104TH CONGRESS 1st Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Report 104-293

# MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR ENERGY AND WATER DE-VELOPMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 1996, AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

OCTOBER 26, 1995.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. MYERS of Indiana, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

## CONFERENCE REPORT

### [To accompany H.R. 1905]

The Committee of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1905) "making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendments numbered 6, 18, 20, 23, 24, 26, 32, 36, 44, 45, 46, 47, 57, and 58.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 7, 13, 14, 25, 33, 38, 39, 40, 43, and 54; and agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 1:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 1, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$121,767,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 2:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 2, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert:

Norco Bluffs, California, \$375,000;

Ohio River Greenway, Indiana, \$500,000;

Kentucky Lock and Dam, Kentucky, \$2,000,000;

★20-574

Mussers Dam, Middle Creek, Snyder County, Pennsylvania, \$300,000; and

West Virginia Port Development, West Virginia, \$300,000: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to undertake a study of water supply and associated needs in the vicinity of Hazard, Ken-tucky, using \$500,000 of the funds appropriated under this heading in Public Law 103–316 for Hazard, Kentucky.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 3:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 3, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$804,573,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 4:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 4, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert:

Homer Spit, Alaska, repair and extend project, \$3,800,000; McClellan-Kerr Arkansas River Navigation System, Arkan-

sas, \$6,000,000: Provided, That \$4,900,000 of such amount shall be used for activities relating to Montgomery Point Lock and Dam, Arkansas;

Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas and Louisiana, \$6,600,000;

Sacramento River Flood Control Project (Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District), California, \$300,000;

San Timoteo Creek (Santa Ana River Mainstem), California, \$5,000,000;

Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, \$1,500,000;

Arkansas City flood control project, Kansas, \$700,000, except that for the purposes of the project, section 902 of Public Law 99–662 is waived; Winfield, Kansas, \$670,000;

Harlan (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$12,000,000;

Williamsburg (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$4,100,000;

Middlesboro (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), Kentucky, \$1,600,000;

Salyersville, Kentucky, \$500,000;

Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, \$13,348,000;

Ouachita River Levees, Louisiana, \$2,300,000;

Red River below Denison Dam Levee and Bank Stabiliza-tion, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Texas, \$2,500,000;

Roughans Point, Massachusetts, \$710,000;

Marshall, Minnesota, \$850,000;

Ste. Genevieve, Missouri, \$1,000,000;

Broad Top Region, Pennsylvania, \$4,100,000;

Glen Foerd, Pennsylvania, \$200,000;

South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Restoration, Pennsylvania, \$3,500,000;

Wallisville Lake, Texas, \$5,000,000;

Virginia Beach Erosion Control and Hurricane Protection, Virginia, \$1,100,000;

*Hatfield Bottom (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy River and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, \$200,000; and* 

Upper Mingo (Levisa and Tug Forks of the Big Sandy and Upper Cumberland River), West Virginia, River \$2,000,000: Provided, That the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, shall transfer \$1,120,000 of the Construction, General funds appropriated in this Act to the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of the Interior shall accept and expend such funds for performing operation and maintenance activities at the Columbia River Fishing Access Sites to be constructed by the Department of the Army at Cascade Locks, Oregon; Lone Pine, Oregon; Underwood, Washington; and the Bonneville Treaty Fishing Access Site, Washington: Provided further, That using funds appropriated in Public Law 103-316 for the Sacramento River Flood Control Project (Deficiency Correction), California, project and funds appropriated herein for the Sacramento Urban Area Levee Reconstruction, California, project, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to acquire all or part of the Little Holland tract, with any and all appurtenant water rights, for wetland and fish and wildlife activities pursuant to the authority of section 906 of Public Law 99-662 and conditioned on a determination made by the Secretary, pursuant to Section 906, that acquisition is in the Federal interest.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 5:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 5, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$1,703,697,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 8:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 8, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment insert: *\$151,500,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 9:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 9, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Restore the matter stricken by said amendment, amended as follows:

In lieu of the sum named in said amendment, insert: *\$62,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 10:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 10, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Retain the matter proposed by said amendment, and on page 7, line 18, of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1905, strike "the", and insert in lieu thereof, "any civil".

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 11:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 11, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

Delete the matter stricken by said amendment and insert the matter proposed by said amendment, amended as follows:

Strike subsection (d) and insert in lieu thereof the following: (d) If any of the four Corps of Engineers hopper dredges is removed from normal service for repair or rehabilitation and such repair prevents the dredge from accomplishing its volume of work regularly carried out in each of the past three years, the Secretary shall not significantly alter the operating schedules of the remaining Federal hopper dredges established in accordance with the requirements of subsection (a) above.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 12:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 12, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter inserted by said amendment, insert:

SEC. 103. With the exception of the use of funds to process any required Department of the Army permits, none of the funds appropriated herein or otherwise available to the Army Corps of Engineers may be used to assist, guide, coordinate, administer, prepare for occupancy of, or acquire furnishings for or in preparation of a movement to the Southeast Federal Center.

And, on page 9, line 12, of the House engrossed bill, H.R. 1905, strike "(b) PROJECT DEPTH.—" and all that follows through "harbor or refuge.", on page 10, line 2 and insert in lieu thereof the following:

(b) PROJECT DEPTH.—The project described in subsection (a) is modified to provide for an authorized depth of 12.5 feet.

(c) NAVIGATION CHANNEL (MODIFIED).—The reauthorized project navigation channel shall be defined by the following coordinates: 2911N-2239E, 3240N-2504E, 3964N-2874E, 4182N-2891E, 4469N-2808E, 4692N-2720E, 4879N-2615E, 4952N-2778E, 4438N-2980E, 4227N-3097E, 3720N-3068E, 3076N-2798E, 2996N-2706E, 2783N-2450E.

(d) HARBOR OF REFUGE.—The project described in subsection (a), including the breakwalls, pier and authorized depth of the project (as modified by subsection (b)), shall continue to be maintained as a harbor of refuge.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 15:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 15, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert:

SEC. 106. Using \$2,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized to undertake the Indianapolis, Indiana, project, authorized in section 5 of Public Law 74–738, as amended, and as modified to include certain riverfront alterations as described in the Central Indianapolis Waterfront Concept Master Plan, dated February, 1994, at a total cost of \$65,975,000 with an estimated first Federal cost of \$39,975,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of \$26,000,000.

## SEC. 107. SOUTH CENTRAL PENNSYLVANIA.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4845–4847) is amended—

(1) in the heading to subsection (c) by striking "WITH SARCD COUNCIL";

(2) in subsection (c) by inserting "with State, regional, and local officials, including, where applicable," after "consult";

(3) in subsection  $(\tilde{d})(2)(A)$  by inserting ", where applicable," after "Council";

(4) in subsection (g)(1) by striking "\$17,000,000" and inserting "\$50,000,000"; and

(5) in subsection (h)(2) by striking "Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Fulton, Huntingdon, and Somerset" and inserting "Armstrong, Bedford, Blair, Cambria, Clearfield, Fayette, Franklin, Fulton, Huntingdon, Indiana, Juniata, Mifflin, Somerset, Snyder, and Westmoreland".

(b) COST SHARING.—Section 313(d)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4846) is amended to read as follows:

*"(3) Cost sharing.—* 

"(A) IN GENERAL.—Total project costs under each local cooperation agreement entered into under this subsection shall be shared at 75 percent Federal and 25 percent non-Federal. The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for the reasonable costs of design work completed by such interest prior to entering into a local cooperation agreement with the Secretary for a project. The Federal share may be in the form of grants or reimbursements of project costs.

"(B) INTEREST.—In the event of delays in reimbursement of the non-Federal share of a project, the non-Federal interest shall receive credit for reasonable interest to provide the non-Federal share of a project's cost.

"(C) LANDS, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY CREDIT.— The non-Federal interest shall receive credit for lands, easements, rights-of-way, and relocations toward its share of project costs, including direct costs associated with obtaining permits necessary for the placement of such project on public owned or controlled lands, but not to exceed 25 percent of total project costs.

"(D) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE CREDIT.—Operation and maintenance costs for projects constructed with assistance provided under this section shall be 100 percent non-Federal.". SEC. 108. Using \$2,000,000 of the funds appropriated herein, the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is authorized and directed to proceed with engineering, design, and construction of projects to provide for flood control and improvements to rainfall drainage systems in Jefferson, Orleans, and St. Tammany Parishes, Louisiana, in accordance with the following reports of the New Orleans District Engineer: Jefferson and Orleans Parishes, Louisiana, Urban Flood Control and Water Quality Management, July 1992; Tangipahoa, Techefuncte and Tickfaw Rivers, Louisiana, June 1991; and Schneider Canal, Slidell, Louisiana, Hurricane Protection, May 1990. There is authorized to be appropriated \$25,000,000 for the initiation and partial accomplishment of projects described in these reports. The cost of any work performed by the non-Federal interests subsequent to the above cited reports, as determined by the Secretary of the Army to be a compatible and integral part of the projects, shall be credited toward the non-Federal share of the projects.

SEC. 109. (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the provisions of this section, the Secretary of the Army shall convey to the City of Prestonsburg, Kentucky, all right, title, and interest of the United States, in and to the land described in the Supplemental Agreement—Modification No. 2 to the Department of the Army lease #DACW69-1-76-0186, executed by and between the Department of the Army and the Commonwealth of Kentucky, together with any improvements thereon.

(b) CONDITIONS.—The conveyance authorized by this section is subject to the following conditions:

(1) The City shall ensure that the land conveyed by this section will be used for public use recreational purposes and to further the regional economic development.

(2) The Čity shall use all proceeds derived from the sale or lease of any mineral rights conveyed pursuant to this section for the development, operation, and maintenance of recreational facilities on the lands conveyed in accordance with this section.

(3) The City shall accept the property in its condition at the time of the conveyance. The Secretary shall not be required to make any improvements in the property's condition, and the City shall hold and save the United States free from any claims or damages arising from any activities on the conveyed land either on the date of the conveyance or any subsequent date.

(4) If the City uses the land conveyed under this section for any purpose other than those specified in this paragraph, the Secretary shall notify the City of such failure. If the City does not correct such nonconforming use during the 1-year period beginning on the date of such notification, the Secretary shall have a right of reverter to reclaim possession and title to the land conveyed under this section.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 16:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 16, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the section number named in said amendment, insert: *110*, and the Senate agree to the same. Amendment numbered 17:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 17, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$12,684,000*, and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 19:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 19, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$411,046,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 21:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 21, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$273,076,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 22:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 22, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert: *\$2,727,407,000, to remain available until expended*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 27:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 27, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$981,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 28:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 28, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken and inserted by said amendment insert: For nuclear waste disposal activities to carry out the purposes of Public Law 97–425, as amended, including the acquisition of real property or facility construction or expansion, \$151,600,000, to remain available until expended, to be derived from the Nuclear Waste Fund.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 29:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 29, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$3,460,314,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 30:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 30, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$5,557,532,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 31:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 31, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$1,373,212,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 34:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 34, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter inserted by said amendment insert: *: Provided, That of the amount herein appropriated, \$85,000,000 shall be available for obligation and expenditure only for an interim storage facility and only upon the enactment of specific statutory authority,* and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 35:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 35, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$366,697,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 37:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 37, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$244,391,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 41:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 41, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: *\$170,000,000*; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 42:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 42, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert:

## DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary to carry out the functions of the United States member of the Delaware River Basin Commission, as authorized by law (75 Stat. 716), \$343,000.

## CONTRIBUTION TO DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

For payment of the United States share of the current expenses of the Delaware River Basin Commission, as authorized by law (75 Stat. 706, 707), \$428,000.

And the Senate agree to the same. Amendment numbered 48:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 48, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment insert:

#### SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

#### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

For expenses necessary to carry out the functions of the United States member of the Susquehanna River Basin Commission as au-thorized by law (84 Stat. 1541), \$318,000.

### CONTRIBUTION TO SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

For payment of the United States share of the current expenses of the Susquehanna River Basin Commission, as authorized by law (84 Stat. 1530, 1531), \$250,000.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 49:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 49, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert: \$109,169,000; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 50:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 50, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert:

The Tennessee Valley Authority shall, not later than March 30, 1996, submit to Congress a preliminary plan for funding the environmental research center from sources other than direct appro-priations to the Tennessee Valley Authority after fiscal year 1996; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 51:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 51, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken by said amendment, insert: SEC. 501. Section 510 of Public Law 101–514, the Fiscal Year 1991 Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, is repealed.

SEC. 502. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law, the report referred to in Title 30 of Public Law 102-575 shall be submitted within five years from the date of enactment of that Act.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 52:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 52, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken by said amendment, insert:

SEC. 504. Section 4(a) of the Act entitled "An Act to provide for the restoration of the fish and wildlife in the Trinity River Basin, California, and for other purposes", approved October 24, 1984 (98 Stat. 2723), is amended—

(a) in paragraph (1), by striking "October 1, 1995" and in-serting in lieu thereof "October 1, 1996"; and (b) in paragraph (2), by striking "ten-year" and inserting in lieu thereof "eleven-year".

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 53:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 53, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter stricken by said amendment, insert:

SEC. 507. In order to ensure the timely implementation of the Colorado Ute Indian Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988, the Secretary of the Interior is directed to proceed without delay with construction of those facilities in conformance with the final Biological Opinion for the Animas-La Plata project, Colorado and New Mexico, dated October 25, 1991.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 55:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 55, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert:

SEC. 508. (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the Bonneville Power Administration.

(2) COUNCIL.—The term "Council" means the Northwest Power and Conservation Planning Council.

(3) EXCESS FEDERAL POWER.—The term "excess Federal power" means such electric power that has become surplus to the firm contractual obligations of the Administrator under section 5(f) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839c(f)) due to either-

(A) any reduction in the quantity of electric power that the Administrator is contractually required to supply under subsections (b) and (d) of section 5 of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839c), due to the election by customers of the Bonneville Power Administration to purchase electric power from other suppliers, as compared to the quantity of electric power that the Administrator was contractually required to supply as of January 1, 1995; or

(B) those operations of the Federal Columbia River Power System that are primarily for the benefit of fish and wildlife affected by the development, operation, or management of the System.

