0	18.0	18.0
CESR	13.7	8.5	1.3				
Accel/Instrumentation	4.0	2.2		3.0	4.1	11.9	4.5
<b>Experimental Particle Astro</b>							
Particle Astrophysics	15.8	15.9	15.3	17.9	9.7	11.5	10.4
IceCube Ops	1.5	2.2		2.2	3.5	3.5	3.5
DUSEL Planning	2.0	22.0		28.9	10.2		3.2
Underground R&D	5.0	4.0	5.6	4.6	6.0	11.0	3.4
Underground Physics					8.4	6.3	5.5
<b>Theory</b>							
THY (EPP/Astro/Cosmo)	11.7	12.0	6.8	13.2	14.1	13.6	12.1
Physics Frontier Centers	6.3	5.9		5.9	6.0	6.0	6.0
<b>TOTAL Particle Physics</b>	<b>98.4</b>	<b>109.5</b>	<b>43.0</b>	<b>119.4</b>	<b>104.9</b>	<b>106.4</b>	<b>88.4</b>
<b>TOTAL Physics Division</b>	<b>285.0</b>	<b>275.5</b>	<b>102.1</b>	<b>307.8</b>	<b>280.3</b>	<b>277.4</b>	<b>250.7</b>
<b>% of Physics Division</b>	<b>34.5%</b>	<b>39.7%</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>35.2%</b>
<b>Allied Funding</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>12.7</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>24.7</b>	<b>20.8</b>
<b>Effective Total</b>	<b>105.5</b>	<b>114.4</b>	<b>43.5</b>	<b>132.1</b>	<b>117.2</b>	<b>131.1</b>	<b>109.2</b>

Allied Funding

# Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST)

- Stage IV Dark Energy experiment, with many other astrophysical goals
  - NSF: Telescope, site, data management
  - DOE: Large-format camera (SLAC leads)
- In President's MREFC budget request for NSF for FY 2014
  - Total NSF construction budget of \$466M
  - Goal: start NSF construction in July 2014
- DOE camera could not start in FY 2013 due to Continuing Resolution; in FY14 Budget Request
  - Total cost range up to \$175M
- NSF Final Design Review occurring this week
  - Aiming for May 2014 construction approval by NSB



Artist's rendering of site and telescope; primary mirror in final polishing stage



# MPS/AST Dark Energy Efforts

- Contributed to funding of Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) over the years
  - Presently funding BOSS (Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey), a Stage III DE experiment, as part of SDSS-III
- Together with university and private sources, funding Hobby Eberley Telescope Dark Energy Experiment (HETDEX), another Stage III spectroscopic experiment at higher redshift
- Supporting Dark Energy Survey (DES), a Stage III imaging experiment on the Blanco 4m telescope in Chile
  - NSF telescope and data management, DOE (FNAL) camera
  - 5-yr survey began in August 2013
- DOE/HEP has selected NSF Mayall 4m telescope in Arizona as host for Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI), with LBNL as lead institution
  - Further advance of DESI depends strongly on report and recommendations of P5 committee



# NSF Considers P5 Process as Critical

## Key Points of Interest to NSF

### NSF Funds are Limited; Particle Physics must Compete with All of Science

P5 Charge: Focus on the Science in defining priorities:

*"To better understand this picture, we request an assessment of the current and future scientific opportunities over the next 20 year period."*

### Look for Maximum Impact; Science Payoff Must Justify Level of Investment

P5 Charge: Describe the scientific return on investments:

*"Examine current, planned and proposed research capabilities and assess their role and potential for scientific advancement; assess their uniqueness and relative scientific impact in the international context and estimate the time and resources... needed to achieve their goals."*



## Capitalize on NSF Agility; Mid-scale Awards in Key Areas Rather than Incremental Contributions to Big Efforts

P5 Charge: Consider a balance of experiments. NSF/PHY will support small and mid-scale experiments, and well-defined university-led contributions to large projects:

*“We also request that HEPAP consider the appropriate balance of small, mid-scale, and large experiments and identify, where possible, multiple or complementary pathways to address the important scientific questions...”*

## Capitalize on the NSF Focus on the University Community and Students

P5 Charge: Consider a range of projects that engage laboratory and university personnel:

*“... maintaining a healthy balance that preserves essential roles and contributions for national laboratories and universities and enables opportunities for global coordination of large initiatives.”*



## Utilize Access to NSF- and Division-Wide Funding Opportunities

- These are **NOT** Particle Physics programs;
- Proposal Must be Competitive with Science in Other Areas
- Project Must Enable a Major Advance in the Science
- Costs Must Mesh Well with Other Support for Project, e.g. Operations Costs

**Major Research Infrastructure (MRI): Deadline January 23, 2014**

[http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub\\_summ.jsp?WT.z\\_pims\\_id=5260&ods\\_key=nsf13517](http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?WT.z_pims_id=5260&ods_key=nsf13517)

Two awards in FY 2013: g-2, LHCb

**PHY Mid-Scale Instrumentation Fund**

[http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub\\_summ.jsp?ods\\_key=nsf13118](http://www.nsf.gov/publications/pub_summ.jsp?ods_key=nsf13118)

**Accelerator Science**

[http://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm\\_summ.jsp?pims\\_id=504937&org=PHY&from=home](http://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm_summ.jsp?pims_id=504937&org=PHY&from=home)