



Joel Fajans

U.C. Berkeley

and the ALPHA Collaboration

Work supported by: NSF/DOE Partnership in Basic Plasma Science,

Also supported by CNPq, FINEP/RENAFAE (Brazil), ISF (Israel), MEXT (Japan), FNU and the Carlsberg Foundation (Denmark), VR (Sweden), NSERC, NRC/TRIUMF AIF FQRNT(Canada), and EPSRC, the Royal Society and the Leverhulme Trust (UK).

Hollow antiproton plasma ALPHA 2012

- Researchers have wished to study the properties of antihydrogen since at least the 1980's.
- Interest in antihydrogen stems from tests of fundamental physics:
 - Charge-Parity-Time (CPT) invariance:
 - Is the spectrum of antihydrogen the same as the spectrum of hydrogen?
 - Weak Equivalence Principle (WEP):
 - Does antimatter gravitate in the same fashion as normal matter?
- Violation of CPT or WEP would revolutionize fundamental physics.
 - Both CPT and WEP are *very likely* to hold, and yet...



Could CPT Be Violated?

• Physicists have been wrong before...

• P violation---Wolfgang Pauli:¹

"I do not believe that the Lord is a weak left-hander, and I am ready to bet a very high sum that the experiments will give symmetric results."

•CP violation---Lev Landau:²

"If CP is violated, I will hang myself."



Wolfgang Pauli



Richard Feynman



Lev Landau

¹Pauli in a letter to Victor Weisskopf, quoted in the Ambidextrous Universe, by Martin Gardner. ²Oral history, as related by Dima Budker.





Hollow antiproton plasma ALPHA 2012

Plasma Physics and Antihydrogen

Joel Fajans

U.C. Berkeley

and the ALPHA Collaboration

Work supported by: NSF/DOE Partnership in Basic Plasma Science,

Also supported by CNPq, FINEP/RENAFAE (Brazil), ISF (Israel), MEXT (Japan), FNU and the Carlsberg Foundation (Denmark), VR (Sweden), NSERC, NRC/TRIUMF AIF FQRNT(Canada), and EPSRC, the Royal Society and the Leverhulme Trust (UK).

- Researchers have wished to study the properties of antihydrogen since at least the 1980's.
- Interest in antihydrogen stems from tests of fundamental physics:
 - Charge-Parity-Time (CPT) invariance:
 - Is the spectrum of antihydrogen the same as the spectrum of hydrogen?
 - Weak Equivalence Principle (WEP):
 - Does antimatter gravitate in the same fashion as normal matter?
- Violation of CPT or WEP would revolutionize fundamental physics.
 - Both CPT and WEP are *very likely* to hold, and yet...
- Hints that they might not hold come from the Baryogenesis problem:
 - Why is there so little antimatter in the universe?
- Nonneutral plasma physics is the key enabling science in the field of antiatom physics.



Antihydrogen Research History

- 1928: The existence of positrons was predicted in a series of papers by Dirac.
- 1933: The positron was discovered by Anderson at Cal Tech.
- 1955: The antiproton was discovered by Chamberlain, Segrè, Wiegand, and Ypsilantis in Berkeley.
- 1996: Antihydrogen was produced at accelerator scale energies at CERN and at Fermilab (1998).
 - This antihydrogen was too energetic to be used to measure physics properties.
- 2000: Antiprotons were decelerated to 5.3MeV at CERN's new Antiproton Decelerator (AD) facility.
- 2002: Low energy (eV scale) antihydrogen was produced by the ATHENA and ATRAP collaborations at CERN.
 - Hundreds of millions of antiatoms have been made to date.
 - The antihydrogen atoms were untrapped, and lived for a few milliseconds before annihilating on the apparatus walls.
 - Many researchers believe that antiatoms are best studied when trapped, not transitory.

G. Baur, et al, Production of antihydrogen, Phys. Lett. B, 368, 251 (1996).

G. Blanford, D. C. Christian, K. Gollwitzer, M. Mandelkern, C. T. Munger, J. Schultz, and G. Zioulas, <u>Observation of Atomic Antihydrogen</u>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3037 (1998). ATHENA, <u>Production and detection of cold antihydrogen atoms</u>, Nature **419**, 456 (2002).

ATRAP, Background-free observation of cold antihydrogen with field-ionization analysis of its states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 213401 (2002).