(b) SALE OF EXCESS FEDERAL POWER.—Notwithstanding section 2, subsections (a), (b), and (c) of section 3, and section 7 of Public Law 88-552 (16 U.S.C. 837a, 837b, and 837f), and section 9(c) of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839f(c)), the Administrator may, as permitted by otherwise applicable law, sell or otherwise dispose of excess Federal power-

(1) outside the Pacific Northwest on a firm basis for a contract term of not to exceed 7 years, if the excess Federal power is first offered for a reasonable period of time and under the same essential rate, terms and conditions to those Pacific Northwest public body, cooperative and investor-owned utilities and those direct service industrial customers identified in subsection (b) or (d)(1)(A) of section 5 of the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 839c); and,

(2) in any region without the prohibition on resale established by the second sentence of section 5(a) of the Act entitled "An Act to authorize the completion, maintenance, and operation of Bonneville project for navigation, and for other purposes", approved August 20, 1937 (commonly known as the "Bonneville Project Act of 1937") (16 U.S.C. 832d(a)).

(c) STUDY BY COUNCIL.—(1) Within 180 days of enactment of this Act, the Council shall review and report to Congress regarding the most appropriate governance structure to allow more effective regional control over efforts to conserve and enhance anadromous and resident fish and wildlife within the Federal Columbia River Power System.

(d) CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROCUREMENT.—The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, acting through the North Pacific Division of the Corps of Engineers, is authorized to place orders for goods and services related to facilities for electric power generation and fish and wildlife mitigation associated with the Federal Columbia River Power System with and through the Administrator using the authorities available to the Administrator.

(e) RESIDENTIAL EXCHANGE.—Notwithstanding the establishment, confirmation and approval of rates pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 839e, and notwithstanding the provisions of 16 U.S.C. 839c(c), the cost benefits of eligible utilities' total purchase and exchange sales under 16 U.S.C. 839c(c)(1) shall be \$145,000,000 for Fiscal Year 1997, and the net benefits paid to each eligible electric utility shall be \$145,000,000 multiplied by the percentage of the total of such net benefits paid by the Administrator to such utility for Fiscal Year 1995.

(f) PERSONNEL FLEXIBILITY.—The Administrator may offer employees voluntary separation incentives as deemed necessary which shall not exceed \$25,000. Recipients who accept employment with the United States within five years after separation shall repay the entire amount to the Bonneville Power Administration.

(g) SAVINGS.—Unless superseded by an Act of Congress, the authority provided by this section is expressly intended to extend beyond the fiscal year.

And the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 56:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 56, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed by said amendment, insert:

SEC. 509. Section 7 of the Magnetic Fusion Energy Engineering Act (42 U.S.C. 9396) is repealed.

And the Senate agree to the same. Amendment numbered 59: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 59, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows:

In lieu of the section number named in said amendment, insert: *510*; and the Senate agree to the same.

> JOHN T. MYERS, HAROLD ROGERS, JOE KNOLLENBERG, FRANK RIGGS, RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN, JIM BUNN, BOB LIVINGSTON, TOM BEVILL, VIC FAZIO, JIM CHAPMAN, Managers on the Part of the House.

PETE V. DOMENICI, MARK O. HATFIELD, THAD COCHRAN, SLADE GORTON, MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, ROBERT C. BYRD, FRITZ HOLLINGS, HARRY REID, BOB KERREY, PATTY MURRAY, Managers on the Part of the Senate.

## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 1905) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effects of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report.

The language and allocations set forth in House Report 104– 149 and Senate Report 104–120 should be complied with unless specifically addressed to the contrary in the conference report and statement of the managers. Report language included by the House which is not changed by the report of the Senate or the conference, and Senate report language which is not changed by the conference is approved by the committee of conference. The statement of the managers, while repeating some report language for emphasis, does not intend to negate the language referred to above unless expressly provided herein. In cases in which the House or Senate have directed the submission of a report, such report is to be submitted to both House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

## TITLE I

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—CIVIL

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs and activities of the Corps of Engineers. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

#### CORPS OF ENGINEERS-CIVIL

### GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

Amendment No. 1: Appropriates \$121,767,000 for General Investigations instead of \$129,906,000 as proposed by the House and \$126,323,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees are aware that there is existing authority for the Corps of Engineers to maintain the Dog River in Alabama from the Mobile Harbor Ship Channel to 2,600 feet west of the Alabama Highway 163 bridge. The river has severe siltation west of that point and is not navigable during low tide. From within available funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to use \$200,000 to initiate a reconnaissance study of that portion of the Dog River. The conference agreement includes \$150,000 for the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Palm Beach County, Florida, project. Using these funds, the Corps of Engineers is directed to perform a reevaluation study of the authorized navigation improvements along the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway in Palm Beach County.

The conference agreement includes \$6,205,000 for the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway study, the same as the budget request. The purpose of this study is to address the need for navigation capacity expansion on the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway. The conferees believe that the environmental component of the study should be limited to any impacts associated with expanding the capacity of the two systems. Therefore, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to not expand the scope of the study such that its total cost exceeds that presented in the current Project Management Plan. In addition, because of the need for a timely review of future navigation needs on the upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway, the conferees direct the Corps to expedite work on the study and ensure that the Division Engineer's public notice on the feasibility report is issued no later than December of 1999.

The Secretary of the Army is directed to initiate a general reevaluation report for the Truckee Meadows Flood Control project, Nevada, authorized in the Water Resources Development Act of 1988. Of the \$400,000 provided in the conference agreement for the Lower Truckee River, Nevada, project, \$50,000 is appropriated for this investigation. The report will consider additional flood protection at and below Reno, Nevada, through levee/channel improvements, local impoundments, and potential reoperation of existing reservoirs in the watershed. The report will also consider the potential for environmental restoration along the Truckee River and tributaries in the Reno-Sparks area.

The conference agreement includes \$600,000 for the Corps of Engineers, in cooperation with the Bureau of Reclamation, to continue the feasibility study for lake stabilization in the Devils Lake Basin of North Dakota as described in Public Law 102–377. The conferees expect the Corps of Engineers to expedite planning for emergency mitigation measures including emergency outlet options to the Sheyenne River, upper basin storage, and enhanced diking. The Corps of Engineers shall make its recommendations to the Congress for upper basin storage and enhanced diking by March 1, 1996, and shall report on the status of the lake stabilization study by September 30, 1996.

The conference agreement includes \$559,000 for the Army Corps of Engineers to continue preconstruction engineering and design for the Noyo Harbor Breakwater, California, project. The conferees are aware of a proposal to utilize prefabricated steel structures in lieu of a stone breakwater, at considerably less cost than the \$22,900,000 now projected. Furthermore, the structures can be fitted to generate electricity. The potential for reduced construction costs, together with the ancillary benefit of wave power generation, would facilitate local cost sharing. The conferees, therefore, direct that the funds be utilized for efforts to validate the viability of using these structures to serve as breakwaters, including modeling. The conference agreement includes the following amounts for Coordination Studies With Other Agencies: Cooperation With Other Agencies, \$480,000; Section 22 Planning Assistance to States, \$2,000,000; Special Investigations, \$3,400,000; Gulf of Mexico Program, \$300,000; Interagency Water Resources Development, \$1,000,000; National Estuary Program, \$180,000; North American Waterfowl Management Plan, \$180,000; and \$380,000 for the Pacific Northwest Forest Case Study as described in the Senate Report.

Within the funds available for the Flood Plain Management Services Program, the conferees have provided \$100,000 for a study along the Jacks Defeat Creek watershed in Monroe County, Indiana.

The conference agreement includes \$30,432,000 for Corps of Engineers research and development activities. Included in this total is \$23,732,000 for the Corps' base research and development program; \$1,900,000 for evaluation of environmental investments; \$2,000,000 for earthquake engineering; \$1,000,000 for zebra mussel control; \$1,500,000 for the characterization and restoration of wetlands; and \$300,000 for the continuation of the Construction Technology Transfer Project between the Corps of Engineers' research institutions and Indiana State University.

Amendment No. 2: The conference agreement includes language providing \$375,000 for the Norco Bluffs, California, project, as provided for in the House and Senate bills; restores House language stricken by the Senate for the Ohio River Greenway, Indiana, project amended to provide \$500,000 instead of \$1,000,000 as proposed by the House; includes language proposed by the Senate for the Kentucky Lock and Dam, Kentucky, project amended to provide \$2,000,000 instead of \$2,500,000 as proposed by the Senate; restores House language stricken by the Senate providing \$300,000 for the Mussers Dam, Pennsylvania, project; and includes language proposed by the Senate providing \$300,000 for the West Virginia Port Development, West Virginia, project. The conference agreement also deletes language contained in the House and Senate bills providing funds for the Indianapolis Central Waterfront, Indiana, project. Funding for this project has been included under Construction, General.

The conference agreement also includes language for a watershed study in the vicinity of Hazard, Kentucky, using previously appropriated funds. The Corps of Engineers is directed to prepare a reconnaissance level study addressing flood control, water supply and water quality needs as well as opportunities for environmental restoration in the Upper Kentucky River basin. In particular, the Corps is directed to evaluate the potential to reallocate excess storage in existing Corps lakes and alternatives thereto, for the purpose of providing additional water supply capability to meet expanding regional needs.

#### CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

Amendment No. 3: Appropriates \$804,573,000 for Construction, General instead of \$807,846,000 as proposed by the House and \$778,456,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees understand that the Acting Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works determined on September 1, 1995, that the Army Corps of Engineers will cost share the project for design deficiency correction of the Klamath-Glen Levee in Del Norte County, California, under the same financial terms as the original construction. This is in accordance with the technical conclusions of the Initial Appraisal Report of the San Francisco District Engineer, entitled "Terwer Creek Erosion, Klamath-Glen Levee, Klamath River, Del Norte County, California", March 1994. In view of this determination, and so that the necessary repairs can begin as quickly as possible, the Secretary of the Army is directed to utilize funds appropriated in this or prior appropriations Acts for the project.

The Corps of Engineers may allocate up to \$150,000 of the funds provided for the Central and Southern Florida Project Review Study or from other sources, for the purpose of initiating a study to determine whether the construction of a wastewater reuse facility in Dade County, Florida, should be incorporated within the overall project authorization upon receipt of necessary approval. Such reuse facility would be intended to increase the supply of surface water to the Everglades system and Everglades National Park, in turn benefiting recreation and enhancing fish and wildlife.

The conference agreement includes \$78,800,000 for the Columbia River Juvenile Fish Mitigation, Washington and Oregon, program as proposed by the Senate instead of \$68,800,000 as proposed by the House. Of the funds provided, \$1,000,000 is available for advanced planning and design for public and private facilities affected by the operation of the John Day project at minimum pool levels. The conferees share the concern of both the Senate and the House regarding the costs and justification for the John Day drawdown as an effective method for salmon recovery. To date, the conferees have not been provided with any scientific evidence supporting the drawdown; therefore, the Administration is directed to provide scientific justification of the project as an effective means of salmon recovery along with any further requests for funding. Considering the extraordinary cost of completing this project, if the Administration does not find significant benefits, the proposal should be abandoned altogether. The conferees also note that the mitigation nec-essary to lower John Day Reservoir to minimum operating pool will require specific authorization from Congress.

The conferees understand that rapid and substantial improvement in fish passage in the Federal Columbia River power system is a high priority. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to independently evaluate annually the performance of the Corps of Engineers in achieving improvements in fish passage and to provide these evaluations to the Committees on Appropriations. The conferees further direct the Corps and the Bonneville Power Administration, in consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service, to develop a set of recommendations for improving the system by which fish passage improvements are designed, tested and implemented at the Federal projects. These improvements should seek to shorten the time requirements, reduce the costs, and improve the biological success of fish passage projects. The Corps and BPA should submit these recommendations to the Committees on Appropriations within six months of enactment of this Act and should proceed to implement immediately reforms for which they have the authority.

The Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, is directed to design and construct a Regional Visitors Center in the vicinity of Shreveport, Louisiana, to provide information to the public on the Red River Basin, national and local water resources development of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Red River Waterway Project. The Regional Visitors Center is to be constructed using funds appropriated for construction of the Red River Waterway Project, and will be operated and maintained using funds appropriated for operation and maintenance of the waterway.

The conferees wish to emphasize their continued support for the Corps of Engineers Continuing Authorities Programs. These programs, which require only modest amounts of budgetary resources, have proven to be of great value and are particularly important to many small communities throughout the Nation. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to continue the planning, engineering, and design of projects under all of the continuing authorities programs whether or not they will be approved for construction by the end of fiscal year 1996, initiate new projects under normal procedures for the continuing authorities programs, and continue budgeting these programs in fiscal year 1997 and beyond.

For the Emergency Streambank and Erosion Control (Section 14) program, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to undertake the projects identified in the House Report. In addition, the conference agreement includes \$242,000 for the project to provide erosion protection for the Russell-Allison Levee along the Wabash River in Lawrence County, Illinois, and \$325,000 for repair of the Ohio River levee in Marietta, Ohio. For the Small Flood Control Projects (Section 205) program, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to undertake the projects identified in the House and Senate Reports. In addition, the conference agreement includes \$200,000 for the Corps of Engineers to initiate and complete a feasibility study to control flooding at the town of Sumava Resorts, Indiana, and \$65,000 for a feasibility study of the Bellepoint floodwall, Frankfort, Kentucky, project. For the Small Beach Erosion Control (Section 103) program, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to undertake the Aqua Hedionda Lagoon project in Carlsbad, California, as described in the House Report. For the Project Modifications for the Improvement of the Environment (Section 1135) program, the conference agreement includes funds for the projects identified in the House Report and also includes \$100,000 for the St. Paul Harbor, Alaska, project and \$370,000 for the Valdez Harbor, Alaska, project. For the Small Navigation Projects (Section 107) program, the conference agreement includes \$1,000,000 for the Ouizinkie Harbor, Alaska, project, \$500,000 for the Larsen Bay Harbor, Alaska, project, \$200,000 for the Williams-burg, Alaska project, and \$250,000 for the Tatitlik Harbor, Alaska, project.

