The Fastest Path to Commercial Fusion Power

Rob Goldston FESAC, March 10, 2010



FNSF+ Could be the Pilot Plant for Commercial Fusion





Shippingport, 60 MWe, 1957

Oyster Creek, 620 MWe, 1969

- The smallest facility to *pilot* the route to commercial fusion

 by doing fusion nuclear science, demonstrating practical
 operation, and making net electricity, based on
 - ITER + magnetic fusion R&D,
 - or NIF + inertial fusion R&D.
- Results from NIF + ITER well underway could trigger a common preparatory R&D program – if (and only if) we have a compelling roadmap to commercial fusion power.

A FNSF / Pilot Plant with P_{fus} = 30% of a Power Plant would Make Net Electricity

- Reduce linear dimensions to 2/3 power plant
- For fixed β , B, and T, P_{fus} down by (2/3)³ = 30%
- Assume same absolute recirculating power. (!)
- For P_{fus} = 30%, Q_{eng} ≡ P_{gross}/P_{recirc} > 1 ⇔ Q_{eng} of power plant > 3.33
- Pilot plant can do FNSF mission: Neutron flux ~ 2/3 power plant, surface area = 4/9, can adjust ⁶Li enrichment.

Obviously there are other factors (*e.g.,* neutron m.f.p.). On the other hand P_{recirc} = constant is conservative. Initial looks at Tokamak, Stellarator, ST support 2/3 reduction

Much More Analysis is Required

- What would such an FNSF / Pilot Plant look like?
 - Advanced Tokamak (S/C for Q_{eng} > 1 & realistic maintenance)
 - Stellarator (Lowest recirculating power, no disruptions)
 - Spherical Torus (Cheapest, most readily maintained configuration)
 - Are there ICC game changers?

Any design must "pilot" the power plant maintenance approach.

- What near-term program of modeling, test stands, toroidal facilities is necessary to support such an FNSF / Pilot Plant?
 - Plasma performance
 - Integrated plasma material interface
 - Neutron interactive materials
- There is much synergy between needed MFE & IFE R&D
 - An IFE pilot plant should be studied as well