Dirac Anderson

Bevatron Team







ATHENA Antihydrogen Event

Antihydrogen Research History

- 2005: Berkeley joined the new ALPHA collaboration, and NSF/DOE partnership funding for antihydrogen plasma research began to have a direct impact.
 - NSF/DOE funding is distributed between Berkeley (JF, Jonathan Wurtele), Auburn/Purdue (Francis Robicheaux), and University of North Texas (Carlos Ordonez).
 - Techniques from the nonneutral plasma community, first funded by ONR and more recently by NSF/DOE, were imported into the antihydrogen field.
- 2010: ALPHA trapped 38 antihydrogen atoms.
 - Progress has been rapid since 2010.
 - ALPHA now can trap about 50 antiatoms in twenty minutes, as opposed to the 38 antiatoms total in 2010.
 - ALPHA has made several significant physics measurements on antihydrogen.



Annihilation Locations of Previously Trapped Antihydrogen Atoms After Release

ALPHA, <u>Trapped Antihydrogen</u>, Nature **468**, 673 (2010). ALPHA, <u>Confinement of antihydrogen for 1000s</u>, Nature Physics **7**, 558 (2011). *Papers in brick red were principally funded by NSF/DOE. Papers in green have funding from NSF/DOE.*

Antihydrogen Physics Measurements

- 2012: ALPHA measured the microwave positron spin flip frequency in antihydrogen.
 - The measurement was accurate to about 0.1%.
 - Our immediate goal is a precision hyperfine splitting measurement.
 - This is a CPT test, and measures the antiproton radius.
- 2013: ALPHA made a "Leaning tower of Pisa" style measurement of the gravitational acceleration of antihydrogen.
 - This initial measurement was crude, setting limits at $\pm 100g$.
 - ALPHA recently received funding from Canada (CFI) and the Carlsberg Foundation to construct an apparatus to measure the acceleration to an accuracy of $\pm 0.01g$.
 - The physics design of this new experiment was done at Berkeley with funding from NSF/DOE.
 - An up/down measurement may be accomplished by 2018.
- 2014: ALPHA measured the charge of antihydrogen.
 - In 2016 this measurement is improved to the 1ppb level, and is the first precision measurement performed on antihydrogen.
 - The measurement also sets a limit on the charge of the positron.

ALPHA, <u>Description and first application of a new technique to measure the gravitational mass of antihydrogen</u>, Nature Comm **4**, 1785 (2013). A I Zhmoginov, A E Charman, R Shalloo, J Fajans, J S Wurtele, <u>Nonlinear dynamics of anti-hydrogen in magnetostatic traps: implications for gravitational measurements</u>, Class. and Quantum Grav., **30** 205014 (2013).

ALPHA, An experimental limit on the charge of antihydrogen, Nature Comm, 5, 3955 (2014).

M. Baquero-Ruiz, A. E. Charman, J. Fajans, A. Little , A. Povilus, F. Robicheaux, J.S. Wurtele and A. I. Zhmoginov, <u>Measuring the electric charge of antihydrogen by</u> stochastic acceleration, New J. Phys. **16** 083013, (2014).

ALPHA, <u>An improved limit on the charge of antihydrogen from stochastic acceleration</u>, Nature, **529**, 373 (2016).







ALPHA, <u>Resonant quantum transition in trapped antihydrogen atoms</u>, Nature **483**, 439 (2012).

Antihydrogen Physics Measurements

- 2016: ALPHA measured 1s-2s transition energy of antihydrogen with 243nm light.
 - Measurement is only on/off resonance, but suggests a precision of 200ppt.
 - Loosely, this is within a factor of four on an absolute energy scale of the best CPT test (neutral kaon system) that has been performed to date.
 - We expect to be able to measure a complete 1s-2s spectrum this year to perhaps 5ppt.
 - If accomplished, this will be the leading CPT test.







Connection to Plasma Physics

- Antihydrogen is synthesized by mixing antiproton and positron plasmas in a Penning-Malmberg trap.
 - A strong axial magnetic field provides radial confinement.
 - Potentials applied to electrically-isolated electrodes provides axial confinement.
 - The plasmas E × B spin in the external magnetic and the self-consistent electric fields.