Amendment No. 4: The conference agreement includes language in the bill for the following projects, which were funded at the same level in the House and Senate bills: Sacramento River Flood Control Project (Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District), California (\$300,000); Harlan, Kentucky (\$12,000,000); Williamsburg, Kentucky (\$4,100,000); Middlesboro, Kentucky (\$1,600,000); Salyersville, Kentucky (\$500,000); Glen Foerd, Pennsylvania (\$200,000); Wallisville, Texas (\$5,000,000); and Red River Emergency Bank Protection, Arkansas and Louisiana (\$6,600,000).

The conference agreement restores House language stricken by the Senate providing funds for the San Timoteo Creek feature of the Santa Ana River Mainstem, California, project (\$5,000,000), and the Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, project, (\$1,500,000).

and the Indiana Shoreline Erosion, Indiana, project (\$1,500,000). The conference agreement provides \$13,348,000 for the Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection), Louisiana, project instead of \$11,848,000 as proposed by the House and \$11,838,000 as proposed by the Senate; provides \$2,500,000 for the Red River below Denison Dam, Louisiana, Arkansas, and Texas, project instead of \$3,800,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate; and provides \$4,100,000 for the Broad Top Region, Pennsylvania, project as proposed by the House instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conference agreement includes language proposed by the

The conference agreement includes language proposed by the Senate which provides \$3,800,000 for repair and extension of the Homer Spit, Alaska, project; provides \$6,000,000 for the McClellan-Kerr Arkansas River Navigation System, Arkansas, project, of which \$4,900,000 is for the Montgomery Point Lock and Dam; provides \$700,000 for the Arkansas City, Kansas, project and waives section 902 of Public Law 99–662; provides \$670,000 for the Winfield, Kansas, project; provides \$2,300,000 for the Ouachita River Levees, Louisiana, project; provides \$710,000 for the Roughans Point, Massachusetts, project; provides \$850,000 for the Marshall, Minnesota, project; provides \$1,000,000 for the Ste. Genevieve, Missouri, project; provides \$1,000,000 for the Virginia Beach Erosion Control and Hurricane Protection, Virginia, project; provides \$200,000 for the Hatfield Bottom, West Virginia, project; provides \$2,000,000 for the Upper Mingo, West Virginia, project; and provides that \$1,120,000 shall be transferred to the Secretary of the Interior for performing operation and maintenance activities at the Columbia River Fishing Access Sites to be constructed in Oregon and Washington.

The conferees have also included language in the bill that directs the Secretary of the Army to acquire all or part of the Little Holland Tract in California for wetlands restoration and waterfowl and fishery habitat enhancement and/or mitigation purposes conditioned on a determination made by the Secretary that acquisition is in the Federal interest; and language that provides \$3,500,000 for the South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Restoration project.

The conferees are aware of the need for continued emergency construction on the Red River between Index, Arkansas, and Shreveport, Louisiana. However, due to bank caving problems that may be induced by the previously funded Sulfur Revetment now under construction, the conference agreement includes \$6,600,000 to initiate and complete design and construction of the Canale Revetment in lieu of the Dickson Revetment. The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army, acting through the Chief of Engineers, to extend the levee identified in Plan B of the approved draft specific project report for Williamsburg, Kentucky, dated April 1993, by approximately 2,000 feet upstream using funds provided for this project.

For the Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection) project, the conference agreement includes an additional \$4,000,000 to continue construction of parallel protection along the Orleans and London Avenue outfall canals, and an additional \$1,500,000 for the project to intercept and convey landside runoff from Jefferson Parish lakefront levees. The conferees agree that the landside runoff project is not a separable element of the Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection) project and direct that future budget requests for the Lake Pontchartrain and Vicinity (Hurricane Protection) project include funding for landside runoff.

The amount provided for the Red River below Denison Dam project includes \$500,000 to continue the Bowie County Levee, Texas, portion of the project. The conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to continue to prepare plans and specifications for restoration or replacement of the Bowie County Levee as authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1946 for incorporation into the Federal levee system to provide the same level of protection as the adjoining Miller County Levee in Arkansas under the terms and conditions of section 3 of the Flood Control Act of 1936, Public Law 74– 738.

The funds to be transferred to the Secretary of the Interior for Columbia River Fishing Access Sites provide for the capitalized operation and maintenance costs for phase I sites. In addition, the conference agreement includes \$600,000 for engineering and design of an additional six Bonneville pool sites planned under phase II.

On September 22, 1995, the Acting Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works advised the House and Senate Committees of a proposal to enter into a Section 215 agreement with the city of Arkansas City, Kansas, to provide for a credit toward the local contribution for certain work to be performed by the city in connection with the authorized Arkansas City flood control project. The conferees have no objection to that proposal and the Secretary may immediately execute the agreement with the understanding that the credit will not exceed the statutory limit of Section 215 of Public Law 90–483, as amended.

FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, ARKANSAS, IL-LINOIS, KENTUCKY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, MISSOURI, AND TEN-NESSEE

Due to the severe budgetary situation, the conference agreement includes; \$307,885,000 for the Flood Control, Mississippi River and Tributaries, project, which is the same as the amount provided by the House and the Senate and \$11,365,000 below the budget request. At the same time, the conferees recognize the importance of this project to the Nation. The conferees agree that the reductions made to the individual features within the Mississippi River and Tributaries project were made without prejudice and expect the Corps of Engineers to manage the project, including the reprogramming of funds where necessary, to derive the maximum benefit from the funds provided.

The conferees are aware that the Corps of Engineers no longer requires the use of lands in the Vidalia, Louisiana, area previously used for casting and storage of articulated concrete mats used for construction of the Mississippi River and Tributaries project. In the interest of public safety and environmental restoration, the conferees direct the Corps of Engineers to use up to \$900,000 of the funds available for the Mississippi River and Tributaries project to return lands to acceptable environmental condition now that the casting operations have ceased.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, GENERAL

Amendment No. 5: Appropriates \$1,703,697,000 for Operation and Maintenance, General instead of \$1,712,123,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,696,998,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees recognize that flooding in the wake of Typhoon Oscar, which resulted in a Presidential disaster declaration in Southcentral Alaska, devastated the harbor at Seward, Alaska, just as the winter season was approaching. The Corps of Engineers is, therefore, encouraged to expedite work using available funds, including such contractual economies of effort with the City of Seward and the State of Alaska as are necessary in the judgment of the District Engineer, to restore full use to the port and port facilities impacted by the flooding.

The conference agreement includes \$280,000 for the Pearl River, Mississippi and Louisiana, project, the same as the budget request. These funds are to be used to maintain the project in caretaker status and correct any safety problems, including lighting and boat trolley system improvements, at Pool's Bluff Sill and other lock locations.

Upon resolution of the status of the section 401 permit, the Corps of Engineers may use \$250,000 of available funds to resume design work on the proposed expansion of the Renard Isle confined disposal facility at Green Bay Harbor, Wisconsin.

Amendment No. 6: Provides \$5,926,000 for the Raystown Lake, Pennsylvania, project as proposed by the House instead of \$3,426,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 7: Inserts language proposed by the Senate which directs the Secretary of the Army to maintain a minimum conservation pool of 475.5 feet at the Wister Lake, Oklahoma, project.

#### REGULATORY PROGRAM

The conferees agree with the language contained in the House and Senate Reports for the Regulatory Program of the Corps of Engineers. In addition, the conferees understand that the Corps of Engineers has under review an application by the City of East Chicago, Indiana, for the construction of a breakwater in Lake Michigan. The conferees expect the Corps to work with the city toward an expeditious resolution to the permitting process.

#### GENERAL EXPENSES

Amendment No. 8: Appropriates \$151,500,000 for General Expenses instead of \$150,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$153,000,000 as proposed by the Senate and provides that the funds shall remain available until expended as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 9: Restores language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate limiting the funds available for general administration and related functions in the Office of the Chief of Engineers with an amendment providing that not to exceed \$62,000,000 shall be available for that purpose instead of \$60,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 10: Inserts language proposed by the Senate which provides that the plan for reducing the number of division offices which the Secretary of the Army is directed to develop and submit to the Congress shall be submitted to the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and amends language contained in the House and Senate bills which provides that the division office plan shall not change the function of any district office by adding the words "any civil" before "function". This amendment is necessary to clarify that it is not the intent of the conferees to prohibit the Corps of Engineers from making necessary adjustments in mission and function of districts handling military construction to accommodate the shrinking military workload.

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### CORPS OF ENGINEERS—CIVIL

Amendment No. 11: Deletes language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate which provides that the Corps of Engineers shall advertise for competitive bid at least 7,500,000 cubic yards of the hopper dredge volume accomplished with Governmentowned dredges in fiscal year 1992 and which further provides that none of the funds available to the Corps of Engineers may be used to undertake improvements or major repair of the hopper dredge McFARLAND and inserts similar language proposed by the Senate. The Senate language differs from the House language in that it permits the Corps of Engineers to expend funds to maintain the McFARLAND's current operational condition and in that it includes an additional subsection relating to the use of the four Corps of Engineers hopper dredges, which has been amended by the conference agreement to provide that if any of the Corps' hopper dredges is removed from normal service for repair or rehabilitation, the Secretary of the Army shall not significantly alter the operating schedules of the remaining dredges.

Amendment No. 12: Inserts language proposed by the Senate which provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act or otherwise available to the Corps of Engineers may be used for activities associated with moving the Corps' headquarters office to the Southeast Federal Center with an amendment which clarifies that this limitation on the use of funds does not apply to the use of funds required to process any Department of the Army permits, and makes technical corrections to Section 102, which modifies the authorization for the Manistique Harbor, Michigan, project. Amendment No. 13: Inserts language proposed by the Senate

Amendment No. 13: Inserts language proposed by the Senate which modifies the authorization for the Petersburg, West Virginia, project by increasing the total estimated cost to \$26,600,000, with an estimated first Federal cost of \$19,195,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of \$7,405,000.

Amendment No. 14: Inserts language proposed by the Senate which authorizes the Secretary of the Army to accept from a non-Federal sponsor additional lands, not to exceed 300 acres, at the Cooper Lake and Channels, Texas, project and further authorizes the Secretary, upon acceptance of those lands, to redesignate an amount of mitigation lands, not to exceed 300 acres, to recreation purposes. The amendment also provides that the lands accepted from the non-Federal sponsor shall provide habitat value at least equal to that provided by the lands redesignated to recreation purposes and that all costs of work to be undertaken pursuant to the amendment shall be borne by the donating sponsor.

Amendment No. 15: Deletes language proposed by the Senate which directs the Secretary of the Army to take such actions as are necessary to obtain and maintain an elevation of 977 feet above sea level at the Lake Traverse, South Dakota and Minnesota, project and inserts the new sections described below.

Section 106 authorizes the Secretary of the Army to undertake the Indianapolis, Indiana, project authorized by Section 5 of Public Law 74–738 as modified to include certain riverfront alterations as described in the Corps of Engineers Central Indianapolis Waterfront Concept Master Plan, dated February, 1994. Non-Federal funds expended on or after the date of the Corps of Engineers report on items and outlined for construction in the Corps' document shall be applied to the non-Federal cost-sharing requirements.

Section 107 modifies section 313 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992, the South Central Pennsylvania Environmental Restoration Infrastructure and Resource Protection Development Pilot Program. The modification includes changes to the consultation requirements to reflect a revised geographic scope, an increase in the authorized funding level, and several technical changes. The conferees have also included \$3,500,000 under the Construction, General account to accomplish high priority work under the section 313 authority.

Section 108 authorizes and directs the Secretary of the Army to proceed with engineering, design, and construction of projects to provide for flood control and improvements to rainfall drainage systems in Jefferson, Orleans, and St. Tammany Parishes in Louisiana. The conferees are aware of the disastrous floods due to torrential rainfalls that occurred in southeast Louisiana in May of 1995, which resulted in the loss of seven lives, inundation of over 35,000 homes, and estimated property and infrastructure losses exceeding \$3,000,000,000. This event produced the second highest number of flood insurance claims ever for a flood event. In addition, between 1978 and 1989, flood insurance claims for this area totaled \$227,000,000. Therefore, because of the urgent need to prevent such disasters from recurring, the conferees have directed the Secretary of the Army to proceed immediately with economically justified flood control improvements that have been identified in reports of the Corps of Engineers' New Orleans District Engineer. No further feasibility studies are required for the projects authorized in this section. The conferees intend that the cost-sharing requirements between the Federal and non-Federal interests be consistent with the provisions for flood control and hurricane protection projects, as appropriate, in the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, except that the non-Federal sponsor shall receive credit, as part of the non-Federal share of the cost of these projects, for any work accomplished subsequent to those reports as determined by the Secretary of the Army to be a compatible and integral part of the projects. The projects include, but are not limited to, pumping station and channel improvements in Jefferson and Orleans Parishes, channel improvements along Mile Creek in Covington, hurricane protection along the Lake Pontchartrain shoreline in Mandeville, and hurricane protection and improved drainage in the Schneider Canal area in Slidell. An amount of \$25,000,000 has been authorized for the Corps to proceed with work on these projects.

Section 109 directs the Secretary of the Army to convey land at the Dewey Lake, Kentucky, project to the City of Prestonsburg, Kentucky, for the development of public use recreational facilities and to further regional economic development.

Amendment No. 16: Inserts language proposed by the Senate which authorizes the Secretary of the Army to undertake the Coos Bay, Oregon, project in accordance with the Report of the Chief of Engineers, dated June 30, 1994, at a total cost of \$14,541,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$10,777,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$3,764,000, and changes the section number.