Connection to Plasma Physics

Positrons

- Antihydrogen is synthesized by mixing antiproton and positron plasmas in a Penning-Malmberg trap.
 - The positrons come from a Surko-style positron accumulator.
 - Positron plasma parameters:
 - N = 20M
 - r = 1mm
 - L = 10mm
 - n = 10⁸ cm⁻³
 - T = 10K

- The antiprotons come from the AD at CERN.
 - Antiproton plasma parameters:
 - N = 20k
 - r = 1mm
 - T = 100K
- Once these plasmas are made, they are mixed together, and antihydrogen forms by three-body recombination.
- Antihydrogen is charge neutral, so it is not trapped by the Penning-Malmberg trap fields, and would annihilate on the trap wall without additional magnetic fields.

Plasma Manipulations

- Preparing the antiproton and positron plasmas for antihydrogen trapping takes five to ten minutes.
- Hundreds of individually planned "gross" potential changes are required.
- These gross changes result in tens of millions of potential change commands.
- The currents of nine high-field magnets are manipulated.



Connection to Plasma Physics

- Creating the necessary plasma condition makes up the bulk of our experimental effort.
- The principle difficulties in optimizing the trapping of antihydrogen come from plasma physics issues.
 - Key areas of concern:
 - Creating plasmas of the correct radius, length, number and density.
 - Removing electrons from mixed antiproton-electron plasmas without perturbing the remaining antiprotons.
 - Minimizing plasma expansion.
 - Minimizing plasma temperatures.
 - Optimally mixing positron and antiproton plasmas.
 - Reproducibility, reproducibility, reproducibility.