	ALLOWANCE	1	237, 000 166, 000			3,000,000 100 100 100 100 100 150,000
	CONFERENCE	238,000	2000 2000 70,000 70,000 175,000 175,000 175,000 175,000	200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,00000000	300,000 280,000 250,000 450,000	375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,000 375,0000 375,0000 375,000000000000000000000000000000000000
VIIONS	ESTIMATES PLANNING	8	237,000 186,000			3,000,000 60,000 410,000
ENERAL INVESTICU	BUDGET I	238,000	200,000 200,000 70,000 175,000 100,000 100,000	200,000 400,000 200,000 100,000 100,000	250,000	375,000 569,000 2569,000 2569,000 2569,000 2669,000 234,000 234,000
CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	PROJECT TITLE	ALABMAA RIVER BELOW CLAIBORNE LAD, AL	MILIA, M. MILIA, M. ANCHOR POINT HARBOR, A CHEM RIVER WITERSHED COLOLIALIS HARBOR, A. COLOLIALIS, ANDOR, A. KUSKORTIB RIVEA, AK HORTERN BARBOR, M. HORTERN BARBOR, M.	ANIZONA ALAND LWE, ANIZONA GILA RUER, ANIZONA GILA RUER & TRIBUTANIES, WAYA CHAZ RU DAVINGE ANEA GILA RUPER - TRIBUTANIES, WAYA CHAZ RU DAVINGE ANEA GILA RUPER - GULLESTE ON TO TUMA RIO DE PLOA. RIO SALUDO, SALT RUFER, AZ RIO SALUDO, SALT RUFER, AZ RIO SALUDO, SALT RUFER, AZ	ARCANSAS RIVER LEVEE PLUN BAYOU, AR ARCANSAS RIVER LEVEE PLUN BAYOU, AR ARCANSAS RIVER TUCKER CREEK, AR MAY BRANCH, PONT BAITY, AR MCXIMMEY BAYOU, AR 1 TX	AMERICAN RIVER WATERSHED, CA. CEVINAL BASIN GRAUMOWIER PROJECT, CAREFORDERT, CITY OF ARCUDIA WATER INFRASTRUCTURE RESTORATION, CA. CARESCENT CITY MABOR, CA. CRESCENT COMPACE,
	TYPE OF	(N)	2282222222	(RCP) (FDP) (FDP) (FDP) (FDP)	(FDP) (FDP)	LOSSE SUSSE

PROJECT	F PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	STIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOMANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	LLOWANCE PLANNING
(N)	MARTNA DET REV AND RALLONA CREEK CA			000 000	ļ
(FOP)	ACHE CREEK	200,000	}	200,000	1
			1	300,000	1
	TREAMS, SACRAMENTO RIVER FI	300,000	ł	300,000	1
	N CA STREAMS, MUNICAS & VIUNITI VANNEL VIII VANNEL VIII VANNEL VIUNA RIVER BASIN CA			000.001	
(L)	NAPA RIVER, CA.		787,000		787,000
	NAPA RIVER, SALT MARSH RESTORATION, CA		1	200,000	1
(N)	NEWFORT BAT MANBOR, CA	250,000		250,000	
(N)	NOVO RIVER AND HANBOR (BREAKWATER), CA.		659,000		559,000
(FC)	PAJARO RIVER AT WATSONVILLE, CA	•	500,000		500,000
(N)	PILLAR POINT HARBOR. CA.			225,000	
N)	PORT HUENENE, CA.	300,000	1	300,000	1
2	PORT OF LONG BEACH (DEEPENING), CA		750,000		760,000
(505)	RUDDIAN KIVER ENVIRONMENIAL RESIDEALION, CA				
	SACRAMENTO - SAN JOADULN DELTA, LITTLE HOLLAND TRACT.	290,000		290.000	
(FDP)	SACRAMENTO - SAN JOADUIN DELTA, PROSPECT ISLAND, CA		1	100,000	1
	SACRUMENTO - SAN JONGUIN DELTA, MESTERN DELTA TRACT.		ł	200,000	•
(1) (1)	SAN ANIUNIU CREEK, CA	300,000		300,000	
R	SAN FRANCISCO BAY BAR CHANNEL, CA.	240,000			
<b>છ</b> ે:	FRANCISCO COUNT	125,000	1	125,000	ļ
(N)	FRANCISCO HANDO	100,000	1	100,000	1
				200,000	
(FDP)	JOAQUEN RIVER BU	171,000	•	171,000	1
	SAN JOAGUIN RIVER BASIN, FIREBAUCH AND MEMOOTA, CA			150,000	
	SAN JUMUNIN MIYEN BASIN, AMMEAN KIYEN, GA	40,000	]	000,04	200,000
	SAN JONOUIN RIVER BASIN, STOCKTON METROPOLITAN AREA. C			400.000	
	<u>.</u>	;	!	200,000	
(EC)	SAN JUAN AND ALISU CREEKS, CA	1		150,000	
i 2 2	SAN PAFAEL CANAL, CA.		260.000	-	250.000
(FDP)	SAVIA BARBARA COUNTY STREAMS, CA.	81,000		81,000	
23	santa barbara marbor, ca. Santa momica breakmater ca		50,000		50,000
(FOP)	SEVEN OAKS AND PRADO DAMS WATER CONSERVATION, CA.	265,000		265,000	
ÊÊ	SP BAY, LEONAND RANCH (DISPOSAL), CA	150,000		150,000	
(FDP)	UPPER GUADALUPE RIVER, CA	265,000	-	285,000	1
	UPPER PENTIENCIA CREEK, CA			300,000	
(FDP)	WHITEWATER RIVER BASIN, CA.	370,000	-	370,000	

CORPS OF ENGINEERS - GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	BUDGET ESTIMATES CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	01Ma, CT 65,000 65,000	- BALTIMORE HAN CONN CANANELS, DE & MD (DEEP 57,000 57,000 57,000 57,000 57,000 57,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000 540,000	AMBIA 200,000 200,000	OASTAL WW. PALA BEACH COUNTY, FL. 107,000 107,000 107,000 107,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,000 112,	STUDY, A	M. OMMU. H1
	PROJECT TITLE	CONNECTICUT CENTRAL CONNECTICUT CONSTAL FLOODING, CT	CLO CAVAL - BALTIMORE HEA CON DELVENCE BAY CONSTLINE, DE A HEALOP DELVENCE CONST FROM CAVE HEALOP DELVENCE CONST FROM CAVE HEALOP	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WASHINGTON, DC & VICINITY	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WR. PALIS BEACH COUNTY, FL. BREVADO CUNITY, FL. CONST OF FLORIDA STUDY, FL. CONST OF FLORIDA STUDY, FL. CONST OF FLORIDA STUDY, FL. CONST OF FLORIDA STUDY, FL. DAVENDOR TANEON, FL. JACKBONVILLE MARDON, FL. JACKBONVILLE MARDON, FL. JACKBONVILLE MARDON, FL. JACKBONVILLE MARDON, FL. PAURA GTTY BEACHER, FL.	ATLANTA WATERBHED STUDY, GA	BARBERS POINT HARBOR MODIFICATION, OMMU, NI. KILIADI, SMALL BOAT HARBOR, KAUNI, HI
	TYPE OF	(FDP)	NSS)	(FC)		(S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S)	

BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	175,000 352,000 108,000 248,000 6,205,000 6,205,000	55,000 600,000 275,000 153,000	60,000	475,000 2005,000 110,000 111,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 870,000	270,000 1,487,000 270,000 100,000 300,000 2,600,000
TYPE OF PROJECT TITLE IN PPOJECT UTLE	ILLINDIS ALEXANDER AND PULASKI COUNTES, IL DES PAINES RIVER, IL DES PAINES RIVER, IL MITMOD MAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL MITMOD MAINAGE AND LEVEE DISTRICT, IL SUTTISLAND, IL UPPER MISSISSIPPI & ILLINDIS NAV STUDY, IL, IA, MN, NO MAUKEOAN MABOR, IL INDIANA	(FDP) INDIAMADOLIS, WHITE RIVER (NORTH) IN	(FDP) CORALVILLE LAKE, IA	FDP) GRAND (MEDSHO) RIVER, KS. RCP) MISOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, UNITS LIGE & R460-471, KS RCP) SALINA, KS. RCP) TOFEKA, KS. RCP) TUREF CREEK SASIN, KS & MO TUREF CREEK SSIN, KS & MO TUREFUL, KS. RCP) WINFIELD, KS. KENTUCKY	(H) GREEN RIVER LOCK AND DAM NO. 5 (H) MENTUCK LOCK AND DAM NO. 5 MEALPINE LOCKS AND DAM KY & IN MERDOPULITAN LOUKS AND DAM KY & IN FFCP METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, BEARGASS GREEK, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND GREK, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND GREK, KY METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND GREK, KY (FDP) METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND GREK KY (FDP) METROPOLITAN LOUISVILLE, POND FOND FOND FOND FOND FOND FOND FOND F

NLLOWANCE	1 100 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0000 000 000 0000	1,100,000 86,000	185,000 200,000	11
CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANN	60 000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 200,	265 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	100,000	150,000
STIMATES PLANNING	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	1, 100, 000 56, 000 11, 100, 000	185,000	
BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS PLANNI	100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,00000000	456 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	300,005	150,000
PROJECT TITLE	LOUISIANA ANITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES GOMITE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES GOMITE RIVER, LA. ENTER AND ROUGE PANISH, LA. ENTERSON PANISH, LA. UKE CAMLES SHIP COM. BY-PASS AND GEN ANCOONGE AREA RISSISSIPPI RIVER BULP COMMEL, LA. ENTERSISPIPI RIVER BULP COMMEL, LA. RISSISSIPPI RIVER COMMIL, LA. RISSISSIPPI RIVER COMMIL, LA.	MANTANO MANTANO ANACOSTIA RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC ANACOSTIA RIVER AD TRIBUTARIES, MD & DC ANACOSTIA RIVER FEDERAL WITERSHED INANCI ASSESS, MD & BALITIANE HAMON ANCHORAGES A CHANNELS, MD BALITIANE MACHANAES A CHANNELS, MD BALITIANE REFRONTIAN WATABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANE REFRONTIAN WATABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANE REFRONTIAN WATABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANE REFRONTIAN MALABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANE REFRONTIAN MALABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANE REFRONTIAN MALABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANE REFRONTIAN MALABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANE RAFTEN ANONALINA MALABLE MOCHANNELS, MD DALITIANER RAFTEN RESOLANCES STUDIA COREAN CITY, MD AND VARIABLE MOCHLINA, MD A VA DALING MATER MALE MALACATION, MD A VA DALING MATER MALE MOCHANIES MOL DALITIANER RAFTEN ANONALINA RESOLUTION, MD A VA DALITANER RAFTEN RESOLUTION RESOLUTION RESOLUTION RESOLUTION RESO	BLACKSTONE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION, MA & RI	MINNESOTA CROOKSTON, MN
TYPE OF PROJECT			(FDP) (N)	(FDP) (SPE)

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	INVESTIGATIONS PLANK	ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANN	ALLOWANCE PLANNING
	I dd I ssi ssi m				
(FDP)	HAHOOCK, HARRIBON AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MS	62,000		62,000	
(N)	LOWNDES COUNTY PORT BARGE FLEETING AREA, MS.	100,000		100,000	
	MISSOURI				
(FC)	BLUE RIVER BASIN, KANSAS CITY, MO.	ł	10,000	1	10,000
(FDP)	FABLUS RIVER DRAINAGE DISTRICT, MO	150.000		126,000	
(FOP)	SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, KANSAS CITY, NO.	144,000		144,000	1
	NEBNASKA				
(FOP)	ANTELOPE CREEK, LINCOLN, NE.	000,00		80,000	
<u>.</u>	WOOD RIVER, GRAND ISLAND, NE.	441,000	200,000	441,000	200,000
	NEVADA				ł
(FDP)	LONER TRUCKEE RIVER, MV		1	400,000	I
	NEW JERSEY				
	BARNEGAT INLET TO LITTLE EGG INLET, NJ	1		550.000	
9 9 9 9	BRIGANTIME INLET TO QREAT EQG MARBOR INLET, NJ.	115,000	1	115,000	1
<u>}</u>	LONER CAPE MAY MEADONS - CAPE MAY POINT NJ	350,000		350,000	
ີ ເ	LOWER SADDLE RIVER, BERGEN COUNTY, NJ		963,000		963,000
E	AND ADJACENT O	290,000	400.000	290,000	400,000
(ds)	Ξ.	52,000		52,000	
<u>.</u>	R BASIN, ONCEN	000,028	3,500,000	620,000	3.600,000
(204) (SPE)	SOUTH RIVER, RANITAN RIVER BASIN, NJ. STONY BROOK, PRINCETON TOWNSHIP, NJ.	250.000		300,000	
(SP)	TOWNSENDS INLET TO CAPE MAY INLET, NJ	80,000		80,000	
	NEW MEXICO				
E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ESPANDIA VALLEY, RIO GRANDE AND TRIBUTARIES, MM. Las Cruces, el paso and vicinity, Mm. 210 es comma, agiaulu dum to espandia, nm.	118,000	200,000	118,000	200,000
(FDP)	ROCKY ARROYO/DARK CANYON, PECOS RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,	45,000	*	45,000	

TYPE OF	OF PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES	ESTIMATES	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE	ALLOWANCE
	NEW YORK				
(N)	ARTHUR KILL CHANNEL - HORILAND HOOK MARINE TERMINAL. NY		400.000	1	800.000
(SP)	ATLANTIC COAST OF NEW YONK, NY	1.400,000		1,400.000	
Î	HUDSON RIVER HABITAT RESTONATION, NY	350,000	ļ	350,000	!
(ds)	JAMAICA BAY, MARINE PARK AND PLUND BEACH, NY	360,000	ł	350,000	ł
	LAND MUTICUN INVIDUN, MY	196,000		000.081	
Ì	MATTITUCK HAMBOR, NY	250.000			
(SP)	MONTAUK POINT NY	125,000	ŀ	125,000	1
£	NEW YORK HANBOR ANCHORAGE AREAS, NY	100,000		100,000	1
(dS)	NORTH SHORE OF LONG ISLAND, NY.	26,000	1	26,000	ļ
(SPE)	ONCHOAGA LAKE, NY	98,000	1	96,000	1
23	BEVIND DAT ANGAURALES, AT AND TA UTANGELS, AT 6 NU				
	REINVLUTS UNWITCH AND RENTING SIAIR DUAL VIANNEL, NI.				
				200,000	
(ds)		332,000	ļ	332,000	-
	NORTH CAROLINA				
(FC)	BRUNSWICK COUNTY BEACHES, NC.		500,000		500,000
(ds)	DARE COUNTY BEACHES, NC	280,000		290,000	
(N)	WILMINGTON HANBOR, CHANNEL WIDENING, NC.		570,000	1 1	670,000
	NORTH DAKOTA				
(SPE) (FDP)	DEVILS LAKE, ND. GRAND FORKS, ND.	126,000 225,000		600,000 225,000	
	CHIO				
(FC)	METROPOLITAN REGION OF CINCINNATI, DUCK CREEK, OH, KY.		300,000	•	300,000
	OKLAHOMA				
(FDP) (RCP)	CIMARRON RIVER AND TRIES, OK, NM, CO, & KS	350,000 100,000			