Plasma and Nonlinear Dynamics papers funded by the NSF/DOE antihydrogen grant

J. Fajans, W. Bertsche, K. Burke, S.F. Chapman, D.P van der Werf, Effects of extreme magnetic quadrupole fields on Penning Traps, and	ALPHA, <u>Antihydrogen formation dynamics in a multipolar neutral anti-atom trap</u> , Phys. Lett B, 685, 141, (2010).
the consequences for antihydrogen trapping, Phys. Rev Lett., 95, 155001, (2005).	ALPHA, Evaporative Cooling of Antiprotons to Cryogenic Temperatures, Phys. Rev. Lett., 105 013003, (2010).
ALPHA, A magnetic trap for Antihydrogen confinement, Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A 566, 746, (2006).	C. A. Ordonez, <u>Drifting Plasma Confinement With a Spatially Periodic Field</u> , IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 38, 388 (2010).
J. Zhang, C. L. Taylor, J. D. Hanson, and F. Robicheaux, Regular and chaotic motion of anti-protons through a nested Penning trap with	ALPHA, Autoresonant excitation of antiproton plasmas, Phys. Rev. Lett., 106 025002 (2011).
multipole magnetic fields, J. Phys. B 40, 1019 (2007).	ALPHA, <u>Centrifugal separation and equilibration dynamics in an electron-antiproton plasma</u> , Phys. Rev. Lett., 106 145001, (2011).
F. Robicheaux and J. Fajans, Thermalization of magnetized electrons from black body radiation, J. Phys. B 40, 3143 (2007).	J. L. Pacheco, C. A. Ordonez, and D. L. Weathers, Plasma Interaction With a Static Spatially Periodic Electromagnetic Field, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 39, 2424 (2011).
ALPHA, Antimatter plasmas in a multipole trap for Antihydrogen, Phys. Rev. Lett., 98, 023402, (2007).	ALPHA, <u>Discriminating between antihydrogen and mirror-trapped antiprotons in a minimum-B trap</u> , New J. Phys., 14 015010, (2012).
K. Gomberoff, J. Fajans, J. Wurtele, A. Friedman, D.P. Grote, R.H. Cohen, and JL. Vay, Simulations of plasma confinement in an	J. L. Pacheco, C. A. Ordonez, and D. L. Weathers, Space-Charge-Based Electrostatic Plasma Confinement Involving Relaxed Plasma Species, Phys. Plasmas 19, 102510
antihydrogen trap, Phys. Plasmas, 14 102111, (2007).	(2012).
C. A. Ordonez, Effect of Positron Space Charge on Operation of an Antihydrogen Trap, Phys. Rev. E 76, 017402 (2007).	ALPHA, Experimental and computational study of the injection of antiprotons into a positron plasma for antihydrogen production, Physics of Plasmas 20, 043510,
C. A. Ordonez and J. R. Correa, Antiproton Cross-Field Diffusion in Antihydrogen Production Experiments Due To Anisotropic Binary	(2013).
Interactions, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 261 , 248 (2007).	ALPHA, Autoresonant-spectrometric determination of the residual gas composition in the ALPHA experiment apparatus, Rev. Sci. Inst., 84 065110, (2013).
Y. Ahat, C. E. Correa, and C. A. Ordonez, Positron Cross-Field Transport Due To Quasibound States of Antihydrogen, Nucl. Instrum. Meth.	ALPHA, Description and first application of a new technique to measure the gravitational mass of antihydrogen, Nature Comm 4, 1785 (2013).
B 261 , 252 (2007).	A I Zhmoginov, A E Charman, R Shalloo, J Fajans, J S Wurtele, Nonlinear dynamics of anti-hydrogen in magnetostatic traps: implications for gravitational measurements,
J. Fajans, N. Madsen, and F. Robicheaux, Critical loss radius in a Penning trap subject to multipole fields, Phys. Plasmas 15, 032108 (2008).	Class. and Quantum Gra
J.L. Hurt, P.T. Carpenter, C.L. Taylor, and F. Robicheaux, Positron and electron collisions with anti-protons in strong magnetic fields, J.	v., 30 205014 (2013).
Phys. B 41, 165206 (2008).	N. Madsen, F. Robicheaux, and S. Jonsell, Antihydrogen trapping assisted by sympathetically cooled positrons, New J. Phys. 16, 063046 (2014).
ALPHA, Production of antihydrogen at reduced magnetic field for anti-atom trapping, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 41 011001, (2008).	B. Radics, D.I. Murtagh, Y. Yamazaki, and F. Robicheaux, Scaling behavior of the ground-state antihydrogen yield as a function of positron density and temperature from
ALPHA, <u>A novel antiproton radial diagnostic based on octupole induced ballistic loss</u> , Phys. Plasmas, 15:032107, (2008).	<u>classical-trajectory Monte Carlo simulations</u> , Phys. Rev. A 90 , 032704 (2014).
ALPHA, <u>Compression of antiproton clouds for antihydrogen trapping</u> , <i>Phys. Rev. Lett</i> , 100 :203401, (2008).	ALPHA, <u>In situ electromagnetic field diagnostics with an electron plasma in a Penning–Malmberg Trap</u> , New J. Phys. 16 013037, (2014).
C. A. Ordonez and D. L. Weathers, Two-Species Mixing in a Nested Penning Trap for Antihydrogen Trapping, Phys. Plasmas 15, 083504	R. A. Lane and C. A. Ordonez, Classical Trajectory Monte Carlo Simulations of Particle Confinement Using Dual Levitated Coils, AIP Adv. 4, 077117 (2014).
(2008).	A. S. Kiester, J. L. Pacheco, C. A. Ordonez, and D. L. Weathers, Phase Space of Positron Trajectories Exiting a Charged Particle Source Through a Magnetic Field Point
C. A. Ordonez, Charged Particle Transport Through a Periodic Electrostatic Potential Having a Small Spatial Period, J. Appl. Phys. 104,	Cusp, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 332, 401 (2014).
054903 (2008).	ALPHA, <u>An experimental limit on the charge of antihydrogen,</u> Nature Comm, 5 , 3955 (2014).
C. A. Ordonez, Effect of a Periodic Electrostatic Potential on Magnetized Particle Transport, Phys. Plasmas 15, 114507 (2008).	M. Baquero-Ruiz, A. E. Charman, J. Fajans, A. Little , A. Povilus, F. Robicheaux, J.S. Wurtele and A. I. Zhmoginov, Measuring the electric charge of antihydrogen by
S. Jonsell, D.P. van der Werf, M. Charlton, and F. Robicheaux, Simulation of the formation of antihydrogen in a nested Penning trap:	stochastic acceleration, New J. Phys. 16 083013, (2014).
<u>effect of positron</u> , J. Phys. B 42 , 215002 (2009).	F. F. Aguirre and C. A. Ordonez, Giant Cross-Magnetic-Field Steps Due to Binary Collisions Between Pair Particles, Phys. Rev. E 91, 033103 (2015).
ALPHA, Magnetic Multiple Induced Zero-Rotation Frequency Bounce-Resonant Loss in a Penning-Malmberg Trap Used For Antihydrogen	F. F. Aguirre and C. A. Ordonez, Radial Expansion of a Low Energy Positron Beam Passing Through a Cold Electron Plasma within a Uniform Magnetic Field, Phys.
Trapping, Phys. Plasmas, 16 100702, 2009.	Procedia 66 , 52 (2015).
ALPHA, Antiproton, Positron, and Electron Imaging with a Microchannel Plate/Phosphor Detector, Rev. Sci. Inst., 80, 123701, (2009).	ALPHA, An improved limit on the charge of antihydrogen from stochastic acceleration, Nature, 529 , 373 (2016).
C. A. Ordonez, Charged Particle Reflection From an Artificially Structured Boundary That Produces a Spatially Periodic Magnetostatic	A.P. Povilus, N.D. DeTal, L.T. Evans, N. Evetts, J. Fajans, W.N. Hardy, E.D. Hunter, I. Martens, F. Robicheaux, S. Shanman, C. So, X. Wang, and J.S. Wurtele, Electron
<u>Field</u> , J. Appl. Phys. 106 , 024905 (2009).	Plasmas Cooled by Cyclotron-Cavity Resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 175001 (2016).
	R. A. Lane and C. A. Ordonez, Continement of Antiprotons in the Electrostatic Space Charge of Positrons in a Model of the Alpha Antihydrogen Trap, J. Phys. B 49,
	074008 (2016).

Antihydrogen Trapping

- Antihydrogen has a small magnetic moment. ۲
- Consequently, antihydrogen can be confined in a magnetic minimum.
 - Mirror coils can be used to create an axial minimum.
 - Multipole (quadrupole, octupole etc.) coils can be used to create a radial minimum.
- These magnetic fields impact plasma confinement.

Force on a magnet moment from a magnetic gradient:

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla (\mu \cdot \mathbf{B})$$





Magnetic Field Magnitude





Retaining the Plasmas

• Multipole azimuthal asymmetries violate O'Neil's plasma confinement theorem:

$$L \approx (B/2) \sum_{j} q_{j} r_{j}^{2} = \text{const.}$$

- Increasing the multipole order sharply decreases the field-plasma interaction.
- Decreasing the plasma radius diminishes the interaction between the multipole fields and the plasmas.



• The need for plasma stability drove the most fundamental aspects of the ALPHA trap.

T.M. O'Neil, <u>A Confinement Theorem for Nonneutral Plasmas</u>, Phys. Fluids 23, 2216 (1980).

E. Gilson and J. Fajans, <u>Quadrupole induced resonant particle transport in a pure-electron plasma</u>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **90**: 015001, 2003.

J. Fajans and A. Schmidt, Malmberg-Penning and Minimum-B Trap compatibility: the advantages of higher-order multipole traps, NIM A, 521: 318, 2004.

J. Fajans, W. Bertsche, K. Burke, S.F. Chapman, D.P van der Werf, Effects of extreme magnetic quadrupole fields on Penning Traps, and the consequences for antihydrogen trapping, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 155001, (2005).

K. Gomberoff, J. Fajans, J. Wurtele, A. Friedman, D.P. Grote, R.H. Cohen, and J.-L. Vay, <u>Simulations of plasma confinement in an antihydrogen trap</u>, *Phys. Plasmas*, **14** 102111, (2007).

J. Fajans, N. Madsen and F. Robicheaux, Critical loss radius in a Penning trap subject to multipole fields, Phys. Plasmas, 15 032108, (2008).

Multipole Fields



ALPHA 2008

Octupole Induced Antiproton Loss

Losses are observed with long, fat plasmas... but there are no loss with short, thin plasmas.



J. Fajans, W. Bertsche, K. Burke, S.F. Chapman, D.P van der Werf, Effects of extreme magnetic quadrupole fields on Penning Traps, and the consequences for antihydrogen trapping, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 155001, 2005.

ALPHA, <u>Antimatter plasmas in a multipole trap for Antihydrogen</u>, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **98**: 023402, 2007. ALPHA 2009

Antiproton Compression

- Some electrodes are azimuthally sectored.
- Applying rotating voltages to the sectors creates a rotating electric field.
- This field applies a torque to the plasma, changing the angular momentum $L \approx (B/2) \sum_j q_j r_j^2$, and compressing the plasma.



• This is a commonly used, but not entirely understood, technique for manipulating the radial profiles of nonneutral plasmas.



X.-P. Huang, F. Anderegg, E. M. Hollmann, C. F. Driscoll, and T. M. O'Neil, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 875 (1997).

R. G. Greaves and C. M. Surko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1883 (2000).

ALPHA, <u>Compression of antiproton clouds for antihydrogen trapping</u>, *Phys. Rev. Lett*, **100**:203401, **(**2008). ASACUSA <u>Radial compression of an antiproton cloud for production of intense antiproton beams</u>, Phys. Rev. Lett., **100** 203402 (2008).