TYPE OF	OF PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET   INVESTICATIONS	ESTIMATES PLANNING	BUDGET ESTIMATES CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING INVESTIGATIONS PLANN	ALLOWANCE PLANNING	
	OREGON					
2	COLUMBIA RIVER NAVIGATION CHANNEL DEEPENING, OR & WA	000'006	ł	800,000	1	
	COLUMBIA SLOUGH, ON	58.000 28.000		56,000		
100	MIDDLE FORK WILLAWETTE FISHERY RESTORATION, OR	350,000		350,000		
	SOUTH SANTIAN FISHERY RESTORATION, OR.	36,000		35,000	1	
	WILLAWETTE RIVER MAIEMANU, UN & MA.	200,000 200,000		200.000		
Ì	HALLWELLE RAVER TEMPENALURE CONTROL, OK	]	<b>1, uuu, uuu</b>		<b>000,000,</b> (	
	A GURDALL AND ALL					
(FC)	CHARTLERS CREEK, PA		570,000	ļ	570,000	
	CONEMMUCH RIVER BASIN, PA.	200,000		20,00		
	WILTON PA	16,000		200 m		
i	MUSSERS DAM. MIDDLE CREEK, SWYDER CO. PA.		ļ		300,000	
(RCP)	YOUGHLOOMENY LAKE - SEC 216	250,000		200,000		
	PUERTO AICO					
<u>ଟ</u> ିଟି	ARECIGD RIVER, PR. RIO GAWADE DE LOIZA, PR.		281,000 453,000		281,000 453,000	
	SOUTH CAROLINA					
(2)		000 011				
22	GEORGETOWN PARBOR, SC. UREFENSING/RELERATING/	300.000		300,000		
(N) (LDP)	SANTEE, COOPER, CONDANEE NIVERS, SC	300,000		300,000		
	SOUTH DAKOTA					
(50)	BIG SIOUX RIVER, SIOUX FALLS, SO		390,000	-	390,000	
	JAMES RIVER ENVIRONMENTAL, SO	10,000	20,000	10,000	20,000	
	TENNESSEE					
(FOP)	BLACK FOX, MURFREE AND DAKLAND SPRINGS WETLANDS, TW METRO CENTER LEVEE, DAVIDSON CO, MASNVILLE, TM	400.000		400.000	200,000	
		•				

TEXAS ALPINE, TX	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	500,000 300,000 500,000 710,000 710,000 710,000 710,000 710,000 710,000 710,000	86, 900 100, 000 100, 000 100, 000 100, 000 111, 000 1000 1	500,000 300,000 750,000 750,000 1,100,000
ALPINE, TY. ALPINE, TY. BUFFALO, MOUSTON, TX. BUFFALO, BAYOU, HIJBUTARIS - ADDICKS & BANGER RESERVO CORRUS ALONG U.S NEXTCO BORDER, TX. CORPUS CARLEY MARRED, TX. CYPRESS VALLEY WARRED, TX. CYPRESS VALLEY WARRED, TX. CYPRESS VALLEY WARRED, TX. CARANGER, TX. CARANGER, TX. DALLOS TRANSAMITONAL WILDLIFF REVER, TX. CARANGER, TX. CARANGER, TX. MARSAMITONAL WARRED, TX. CARANGER, TX. MARSAMITONAL MARSAMITONAL WILDLIFF REVER TAMA AND TO BAYON ANY DATIONAL WARSAMITONAL TX. CARANGERS MALLEY WARRED, TX. MARSAMITONAL MARSAMITONAL MILLIFF REVER, TX. CARANGERS MALLEY MARSAMITONAL MILLIFF REVER, TX. CARANGERS MALLEY MARSAMITONAL MILLIFF REVERS. TX. CARANGERS MALLEY MARSAMITONAL MILLIFF REVERS. TX. CARANGERS MALLEY MARSAMITONAL TX. CARANGERS MALLEY MARSAMITONAL MILLIFF REVERS. TX. CARANGERS MALLEY MARSAMITONAL TX. CARANGERS MALLEY MARSAMITONAL TX. MARSAMITONAL TX. MARSAM		500,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,0000 100,00000000	300, 200 000, 20 000, 20 00, 20 00	50,000 30,000 750,000 750,000 750,000 1,00,000
ANYS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TXX BUFFALO BAYOU, A HUSUTANIES - ADDICKS & BANGER RESERVO COLONIAS ALONG U.S MEXICO BORDER, TX CORPUS CARIFIC MAREEL, TX CYPRESS VALLEY MAREMO, TX CYPRESS VALLEY MAREMO, TX CYPRESS VALLEY MAREMO, TX ALLAS TRODANY BYTERSION, TX, TX ALLAS TRODANY BYTERSION, TX, TX ALLAS TRODANY BYTERSION, TX, AA422 ALLAS TRODANY BYTERSION, TX, A4422 ALLAS TRODANY BYTERSION, TX, A4422 ALLAS TRODANY BYTERSION, TX, A4422 ALLAS ANY OR DEAL WILLEY MAREMO, TX ALLAS TRODANY BYTERSION, TX, A4422 ALLAS TRODANY ATTERSION, TX, A4422 ALLAS TRODANY ANY ANTION COMMELS, TX ALLAS TROPA & TILBS ALTANTER BANATER, TX HOUSTON - OLUSTON MAY ANTION COMMELS, TX HOUSTON - OLUSTON MARATION COMMELS, TX HOUSTON - OLUSTON ANY ANTION COMMELS, TX HECKIE BAYOU HOUSTON TX.		500,000 500,000 500,000 750,000 750,000 750,000 1,100,000 1,100,000	50,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,00000000	50,000 30,000 30,000 750,000 750,000 1,00,000
CUCIDIS ALONG IT ALLANTES - ADOLGAS BARGER RESERVO COLDAIS ALONG U.R MAKICO PODDER, TX COLDAIS CHERK, INITE ALLAS CONDER, TX COLDAIS CHERK, INITE ALLAS CONDER, TX COLDAIS CHERK, INITE ALLAS CONDER, TX COLLAS CONDENC REFERSION, TRUNT RIVER, TX COLLAS CONDENC REFERSION, TRUNT RIVER, TX CONDENC CONTO CONDENC CAREST BAY, TX CONDENC CONTO CONDENC CAREST BAY, TX CONDENC - CLASTON MALONA, TX AMAG. CONDENC - CLASTON MALONA, TRANTER, TX CONDENC - CLASTON MALONA, TX CLASTON - CLASTON		300,000 500,000 750,000 750,000 71,000,000 71,000,000	80, 20 90, 20 90	300,000 300,000 750,000 750,000 1,000,000
CONCISCA ALLEY AND US - MALLIO D'AURAL, TX CYPRESS CREEK, HOURTON, TX CYPRESS CREEK, HOURTON, TX CYPRESS CREEK, HOURTON, TX, HINNIYY RIVER, TX CALLUS FLOODINV EXTENSION, TX, HINNIYY RIVER, TX ALLUS FLOODINV EXTENSION, TX, HINNIYY RIVER, TX ALLUS FLOODINV EXTENSION, TX, HINNIYY RIVER, TX ALLUS FLOODINV EXTENSION, TX, HALZ ALLUS FLOODINV CONDUCT FLOODING ANY ALAZ- ALLUS FLOODING ATTIEN ANALTS, TX HOUSTON ALVERTON ANY TANTER ANALTS, TX HOUSTON ANY ATTIEN ANALTS, TX FLUINUETS, BANCON RIVER, BASIN, TX HOUSTON ANALT ATTIEN ANALTS, TX FLUINUETS, BANCON RIVER ANALTS, TX HOUSTON ANY ATTIEN ANALTS, TX FLUINUETS, BANCON RIVER ANALTS, TX HOUSTON ANY ATVER ANALTS, TX HOUSTON ANY ATVER ANALTS, TX HOUSTON ANY ATVER ANALTS, TX		300,000 500,000 750,000 750,000 750,000 7100,000 7100,000	100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,00 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,00000000	750,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000
CUPPUS CHER, HOLEY MARCH, TX. CUPPUS CHER, HOLEY MAREN, TX. CALUAS CHER, HATENED, TX. CALUAS CHER, HATENED, TX. CALUAS CHER, HATENED, TANITY RIVE, TX. CALUAS CHER, MARCHARICA, TX. CALUAS CHER, MARCHARICA, TX. CHER, TX. CHER, TX. COMMUNICATION CONTRACT CONNECT TX. CONTRACTOR CONTENT OF CONNECTS. CONTRACTOR CONNECTS. CONNECTS. CONTRACTOR CONNECTS. CONTRACTOR CONNECTS. CONNECTS. CONNECTS. CONTRACTOR CONNECTS. CONNECTS. CONTRACTOR CONNECTS. CONNECTS. CONTRACTOR C		750,000	80, 02 100, 05 100, 000, 05 100, 000, 00000000000000000000000000000	300,000 1,100,000 1,100,000
CUTTRESS VALLEY WATERSHED, TX. CUTTRESS VALLEY WATERSHED, TX. DIM-CAS FLOODEN CITERSIGN THAT TAY A TAY DIM-CAS FLOODEN CONSULATION TX (SECTION 26) DIM-CAS TAY OF OUT LABEL. TX AMA2. DIM-CAS TAY OF OUT LABE		750,000	80,000 100,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 111,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000 110,000	750,000 1,100,000
DALLAS FLOCOMAY ENTERSION, TAINITY RIVER, TX DALLAS FLOCOMAY ENTERSION, TAINITY RIVER, TX DIAM - ANALORD MALLER REVEAL TX DIAM - PORT OF COMMON RIVER, TX (SECTION 26) DIAM - CAN TO FOOT I COMMON TX CAREET RAY, TX DIAM - CAN TO FOOT I CAMBL, TX ANALORD - CAN TO FOOT I CAMBLER, TX ANALORD - CAN TO FOOT I CAMBLER, TX ANALORD - CAN TO FOOT I CANALICAN ANALORD - TX ANALORD - CAN TO FOOT I CANALICAN ANALORD - TX ANALORD - CAN TARKA ANALORD - TX ANALORD - TX ANALORD - TX ANALORD - CAN TARKA ANALORD - TX ANALORD - TX A		750,000 750,000 1,100,000	30, 000 100, 000 100, 000 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111	750,000 1,100,000 1,100,000
DIMM - ANNONA MATON WILDLIFF RFULGE TY DIMM - FORT OF COMPANY AND A TY (SECTION 218) DIMM - FORT OF COMPANY AND A TY (SECTION 218) DIMM - FORT OF COMPANY AND A TY (SECTION 218) DIMM - C MAT TO COMPT FAMORE, TY AND A TY DIMM - C MAT TO COMPT FAMORE, TY AND A TY DIMM - C MAT THE ANALY AND A TY DIMM - C MAT THE ANALY AND A TY FC MA ANYON A TY A THE ANALY AND A TY FC MA ANYON A TY A THE ANALY AND A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A TY A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A ANYON A TY DIMM - C MAT A TY A ANYON A TY A ANYON A TY A ANYON A TY A ANYON A ANYON A ANYON A TY A ANYON A TY A ANYON A ANYON A ANYON A TY A ANYON A ANY		750,000	300,000 400,000 1111111111111111111111111	750,000 1,100,000 1,100,000
DINN - HICH ISLAND TO BAJON AIYER, TX (SECTION 216). DINN - PART O'CONNENT TO CORAUS ANY TO CORAUS CARIFIL ANY TX. DINN-C. PART O'CONNENT TO CORAUS ANY ANA 2. DINN-C. PART O'CONNENT TX. DINN-C. PART O'CONNENT TX. DINN-C. PART O'CONNELL TX. PLAIN ISN. BAJON ALTINE ANALER, TX. PLAIN ISN. BAJON ALTINE ANALINE ANALER, TX. PLAIN ISN. BAJON ALTINE ANALER, TX. PLAIN ISN. BAJON ALTINE ANALINE AN		200,000 100,000 100,000	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1,100,000 100,000 100,000
GINW - PORT OCONNERT FOR CONTRACT ENTERT ENV. TX. GINW-C.C. EAV TO CONTENT TO CONTRACT AND		300,000	8 8 9 9 1 9 1 9 1 1 9 1 1 9 9 1 1 9 9 1 1 9 8 9 1 1 9 8 9 1 9 8 9 8	1 100,000 1 100,000 1 100,000 1 100,000
DIRF-CC BAY DO FORT LARGEL, TX AM442 DREFNS ARVUL HOURTON, TX. HOURTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX. HOURTON - GALVESTON CHANNELS, TX. HOURTON - GALVESTON NAVIGATION CHANNELS, TX. HOURTON - GALVESTON ANVIENTINA CHANNELS, TX. HOURTON - GALVESTON ANVIENTINA CHANNELS, TX. HOURTON - GALVESTON - GALVESTON CHANNELS, TX. HOURTON - GAL		1,100,000	84 85 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87 87	1,100,000 100,000 100,000
CHARGENE ANTON HOUSTON ANY TAX TON CHARGENE ANTON HOUSTON ANY TAXIED CHAMBELS. TX HOUSTON - OALVESTON MAY TAXIED CHAMBELS. TX HOUSTON - OALVESTON MAY TAXIED CHAMBELS. TX HOUSTON - OALVESTON MAY TAXIED CHARGENE TX PLAIN LEW - BAADDE RIVER BASIN, TX SOUTH MAIN CHAWBEL TX. HUPPER TRINITY RIVER ANSIN, TX.		1,100,000	1 1 000 000 000	100,001 100,001
HOUSICM - CALVERICM MAY CANTER, TX HECHES ANYER & THISE SALTMATER BANATER, TX FECAN BAYOU ADDROMMOD, TX FECAN BAYOU ADDROM ATVER BASIN, TX SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX UPPER TAINLY ATVER AASIN, TX UTAH		100,000	1000,091	80. 10 100
RECHARGES ANY REAL PARTIER EAVAILER, IX. FECAN BACTOR FIVER BASIN, TX. FLAINVIEW, BAACOR RIVER BASIN, TX. SOUTH MAIN CHANNEL, TX. UPPER TRINITY RIVER BASIN, TX.		non'nnt	160,000	
PLAIN BATOU RAYMANDI XX. PLAIN IS AAXOB RIVER AASIN TX. SOUTH MAIN CHAWMEL TX. UPPER TAINLY RIVER AASIN, TX.	000,000 100,000			
VALITYLER, BARGE AVER BASIN, TX	000. JOE			
UPPER TRUNITY RIVER AGIN. TX	304.000			
		000'008	304.000	111
	-			
BRAND AND VICINITY HIS	l	ł	450 000	!
UPPER JONDAN AIVER, UT.	ł	100,000		100,000
SOMALSI NIGRIV				
CROWN BAY CHANNEL, VI	150,000		150,000	i
VINGINIA				
ATMM BOTTOC AT COCAT BOTTOC VA	ł			1 000 000
PLAN DATABLE AL MARTINE ANALASE, ANALASE ALASE A				
EASTERN SHORE ACCOMACK AND NORTHANDTON COUNTIES. VA.	248.000		248.000	l
JOHN H KERR LAKE. VA & MC	360,000	1	250.000	ļ
NANSEMOND RIVER BASIN, SUFFOLK, VA.	370,000		370,000	1
SWOBRIDGE, VIRGINIA BEACH, VA.	1	470,000	ļ	470,000
NOTONINGKW				
CHIEF JOSEPH POOL RAISE, WA	400,000		400,000	ł
HOMMARD HANSON DAM (ADDITIONAL STORAGE). WA.	000.000		000.004	
MOUNT ST HELENS ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, WA.	15,000			
PUGEI SOUND CONFINED DISPOSAL SITES, WA	900 98°			