Centrifugal Separation

•The electron/antiproton cloud rotates around the trap axis.



•The antiprotons, being heavier than the electrons, get pushed to the outside.





T. M. O'Neil, Phys. Flu. 24, 1447 1981.
D. J. Larson, J.C. Berqquist, J. J. Bollinger, W. M. Itano, and D. J Wineland, Phys. Rev Lett 57, 70 1986.
ALPHA, <u>Centrifugal separation and equilibration dynamics in an electron-antiproton plasma</u>, PRL 106, 145001 2011.

Antihydrogen Trap

 Our octupole is a state-of-the art superconducting magnet fabricated at the Brookhaven National Lab.





- The octupole produces a maximum field of ~1.54T.
- Unfortunately, the magnetic moment of (anti)hydrogen is weak.
 - The octupole creates a well depth of only about ~ 0.54 K, or 40μ eV.
- The natural energy scale of the mixing process is the plasma potential, which is on the order of a ~11000K, or 1eV.
 - We need to create antihydrogen at an energy of less than 40µeV for it to be trapped.
- Producing very cold antihydrogen requires very cold positrons.

Positron Temperature (K)	Antiproton Temperature (K)	Rate Antiatoms/Attempt Measured Predicted		
71	360	0.03		
40	200	0.12		
40	100	0.75		
15	†		2	
10	+		4	
4	†		11	

Lepton Cooling

- Positrons cool by cyclotron radiation.
 - At 1T, the predicted cooling time is 3.8s.



- The particles should cool to the temperature of the surrounding walls...but they don't.
 - Typical final temperature is ~100K, observed across many experiments.
- The mechanisms that arrest the cooling are not well understood.
 - Amplifier noise.
 - Higher temperature black body radiation "leaking" into the mixing region.
 - Asymmetry driven expansion.

Expansion Heating

- Nonneutral plasmas inevitably expand.
- As the plasmas expand, they do work on themselves, converting electrostatic energy to kinetic energy.
- Expansion leads to self-heating.
- The octupole drives expansion because it breaks the azimuthal symmetry.
 - Reducing the radius of the plasma reduces the effects of the octupole.







Positron Evaporative Cooling

• We need temperatures well below 100K.



Positron Evaporative Cooling

- We need temperatures well below 100K.
- By making the positron well very shallow on one side, we allow the hottest positrons to escape.
 - This cools the remaining positrons.
 - Typically, we can get to ~10K temperatures.
- This is a temporary effect; after evaporative cooling, the positrons quickly warm back up.
 - Consequently, we need to use the cold positrons quickly.
 - This sets constraints on our positron-antiproton mixing procedures.



Cavity Cooling

- Recently, we have been exploring cavity cooling in Berkeley.
- Noninteracting leptons are known to be undergo enhanced cooling in cavities.
 - Cooling of equilibrium plasmas had never been observed directly.



• Cooling is sometimes best near a cavity node.

A.P Povilus, N.D. DeTal, L.T. Evans, N. Evetts, J. Fajans, W.N. Hardy, E.D. Hunter, I. Martens, F. Robicheaux, S. Shanman, C. So, X. Wang and J.S. Wurtele, <u>Electron plasmas</u> cooled by cyclotron-cavity resonance, Phys. Rev Lett. **117**, 175001 (2016).

A. Povilus, Ph.D. thesis, Berkeley (2015).

L. Evans, Ph.D. thesis, Berkeley (2016).

E. Hunter, Ph.D. thesis in preparation, Berkeley.

Plasma Stability and Reproducibility

- It is possible for the plasma rotation to lock to a rotating wall drive.
 - This is called the Strong Drive Regime (SDR).





- Since the plasma rotation frequency is proportional to the plasma density *n*, this locks the density to the drive frequency in SDR.
- The plasma central potential is, in the zero temperature limit, given by:

$$\Phi = \frac{ner_p^2}{2\varepsilon_0} \left[1 + \ln \frac{R_W}{r_p} \right]$$

- Evaporative cooling (EVC) sets the central potential Φ .
- If SDR and EVC are applied simultaneously, there is only one plasma radius r_p , and one total charge N, that meets the n and Φ constraints.
 - Thus, the plasma parameters are locked.





Plasma Stability and Reproducibility

- Experimentally, it is not easy to attain and stay in the strong drive regime (SDR) and simultaneously apply evaporative cooling (EVC).
- We only learned how to do this this past summer, and the stability of our plasmas has greatly increased.
 - A short term stability scan is shown at right.
 - The long term stability is shown below.
 - The remaining long term drift is likely due to drifts in our magnetic fields.





• With SDR EVC stabilization, our trapping rate increased ten-fold.

ALPHA, <u>Combining the Strong Drive Regime with Evaporative Cooling to Control Plasma Parameters in the ALPHA Experiment</u>, APS DPP 2016. C. Carruth, Ph.D. thesis in preparation, Berkeley.

Mixing

- To overcome the plasma space charge issues, the positron and antiproton plasmas have • to be mixed together delicately.
 - Until late this year, we used autoresonant mixing.
 - Autoresonance is a very general phenomenon in driven nonlinear oscillators. ۲
 - Here, we used it to gently control the average energy of a the antiprotons by sweeping a drive frequency.
 - The antiproton plasma behaves like a coherent phase space object.
 - Autoresonance is robust against variations in the plasma parameters.
 - With our increased stability from SDREVC, we could investigate less robust mixing techniques.



J. Fajans, E. Gilson and L. Friedland, Autoresonant (nonstationary) excitation of the diocotron mode in non-neutral plasmas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82:4444, 1999. J. Fajans and L. Friedland, Autoresonant (non stationary) excitation of a pendulum, Plutinos, plasmas and other nonlinear oscillators, Am. J. Phys., 69:1096, 2001. W. Bertsche, ALPHA, Autoresonant excitation of antiproton plasmas, Phys. Rev. Lett., 106 025002 (2011).

I. Barth, L. Friedland, E. Sarid, and A. G. Shagalov, Autoresonant Transition in the Presence of Noise and Self-Fields, Phys. Rev. Lett., 103, 155001 (2009).

Mixing

- We have switched over to mixing by tipping.
 - Requires very stable plasmas.
 - Yields a higher trapping rate than autoresonance.
 - We don't yet understand the detailed plasma physics of this operation.



Antihydrogen Charge

- Normal matter atoms are known to be charge neutral to remarkable precision: on the order of $10^{-21}e$.
- CPT and quantum anomaly cancellation demand that antihydrogen be charge neutral to a similar level.
- How well is the charge of antihydrogen known?
 - Only prior limits on antihydrogen are at the 10⁻²e level.
 - Techniques used for normal matter atoms are inapplicable.
 - Using superposition:
 - Charge of the antiproton is known to $7 \times 10^{-10} e$.
 - Charge of the positron is known to $2.5 \times 10^{-8}e$.
 - This positron bound is substantially weaker than the antiproton bound.
 - Thus, prior experimental limit is about $2.5 \times 10^{-8}e$.
 - Does superposition apply?
- Thus, a search for the charge of antihydrogen is a novel and potentially interesting test of fundamental physics.

Bressi, G. et al. Testing the neutrality of matter by acoustic means in a spherical resonator. Phys. Rev. A 83, 052101 (2011).

Greenland, P. T. Antimatter, Contemporary Physics 38, 181 (1997).

Olive, K. A. et al. Review of particle physics. Chinese Phys. C 38, 090001 (2014).

Fee, M. S. *et al*. Measurement of the positronium $1^{3}S_{1}-2^{3}S_{1}$ interval by continuous-wave two-photon excitation. *Phys. Rev. A* **48**, 192 (1993).

Hori, M. et al. Two-photon laser spectroscopy of antiprotonic helium and the antiproton-to-electron mass ratio. Nature 475, 484 (2011).

Hughes, R. J. & Deutch, B. I. Electric charges of positrons and antiprotons. Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 578 (1992).

Antihydrogen Charge

- By searching for the deflection of antihydrogen atoms by an electric field, we found (2014) that the antihydrogen charge is (-1.3±1.1±0.4)×10⁻⁸e (one sigma).
 - The errors are from statistics and systematic effects.
 - Compatible with zero.
- This bound is marginally better than the bound inferred by superposition.



- Sample size was 241 antiatoms.
 - This was almost all the antiatoms we trapped in 2010 and 2011.
- With our improved plasma techniques, we trapped over 7000 antiatoms in 2016.

Antihydrogen Charge Bound Using Stochastic Acceleration

- Stochastic acceleration (Fermi acceleration) can eject putatively charged antiatoms from the trap.
 - Stochastic acceleration: the acceleration of a charged particle by randomly time-varying electric fields.
 - This is a "textbook" problem in nonlinear dynamics/plasma physics.