<i>6</i> 0
TION
TIGA
INVES
GENERAL
;
ENGINEERS
ő
CORPS

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATES INVESTIGATIONS PLANNING	ESTIMATES PLANNING	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE INVESTIGATIONS PLANN	ALLOWANCE
	WEST VIRGINIA				
(PDP)	CHEAT RIVER BASIN, WV	65,000 703,000		65,000 703,000	
ĒĒ	PONT WV	300,000	5,319,000	300,000	000,815,6
(FDP)	Š	100,18/2		100.000 100.000	
	TYGART RIVER BASIN (BANBOUR COUNTY), WV			300,000	
	EM I MOAN				
(FDP)	JACKSON HOLE RESTORATION, WY	270,000	ļ	270,000	•
	MISCEL LANEOUS				
	AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM SUPPORT	3,605,000	-	2.600.000	
	DATA CO	4,000,000		3.600.000	!
	COMPANYION STUDIES WITH UTER MENCIES.	14, 790,000		7.920,000	
	ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICE PARTNERSHIPS.	615,000			1
	FLOOD DAMAGE DATA.	600,000	I	ļ	1
	FLOUD PLAIM HOUVAGEMENT SERVICES	15,000,000		8,600,000	1
	WINDLANCE NEEDING ALL ALLAN FRANKAN (SEV. 401)				1
	INTERNATIONAL WATER STUDIES.	200,000		200,000	[]
	NATIONAL ABBESOMENT OF WATER SUPPLY DEMAND AND AVAILAB	3,000,000		1	ł
	NATIONAL DREDGING REEDS STUDY OF PORTS AND HARBORS			450,000	ł
		onn'non'y	1		•
	PRECIPITATION STUDIES (MATIONAL WEATENER SERVICE)	550,000		000,000	
		600,000	ļ	1	1
	remuie bending/geugnathic infumentium bibiem buppet Berearn ann agus coment	400,000 11,000	1	300,000	ł
	SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTERS			30,432,000	
	STREAM GAGING (U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY).	770.000		770.000	1
	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS	960,000	1	950,000	
		-25,968,000		-41,117,000	
	TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	117,273,000	38,352,000	79.673.000	42.094.000
	-				
	(BE) BEACH ENDSION CONTROL				

33

NEVIE COMPIE SPECI

## CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT		BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	ALABAMA		
(N)	BAYOU LA BATRE, AL	1,000,000	1,000,000
(N) (N)	BAYOU LA BATRE, AL. BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGGEE RIVERS, VICINITY OF JACKSO Tennessee - Tombiggee Waternay Willipe Mittgation, AL	500,000	500,000
()	ÁLASKA		
	· · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		2 800 000
(N) (N)	HOMER SPIT, REPAIR AND EXTENSION, AK	3,000,000	3,000,000
	ARIZONA		
(FC)	CLIFTON, AZ HOLBROOK, AZ NOGALES WASH, AZ RILLITO RIVER, AZ	900.000	900.000
(FC) (FC)	HOLBROOK, AZ	2,261,000	75,000
(FC)	RILLITO RIVER, AZ	4,894,000	4,894,000
	ARKANSAS		
(MP)	DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM POWERNOUSE, AR (MAJOR REHAB) MCCLELLAN - KERR AR RVR NAV SYSTEM, LOCKS AND DAMS, AR RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR	3,500,000	3,\$00,000 6,000,000
(N)	RED RIVER EMERGENCY BANK PROTECTION, AR	6,000,000	5,600,000
	CALIFORNIA		
(FC)	COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CREEKS, CA	12,000,000 8,100,000 11,387,000 100,000	12,000,000
(FC) (FC)	COYOTE AND BERRYESSA CHEERS, CA. LOS ANGELES COUNTY ORALINAGE AREA, CA. LOS ANGELES COUNTY ORALINAGE AREA, CA. LORING SACHAMENTO AND A RECENSTRUCTION, CA. LORING SACHAMENTO AND A RECENSTRUCTION, CA. MERCED LORINTY & TRAMES, CA. MERCED LORINTY & TRAMES, CA. MERCEN AND HARMOR CA.	8,100,000	8,100,000
(N)	LOS ANGELES HARSOR, CA	100,000	250,000 500,000
	LOWER SACRAMENTO AREA RECONSTRUCTION, CA.		500,000 6,000,000
(FC) (FC)	MARYSYILLE/TUBA CITT LEVER RECONSTRUCTION, CA	700,000	700 000
•	MID-VALLEY AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA		700.000 500.000 124.000
(N)	MORRO BAY HARBOR, CA	124,000	124,000
(N) (N)	RICHIOND HARBOR, CA.	3.295.000	3,296,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA	3,000,000	3,000,000
(N) (FC)	SACRAMENTO RIVER DEEPMATER SHIP CHANNEL, CA	100,000	100,000
((()))	SACRAMENTO RIVER FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, CA (DEF CONN).		300,000
(FC)	SACRAMENTO URBAN AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA	1,870,000	1,870,000
(N)	SAN DIEGO RIVER AND MISSION BAY, CA	800.000	1,900,000
(FC)	SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEN. CA.	70.249.000	70.249.000
(FC)	SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA.	300.000	2,300,000
(N)	SONOMA BAYLANDS WETLAND DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, CA	500,000	500,000
(8E) (FC)	SURPSIDE - SURBEL - REWORL BEACH, CA	7.000.000	7.000.000
(FC)	WILDCAT AND SAN PABLO CREEKS, CA	1,240,000	1,240,000
(E)	NORRO BAY HARBA CLEVE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. NORRO BAY HARBOR, CA. ALLAND HARBOR, CA. SACAMENTO RIVER BANK PROTECTION PROJECT, CA. SACAMENTO RIVER DEPENDENTER SHIP CHANNEL, CA. SACAMENTO RIVER PLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, CA. (DEF CORR). SACAMENTO RIVER PLOOD CONTROL PROJECT (GCID), CA. SACAMENTO RIVER PLOOD CONTROL PROJECT (GCID), CA. SACAMENTO RIVER MAD BISSION BAY, CA. SACAMENTO UNBAN AREA LEVEE RECONSTRUCTION, CA. SAN FRANCISCO BAY TO STOCKTON, CA. SANTA PAULA CREEK, CA. SONGMA BAYLANDS WETLAND DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, CA. SONGMA BAYLANDS WETLAND DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, CA. WILDCAT AND SAN PABLO CREEKS, CA. YOLO BASIN WETLANDS, SACRAMENTO RIVER, CA.	720,000	720,000
	COLORADO		
(FC)	ALAMOSA, CO	600,000	600,000
	FLORIDA		
	BROWARD COUNTY FL. CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL. FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL. JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAW POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R LEE COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT) MANATEE HARBOR, FL. MAATIN COUNTY, FL. MIAMI MARBOR CHANNEL, FL. PINELLAS COUNTY, FL.		450.000
(FC) (FC)	CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, FL	3,726,000	4,026,000
(N)	FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL.	2,590,000	2,590,000
(MP)	JIN WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM POWERHOUSE, FL & GA (MAJOR R	600,000	600,000 600,000
(BE)	LEE COUNTY, FL (REIMBURSEMENT)	600,000	500,000
(N) (BE)	MARTIN COUNTY, FL.	3,725,000 1,300,000 2,590,000 600,000 1,450,000 3,202,000 1,000,000	1,450,000
(N)	MIAMI HARBOR CHANNEL, FL.	1,000,000	1,000,000
(85)	PINELLAS COUNTY, FL.	4,400,000	
(8E)	SARASOTA COUNTY, FL	4,400,000	350,000
	GEORGIA		
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	1,400,000	1,400,000
(MP)	HARTWELL LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB) Richard B Russell Dan And Lake, Ga & SC Thurmond Lake Powerhouse, Ga & SC (Major Rehab)	4,400,000	4,400,000 2,200,000
(MP)	THURMOND LAKE POWERHOUSE, GA & SC (MAJOR REHAB)	2,200,000	2,200,000

### CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	ILLINOIS		
(FC) (N) (N) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	EAST ST LOUIS. IL FOUR LOCKS, ILLINGIS WATERWAY, IL (MAJOR REHAB). LOCK AND DAM 24. MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IL & MO (MAJOR REH LOVES PARK, IL. LOVES PARK, IL. MELVIN PRICE LOCK AND DAM, IL & MO. OLMSTED LOCKS AND DAM, IL & KY. REND LAKE, IL (DEF CORR). UPPER MISS RIVER SYSTEM ENV MGMT PROG. IL, IA, MO, MN.	3,700,000 3,254,000 2,000,000 750,000 2,400,000 32,100,000 32,100,000 39,455,000	3,700,000 3,254,000 2,000,000 7,50,000 2,400,000 32,100,000 32,100,000 19,455,000
	INDIANA		
(N) (FC) (FC)	BURNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN (MAJOR REHAB) Fort Wayne Metropolitan Area, IN. Indiana Horeline Erosion, IN. Indianapolis Central Waterfront, IN. Little Calumet River, IN. Chio River Flood Protection, IN.	4,000,000	4,000,000 4,000,000 1,500,000 2,000,000 5,000,000 1,000,000
	ICWA		
(N) (FC) (FC) (FC)	LOCK AND DAN 14, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, IA (MAJOR REHAB) MISSOURI RIVER FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION, IA, NE, K MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, IA, NE, KS & MO NUSCATINE ISLAND, IA. PERRY CREEK, IA. WEST DES MOINES, DES MOINES, IA.	700,000 5,700,000 125,000 220,000 188,000 4,040,000	700,000 5,700,000 125,000 220,000 168,000 4,040,000
	KANSAS		
	ARKANSAS CITY, KS		700,000 670,000
	KENTUCKY		
(MP) (FC) (FC)	BARKLEY DAW AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY DEWEY LAKE, KY (DAW SAFETY). FRANKFORT, SOUTH FRANKFORT, KY. MCALPINE LOCK AND DAW, KY, IN. SALYERSVILLE, KY.	1,600,000 1,400,000 2,623,000	1,600,000 1,400,000 2,623,000 3,487,000 500,000
			450 000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (FC) (N) (FC)	LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORM WATER DISCHARGE, LA	2,379,000 7,848,000 1,440,000 3,200,000 3,360,000 	530,000 2,379,000 13,348,000 1,440,000 3,200,000 3,350,000 2,500,000 2,500,000 16,673,000 2,000,000 1,000,000
	MARYLAND		
(E)	BALTIMORE HARBOR AND CHANNELS, MD CHESAPEAKE BAY OYSTER RECOVERY, MD MASSACHUSETTS		
(FC)	ROUGHANS PT, REVERE, MA	990,000	710.000 990.000
	CEDAR RIVER HARBOR, MI		82,000

# CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	MINNESOTA		
(FC)	CHASKA, NN	3,740,000	3,740,000 850,000
	MISSISSIPPI		
(N) (FC)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS	2,812,000 4,586,000	2,812,000 4,686,000
	MISSOURI	-	
(FC) (FC) (N)	BLUE RIVER CHANNEL, KANSAS CITY, MO Cape Girandeau - Jackson, Mo Miss River Bynn The Omio and Mo Rivers (Reg Works), Mo Ste Genevieve, MO	9,600,000 200,000 5,700,000	9,600,000 200,000 4,700,000 1,000,000
	NEBRASKA		
(FC)	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE & SD	20,000	20,000
	NEVADA		
(FC)	TROPICANA AND FLAMINGO WASHES, NV	4,000,000	4,000,000
	NEW JERSEY		
(FC) (N) (FC) (N) (BE)	HOLLY ANN'S BROCK AT HALEDON, PROSPECT PARK AND PATERS NEW YORK HARBOR & ADJACENT CHANNELS, PORT JERSEY CHANN RAMAPO RIVER AT GALLAND, NJ. SALEM RIVER, NJ. SANCY HOOK TO BARNEGAT INLET, NJ.	3,750,000 580,000 70,000 3,575,000 16,700,000	3,750,000 560,000 70,000 3,576,000 15,700,000
	NEW MEXICO		
(FC) (FC) (FC)	ABIQUIU DAMI EMERGENCY GATES, NM. ACEGUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEM, NM. ALAMOGORDO, NM.	1,200,000 120,000 100,000	1,200,000 1,500,000 100,000
	NEW YORK		
(BE) (BE) (N) (FC)	EAST ROCKAWAY INLET TO ROCKAWAY INLET AND JAMAICA BAY. FIRE ISLAND INLET TO MONTAUK POINT, NY. New York HARBOR COLLECTION AND REMOVAL OF DRIFT, NY &. NORTH HELEWVILLE, NY (DEF CORR)	6,100,000 10,400,000 100,000 4,015,000	6,100,000 10,400,000 100,000 4,015,000
	NORTH CAROLINA		
(N) (FC) (BE)	AIWW - REPLACEMENT OF FEDERAL HIGHWAY BRIDGES, NC CAROLINA BEACH AND VICINITY, NC FORT FISHER, NC	8,500,000 3,300,000 2,094,000	5,600,000 3,300,000 2,094,000
	NORTH DAKOTA		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	HOMME LAKE, NO (DAM SAFETY). LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, NO (DAM SAFETY) LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, NO (MAJOR REHAB) SHEYENNE RIVER, NO.	200,000 4,700,000 853,000 500,000	200,000 4,700,000 853,000 500,000
	OHIO		
(FC)	HOLES CREEK, WEST CARROLLTON, OH	2.800.000	190,000 2,800,000
	OKLAHONA		
(FC) (FC) (MP)	FRY CREEKS, BIXBY, OK MIMOD CREEK, TULSA, OK TEMKILLER FERRY LAKE, OK (DAM SAFETY)	1,700,000 4,400,000 530,000	1,700,000 4,400,000 530,000
	OREGON		
(MP) (MP)	BONNEVILLE POWERHOUSE PHASE I, OR & WA (MAJOR REHAB) Bonneville Powerhouse Phase II, or & WA (Major Rehab). Columbia River In-Lieu Indian Fibhing Sites, or & WA Elk Creek Lake, or	8,530,000 7,000,000	8,530,000 7,000,000 1,720,000
(FC)	ELK CREEK LAKE, OR.	500,000	500,000

# CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
	PENNSYLVANIA		
(FC)	BROAD TOP REGION PA. JOHNSTOWN, PA (MAJOR REHAB) GLEN GOED STUDIES N. VOLLAT DA	1,230,000	4,100,000 1,230,000 200,000
(FC) (FC) (N) (8E)	LACKAWANNA RIVER, SCRANTON, PA. LOCKS AND DAMS 2. 3 & 4. MONOWOAVELA RIVER, PA PRESOUE ISLE PENINSULA. PA (PERMANENT).	357.000 15,000,000 450,000	240,000 357,000 15,000,000 450,000
(FC) (FC)	SOUTH CENTRAL PENN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, PA Turtle Creek, PA. Wyoming Valley, PA (levee raising)	1,964,000 4,300,000	
	PUERTO RICO		
(FC) (FC) (FC)	PORTUGUES AND BUCANA RIVERS, PR RIO DE LA PLATA, PR RIO PUERTO NUEVO, PR	12,451,000 250,000 7,000,000	12,451,000 250,000 7,000,000
	SOUTH CAROLINA		
(BE)	MYRTLE BEACH, SC	17,000,000	17,000,000
	TENNESSEE		
(MP)	CENTER HILL DAM, TN (DAM SAFETY)	904,000	904.000
	TEXAS		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BEALS CREEK, BIG SPRING, TX. CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. EL PASO, TX. GORWA - SARCENT BEACH, TX. MCGRATH CREEK, WICHITA FALLS, TX. RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX. SAM ANTONIC SLANNEL IMPROVEMENT, TX. SIMS BAYOU, HOUSTON, TX. WACD LAKE TX (DAM SAFETY). WACD LAKE TX (DAM SAFETY). WACD LAKE, TX. WACD LAKE, TX. MACD LAKE,	1,916,000 3,100,000 400,000 20,000,000 110,000 3,500,000 9,474,000 7,097,000 12,000,000 300,000 	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 916,000\\ 3, 100,000\\ 400,000\\ 20,000,000\\ 110,000\\ 3,500,000\\ 9,474,000\\ 7,097,000\\ 12,000,000\\ 12,000,000\\ 5,000,000\\ \end{array}$
	VIRGINIA		
(FC) (N) (FC) (BE)	JAMES R OLIN FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, VA NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING) VA NORFOLK HARBOR AND CHANNELS (DEEPENING) VA VIGUNIA BEACH, VA. (REIMBURSEMENT) VIRGINIA BEACH, VA. (REIMBURSEMENT)	7,400,000 500,000 400,000 925,000	7,400,000 600,000 400,000 1,100,000 925,000
	WASHINGTON		
(FC) (MP) (FC) (MP)	WASHINGTON CMEHALIS RIVER, SOUTH ABERDEEN AND COSMOPOLIS, WA COLUMBIA RIVER JUVENILE FISH MITIGATION, WA, OR & ID HOWARD HANSON DAM, WA (DAM SAFETY) COMPENSATION, WA, OR LOWER SHAKE RIVER FISH & WILDLIFE COMPENSATION, WA, OR	1,377,000 78,800,000 1,587,000 8,000,000	1.377.000 78.800.000 1.587.000 8.000.000
	WEST VIRGINIA		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (N)	LEVISA AND TUG FORKS AND UPPER CUMBERLAND RIVER, WV, V MODREFIELD, WV PETERSBURG, WV ROBERT C BYRD LOCKS AND DAM, CHIO RIVER, WV & CH WINFIELD LOCKS AND DAM, WV	6,300,000 4,200,000 7,900,000 10,000,000 11,840,000	26,200,000 4,200,000 7,900,000 10,000,000 11,840,000

# CORPS OF ENGINEERS - CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	WISCONSIN		
	PORTAGE, WI		250,000
	MISCELLANEOUS		
-	AQUATIC PLANT CONTROL PROGRAM. BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 103). CLEARING AND SNAGDING (SECTION 203). DAM SAFETY ASSURANCE PROGRAM. EMERGENCY STREAMBANK & SHORELINE PROTECTION (SEC. 14). ENVIOVELS' COMPENSATION FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS (SECTION 205) INLANG WATERNAYS USERS BOARD - BOARD EXPENSES. INLANG WATERNAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSES. INLANG WATERNAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSES. INLANG WATERNAYS USERS BOARD - CORPS EXPENSES. NAVIGATION MITIGATION (SECTION 107) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE ENVIRONME WETLANG AND AQUATIC HABITAT CREATION. REDUCTION FOR ANTICIPATED SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE.	3,000,000 \$000,000 2,000,000 10,000,000 18,984,000 22,000,000 40,000 500,000 5,000,000 24,280,000 15,000,000 -33,401,000	4,000,000 1,500,000 2,000,000 7,000,000 18,984,000 17,000,000 18,984,000 600,000 18,000 600,000 0,500,000 -52,201,000
	TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION GENERAL	785,125,000	\$04,573,000
	TYPE OF PROJECT: (H) NAVIGATION (BE) BEACH EROSION CONTROL (FC) FLOOD CONTROL (HD) HH TIDIBERGE INCLIDING SOMER		

(MP) MULTIPURPOSE, INCLUDING POWER

# CORPS OF ENGINEERS - FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
	SURVEYS:		
	SURVETS: GENERAL STUDIES: MORGANZA, LA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO MISSISSIPPI DELTA, MS REELFOOT LAKE. TH COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA	500 000	500.000
(FDP) (FDP)	NISSISSIPPI DELTA. MS.	1,800,000	500,000 1,800,000 238,000
(FDP)	REELFOOT LAKE, TH.	238,000	238,000
	COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND DESIGN:	326,000	320,000
(FC) (FC)	MISSISSIPPI DELTA, MS. REELPOOT LAKE, TH. COLLECTION AND STUDY OF BASIC DATA PRECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING AND DESIGN: EASTERN ARGANASA REGION (COMPREMENSIVE STUDY), AR LOWER WHITE RIVER, BIG CREEK & TRIBUTARIES, AR LOUISIANA STATE PENITENTIARY LEVEE, LA SUBTOTAL OFMERAL INVESTIGATIONS.	2,200,000 - 200,000	2,200,000 200,000 100,000
	SUBTOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	5,263,000	5,363,000
	CONSTRUCTION		
(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, HS, MO & TN EIGHT HILE CREEK, AR. HISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, HS, MO & TN. ST FRANCIS BASIN, AR & MO. TENSAS BASIN, HCAN BACKMATER, LA. WHITEMAN'S CREEK, AR. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, LA.	63,090,000	61,000,000
(FC)	EIGHT MILE CREEK, AR.	580,000	680,000
(FC) (FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. ST FRANCIS BASIN AR & MO.	32,450,000	30,000,000
(FC) (FC)	TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKMATER, LA	11,294,000	10,000,000 11,294,000 860,000
(FC) (FC)	WHITEMAN'S CREEK, AR	5.300.000	5,300,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BABIN, LA.	27,000,000	27,000.000
(FC) (FC)	MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, 35 & LA	13,300,000	13,300,000
(FC)	HORN LAKE CREEK & TRIBUTARIES (INCL COW PEN CREEK), MS	148,000	148,000
(FC)	MITTEMAN'S CREEK AA. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODMAY SYSTEM, LA. ATCHAFALAYA BASIN, FLOODMAY SYSTEM, LA. MISSISSIPPI AND LOUISIANA ESTUARINE AREAS, MS & LA MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, LA. MORM LAKE CREEK & TRIBUTARIES (INCL COW PEN CREEK), MS BIG SUMPLOWER RIVER, MS.	8,920,000	8,920,000
(FC)	AZOO BASIN, MS: BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS. DEMONSTRATION FORSION CONTROL, MS. FEWL MITIGATION LANDS, MS.	22,000,000	22,000,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	FEW, WITIGATION LANDS, WS	25,000	25,000
(FC)	REFORMULATION UNIT, MS	2,810,000	2,610,000
(FC) (FC)	UPPER YAZOO PROJECTS, MS	11,200,000	11,200,000
(FC) (FC)	MAIN STEM, MS. MAIN STEM, MS. REFORMULATION UNIT, MS. TRIBUTARIES, MS. UPPER VAZOO PROJECTS, MS. NONCONNAN CREEK TRIBUTARIES, TN.	1,600,000 2,903,000	13,500,000 148,000 (47,92,000) 8,920,000 25,000,000 25,000 25,000 2,610,000 2,948,000 1,600,000 2,900,000
	SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION	217.940.000	213,400,000
	MAINTENANCE		
(FC)	CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR ILOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - SOUTH BANK, AR MISBISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TN. ST FRANCIS RIVER RASIN, AR & MO TENSAS BASIN, BOEUF AND TENSAS RIVERS, AR & LA	61,825.000 146,000 115,000 9,363,000 2,628,000 1,258,000 1,258,000 13,341,000	56,000,000
(FC)	LOWER ARKANSAS RIVER - NORTH BANK, AR	146,000	146,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	MISSISSIPPI RIVER LEVEES, AR, IL, KY, LA, MS, MO & TH.	5,630,000	5.630,000
(FC) (FC)	ST FRANCIS RIVER BASIN, AR & MO	9,363,000 2,628,000	5,630,000 9,363,000 2,628,000
(FC)	TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA.	1,258,000	1,258,000 206,000
(FC)	ATCHAFALAYA BASIN FLOODMAY SYSTEM, LA	206,000	206,000
(FC) (FC)	BATON ROUGE HARBOR - DEVIL SWAMP, LA.	13,341,000 180,000 87,000 875,000	150,000
(FC) (FC)	BAYOU COCODRIE AND TRIBUTARIES, LA	87,000	87,000 875,000
(FC)	LOWER RED RIVER - SOUTH BANK LEVEES, LA	77,000	77,000
(FC)	MISSISSIPPI DELTA REGION, CAERNARVON, LA	415,000	415,000
(FC) (FC)	OLD RIVER, LA. TENSAS BASIN, RED RIVER BACKWATER, LA.	2,740,000	2,740,000
(N) (N)		255,000	258,000
••••	VICKSBURG HARBOR, BS	(22,638,000)	(72,636,000)
(FC) (FC)	ARKABUTLA LAKE, MS.	3,600,000	3,500,000
(FC)	ENID LAKE, NS.	3, 500,000	3,500,000
(FC) (FC)	GREENHOOD, NS	860,000	860,000
(FC)	MAIN STEN, MS.	1,390,000	1,390,000
(FC) (FC)	SARDIS LAKE, MS	4,200,000	4,200,000
(FC)	WILL M WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS	474,000 529,000	177,000 415,000 4,221,000 2,740,000 2254,000 223,000 3,500,000 4,329,000 4,329,000 4,329,000 1,380,000 4,329,000 1,350,000 4,74,000 529,000
(FC) (FC)	YAZOO CITY, MS.	529,000 709,000	529,000 709,000
(FC)	WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO.	529,000 709,000 3,601,000 1,415,000 1,368,000 1,008,000	3,601,000
(N) (FC)	INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS.	1,368.000	1,415,000 1,368,000 3,008,000
(FC)	VACOD BASIN HES. ARKABUTAL UNCE, MS. BIG SUNFLOWER RIVER, MS. ENTD LAKE, NS. GREENADA LAKE, NS. GREENADA LAKE, MS. SANDIS LAKE, MS. TRIBUTARIES, MS. MILH STER, MS. MILL W WHITTINGTON AUX CHAN, MS. VACOD CITY, MS. WAPPAPELLO LAKE, MO. MEMMIS HARBOR (MCKELLAR LAKE), TH. INSPECTION OF COMPLETED WORKS. MAPPING.	1,008,000	1,008,000
	SUBTOTAL, MAINTENANCE		
	REDUCTION FOR SAVINGS AND SLIPPAGE	-36,141,000	-39,241,000
	TOTAL, FLOOD CONTROL, MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES	319,250,000	307,885,000
	TYPE OF PROJECT:		