- This is a much more accurate technique than trying to deflect an antiatom with static fields.
- Using this technique in 2016, we were able to bound the change of antihydrogen to less than 0.7ppb.
 - Since the charge of the antiproton was is also known at this level, we were able to establish a new bound on the positron charge at the 1ppb level, an improvement in this measurement by a factor of 25.

M. Baquero-Ruiz, A. E. Charman, J. Fajans, A. Little , A. Povilus, F. Robicheaux, J.S. Wurtele and A. I. Zhmoginov, <u>Measuring the electric charge of antihydrogen by stochastic</u> <u>acceleration</u>, New J. Phys. **16** 083013, (2014).

ALPHA, An improved limit on the charge of antihydrogen from stochastic acceleration, Nature, **529**, 373 (2016).

M. Baquero-Ruiz, Studies on the neutrality of antihydrogen. Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 2013.

Conclusions

- Plasma physics funded by the NSF/DOE Plasma Partnership has been the key to the success of the ALPHA collaboration's effort to trap and study the properties of antihydrogen atoms.
- Physics measurements performed by ALPHA are already improving our knowledge of fundamental parameters, and will likely shortly be the best test of CPT.









NSF/DOE Supported Researchers who have contributed to antihydrogen research

Auburn		University of California, Berkeley	University of Califor	nia, Berkeley	University of Califo	ornia, San Diego	University of Nor	th Texas
Under	graduate Students	Undergraduate Students	Post-doc	S	Undergrad	duate Students	Undergra	aduate Students
	Christine L. Taylor	Andrew Christensen		Andrey Zhmoginov	_	Kevin Rigg		Alex Treacher
	Jennifer Hurt	Carlos Sierra	Visitors			Robert Lynch		Cristina Castillo
	Jingjing Zhang	Caroline Wurden		A. Deutsch		Salee Klein		Cynthia Correa
	Kelsie Niffenegger	Cheyenne Nelson		Daniel de Miranda Silveira	Graduate	Students		Erin Thornton
	Patrick H. Donnan	Crystal Bray		Dirk van der Werf		Anne Cass		Javier Rocha
	Patrick T. Carpenter	Fumika Isona		Don Prosnitz		David A. Schecter		Joshua Wofford
Gradua	ate Students	Helia Kamal		Jeff Hangst		Eric Bass	Eric Bass Marisol Hermosi	
	Turker Topcu	Johnny Martinez		Katya Gomberoff		Eric Hollmon		Ryan Lane
Purdue		Keiran Murphy		Lazar Friedland		Jason M. Kriesel		
Faculty		Matt Turner		Niels Madsen		Jonathan Yu	Graduate	e Students
	James D. Hanson	Mike Zhong		Perter Haugen		Noah C. Hurst		Aimee Ayton
	Francis Robicheaux	Nate Belmore		Pierre Michel		Robert B Lynch		Allen Kiester
		Nicole Lewis		Xaiolan Liu		Stanislav Kuzmin		Franz Aguirre
		Robert Shalloo	Researchers	5		Steven Gilbert		Jose Correa
		Ryan McPeters	ŀ	Arielle Little		Terry Hilsabeck		Jose Pacheco
		Sabrina Shanman	I	Fim Tharp		Toby Weber		Ryan Hedlof
		Stefania Balasiu	Instructors		Post-docs			Ryan Phillips
		Graduate Students	ŀ	Andrew Charman		James P. Sullivan	Faculty	
		Alexander Povilus	1	Matthias Reinsch		Kevin Fine		Carlos Ordonez
		Celeste Carruth	Faculty			Pei Huang		
		Chukman So	J	loel Fajans		Pit Schmidt		
		David Gee	J	lonathan Wurtele	Researche	ers		
		Eric Hunter				Andre Kabantsev		
		Jim Keller				Francois Anderegg		
		Lenny Evans				James Danielson		
		Marcelo Baquero-Ru	ıiz			Rodrick G. Greaves		
		Steve Chapman			Faculty			
		William Bertsche				C. Fred Driscoll		
						Cliff Surko		
						Dan Dubin		
						Tom O'Neil		

In addition, groups at Aarhus, Calgary, Caltech, Columbia, Beloit, Brigham Young, First Point Scientific, Harvard, Hiroshima, Lawrence, Marquette, Manchester, NIST, Princeton, RIKEN, Rio de Janeiro, Simon Fraser, Swansea, Tokyo, and elsewhere have made significant contributions to the underlying nonneutral plasma physics.