(N) NAVIGATION (FC) FLOOD CONTROL

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	ALABAMA		
	ALABAMA - CODAR RIVER, AL. BAYOU CODEM, AL. BAYOU CODEM, AL. BAYOU LA BAYRE, AL. BUACK WARIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS, AL. DON SECONR RIVER, AND DON SECONR RIVER, AL. FLY CREEK, AL. GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, AL. HILLERS FEARY LOCK & DAW - WILLIAM "BILL" DANNELLY LAK MOBILE HARDOR, AL. NOBILE HARDOR, AL. ROBERT FININY LOCK AND DAW, AL. FENESSEE - TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY, AL & MS. WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAW, AL & MS. WALTER F GEORGE LOCK AND DAW, AL & MS.	5, 654,000 231,000 455,000 16,820,000 505,000 3,172,000 5,156,000 17,780,000 3,50,000 3,50,000 5,434,000	5,658,000 455,000 16,620,000 252,000 506,000 3,172,000 5,186,000 17,780,000 17,780,000 3,688,000 21,090,000 6,434,000
(N) (FG) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N)	ANCHORAGE HARBOR, AK. CHENA RIVER LAKES, AK. DILLINGMAII HARBOR, AK. MEEN HANBOR, AK. RETCHIKAN, THOBAS BASIN, AK. HINILCHIK HARBOR, AK. NGME HARBOR, AK. NGME HARBOR, AK. ARIZONA	1,380,000 1,649,000 599,000 265,000 564,000 182,000 305,000	1,380,000 1,649,000 899,000 864,000 182,000 306,000 278,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	ALAMO LAKE, AZ PAINTED ROCK DAM, AZ WHITLOW RANCH DAM, AZ ARKANSAS	1,167,000 3,736,000 112,000	1,167,000 3,736,000 112,000
(%P)) (%P)) (%P)) (%P)) (%FC)) (%FC)) (%FC)) (%P)) (%P)) (%P)) (%P)) (%P)) (%P)) (%P))	BEAVER LAKE, AR. BLAKELY MT DAM - LAKE QUACHITA, AR. BULE MOUNTAIN LAKE, AR. BULL SHOALS LAKE, AR. DARDANELLE LOCK AND DAM, AR. DEGUGEN LAKE, AR. OIERKS LAKE, AR. OIERKS LAKE, AR. GILLMAM LAKE, AR. MCCLELLAN - KERR ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, AR. MILLEWOO LAKE, AR. NARROWS DAM - LAKE GREESCH, AR. NIRROD LAKE, AR. NORFORK LAKE, AR. OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA. OUACHITA AND BLACK RIVERS, AR & LA. OUACHITA REND PORT, AR. WHITE RIVER, AR.	3, 883,000 4, 640,000 1, 153,000 4, 1575,000 6, 385,000 4, 189,000 997,000 1, 006,000 4, 447,000 26,248,000 1, 783,000 3, 524,000 1, 383,000 3, 524,000 1, 383,000 5, 304,000 4, 175,000 2, 200,000	3,983,000 4,640,000 4,675,000 4,189,000 4,189,000 997,000 1,006,000 4,447,000 25,248,000 3,524,000 3,524,000 3,524,000 3,524,000 3,582,000 3,582,000 3,582,000 3,582,000 3,582,000 1,350,000 3,582,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,350,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,000 1,500,0000000000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	CALIFORNIA BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA. BUCHANAN DAR - H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA. CHANNEL ISLANDS HANBOR, CA. COVOTE VALLEY DAN (LAKE MENDOCINO), CA. DRY CREEK (WARM SPRINOS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA. ANDOEN DAM - AC. HIDDEN DAM - HENSLEY LAKE, CA. HIDDEN DAM - HENSLEY LAKE, CA. ISABELLA LAKE, CA. LOS ANGELES - LONG BEACH HARDOR MODEL, CA. LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CA.	1,534,000 1,525,000 2,410,000 3,172,000 1,55,000 1,705,000 4,670,000 702,000 1,60,000 3,413,000	1,834,000 1,529,000 2,410,000 3,172,000 1,705,000 4,670,000 702,000 160,000 3,413,000 600,000
(FC) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N	CALIFORNIA BLACK BUTTE LAKE, CA. BUCHARMAN DAW, - H V EASTMAN LAKE, CA. COVOTE LAKE, M. V EASTMAN LAKE, CA. COVOTE LALLEY DAHABBOR (CA.) DRY CREEK (WANN SPEINDS) LAKE AND CHANNEL, CA. ANDOEN DAM - HENSLEY LAWE, CA. ISABELLA LAKE, CA. LOS ANGELES - LONG BACH HARBOR MODEL, CA. LOS ANGELES CUNTY DAINAGE AREA, CA. LOS ANGELES RUYER, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA. BUJAVE RUYER DAM, CA. MEDIADE BALVER, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA. MEDIADE BALVER, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA. MEDIADE BALVER, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA. MENDAL BALVER DAM, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA. MENAGE BALVER, CA. MERCED COUNTY STREAM GROUP, CA. MENAGE BALVER, CA. MENAGE BALVE, CA. MENAGE BALVE	172.000 217.000 2.580.000 845.000 1.529.000 1.645.000 1.045.000 1.645.000 1.650.000 2.600.000 2.600.000 5.641.000 4.57.000	172,000 217,000 2,560,000 845,000 1,529,000 1,265,000 1,265,000 1,265,000 1,265,000 1,660,000 2,205,000 1,660,000 2,461,000 2,461,000 457,000 6,872,000
(N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (FC)	SAN DIEGO HANBOR, CA. SAN FRANCISCO BAY - DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA. SAN FRANCISCO BAY - DELTA MODEL STRUCTURE, CA. SAN FRANCISCO HANBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA. SAN FRANCISCO HANBOR AND BAY (DRIFT REMOVAL), CA. SAN FRANCISCO HANBOR, CA. SAN FRANCISCO HANBOR, CA. SAN FRANCISCO BAY AND MARE INLAND STRAIT, CA. SANTA ANA RIVER BASIN, CA.	117,000 1,085,000 2,000,000 150,000 2,195,000 1,825,000 1,825,000 1,859,000 2,889,000	17,000 1,045,000 2,000,000 1,80,000 2,145,000 1,825,000 1,825,000 1,040,000 2,889,000

TYPE OF	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENC
(N)	SANTA BARBARA HARBOR, CA. SUCCESS LAKE, CA. SUISUN BAY CHANNEL, CA. TEMITING DAN (LAKE KANEAH), CA. VENTURA HARBOR, CA.	1,038,000	1,038,000
(FC) (M)	SUCCESS LAKE, CA	2.366.000	1,038,000 2,358,000 665,000
(FC)	TENNINUS DAN (LAKE KAMEAH), CA	1,474,000	1,474,000
(N) (N)	YUBA RIVER, CA	1,038,000 2,355,000 645,000 1,474,000 2,285,000 30,000	1,474,000 2,288,000 30,000
	COLORADO		
(FC) (FC)	BEAR CREEK LAKE, CO. CHATFIELD LAKE, CO. CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO. John Martin Rebervoir, Co. John Martin Rebervoir, Co.	429,000 1,000,000 978,000	429,000 1,000,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	CHERRY CREEK LAKE, CO	978,000 1,475,000 609,000	\$78,000 1,475,000 609,000
(FC)		608,000	609,000
(50)	CONNECTICUT	249,000	140.000
(FC) (FC)	COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT.	375,000	249,000 375,000
(FC) (FC)	HOP SHOCK LAKE, CT.	264,000 724,000	264.000 724.000
(FC)	MANSFIELD HOLLOW LAKE, CT.	724,000 349,000 328,000 248,000 412,000	349.000
(FC) (FC)	STANFORD HURRICANE BARRIER, CT.	245,000	325,000 245,000
(N) (FC)	STONY CREEK, CT.	412,000	412,000
(FC)	BLACK ROCK LAKE, CT. COLEBROOK RIVER LAKE, CT. HANCOCK BROOK LAKE, CT. HOP BROOK LAKE, CT. MANSFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT. NORTHFIELD BROOK LAKE, CT. STAMFORD HURRICAME BARRIER, CT. STOMY CREEK, CT. THOMASTON DAM, CT. WEST THOMPSON LAKE, CT.	471,000 486,000	471,000
(N)	DELAMARE		
	CHESAPEAKE AND DELAMARE CANAL - ST GEORGE'S BRIDGE REP INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, DELAWARE R TO CHESAPEAKE BAY, D WUNDERVILL RIVER DE.	16,090,000	16,090,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR, DE	40,000 2,513,000	40,000 2,513,000
	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
(N) (N)	POTOMAC AND ANACOSTIA RIVERS (DRIFT REMOVAL), DC WASHINGTON HARBOR, DC	786,000 38,000	785,000 35,000
	FLORIDA		
(N) (N)	AIWW, NORFOLK TO ST JOHNS RIVER, FL, GA, SC, NC & VA APALACHICOLA BAY, FL. CANAVERAL MANDOR, FL. CENTRAL AND SOUTHENN FLORIDA, FL. CARAIDTE HARBOR, FL. EAST PASS CHANNEL, FL. FORT PIERCE MARBOR, FL. FORT PIERCE MARBOR, FL.	75,000	75,000
(N) (FC)	CANAVERAL MARBOR, FL.		187.000
(N) (N)	CHARLOTTE HARBOR, FL.	3,275,000	9,845,000 3,275,000 896,000
(N) (N)	EAST PASS CHANNEL, FL.	9,846,000 3,275,000 866,000	886,000
(N)	FORT PIERCE HARBOR, FL.	712.000	1,623,000 712,000
(8)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R,.	221,000	221,000
(N) (N)	INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, JACKSONVILLE TO MIANI, FL	3,293,000	3,293,000
(N) (MP)	JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, LAKE SEMINOLE, FL, AL & GA.	5,111,000	6,111,000
(N) (N)	JONNS PASS, PINELLAS COUNTY, FL	400,000 295,000	400,000
(N)	NEW PASS, SARABOTA, FL.	1,086,000	1,086,000
(N) (N)	OKLAWAMA RIVER, FL.	127,000	3, 933, 000
(N)	PALM BEACH HARBOR, FL.	1,459,000	1,459,000
(N) (N)	PONCE DE LEON INLET, FL.	2,147,000	2,147,000
(N)	PORT ST JOE HARBOR, FL.	72,000	72,000
(N)	ST AUGUSTINE HARBOR, FL.	4,000	712,000 221,000 3,293,000 4,118,000 8,111,000 2985,000 1,006,000 1,006,000 1,458,000 717,000 2,147,000 72,000 3,700,000 864,000 864,000
(N) (N) (N)	ST LUCIE INLET, FL	85,000 3,744,000	85,000 3,744,000
(N)	FORT PIENCE WARBOR, FL. INTRACONSTAL WATERMAY, CALOOSAHATCHEE R TO ANCLOTE R. INTRACONSTAL WATERMAY, JACSONVILLE R TO ANCLOTE R. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL. JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, FL. JOHNS PASS, PINELLAS COUNTY, FL. MEMP PASS BAAABOTA, FL. NCEECHOBEE WATERMAY, FL. NCECHOBEE WATERMAY, FL. PAINM BEACH MARBOR, FL. PAINM REACH MARBOR, FL. PAINMA CITY HARBOR, FL. PONT ST JOE HARBOR, FL. ST AUGUSTIME MARBOR, FL. ST AUGUSTIME MARBOR, FL. ST AUGUSTIME MARBOR, FL. ST AUGUSTIME MARBOR, FL. WITHLACOOCHIE RIVER, FL. WITHLACOOCHIE RIVER, FL.	221 000 3.283 000 4.119,000 6.111,000 286,000 1.086,000 1.22,000 1.486,000 7.17,000 3.700,000 3.700,000 3.700,000 3.740,000 3.740,000 3.4,000	3,744,000 34,000
(82)	GEORGIA	5 894 000	5,894,000
(N) (N)	ALLATOONA LAKE, GA. APALACHIGOLA CHATTANDOCHEE AND FLINT RIVERS, GA, AL S. Allantic, Intradestal Waterway, GA.	5,894,000 4,321,000 3,411,000 5,218,000 5,218,000 10,364,000 8,480,000 7,307,000	4,321,000
	BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA.	3,411,000	3,411,00
(NP) (NP)	BUFOND DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA	7,377,000	7,377,00
() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC.	10,364,000	10,364,00
(HP)	J SINUM (MUNDUUNU LAKE, GA & SC	8,480,000	1,516,00 3,411,00 7,377,00 5,218,00 9,480,00 9,480,00 7,307,00 8,377,00 2,475,00 5,114,00
(N)	SAVANNAH HARBOR, GA	8 377 000	8.377.00
(N) (MP)	ATLANTIC INTRAGASTAL WATERWAY, GA. BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GA. BUROD DAW AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, GA. CARTERS DAW AND LAKE GA. HARTWELL LAKE, GA & SC. J STROW THURMOND LAKE, GA & SC. RICHARD B RUSSELL GA. SAVANGAH HARBOR, GA. SAVANGAH RIVER BELOW AUGUSTA, GA. WEST POINT DAW AND LAKE, GA & AL.	7,307,000 8,377,000 2,475,000 5,114,000	2,475,00 5,114,00
	HANAII		
(N) (FC)	BARBERS POINT HARBOR, HI IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL, MAUI, HI	143,000 480,000	143,00 480,00
	IDAHO		
(MP) (MP)	ALBENI FALLS DAM, ID DWORSHAK DAM AND REBERVOIR, ID LUCKY PEAK LAKE, ID	4,457,000 9,144,000	4,467,00
(FC)		1,054,000	1,054,00
<b>(</b> )))	ILLINOIS		
(10)	ANDALUSIA HARBOR, IL	71,000	71,00
(N) (N) (FC)	ANDALUSIA HARBOR, IL	500,000 3,715,000	600,00 3,715,00

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTINATE	CONFERENCE
(N)	CHICAGO HARBOR, IL.	2,545,000	2,545,000
(N) (FC)	CNICAGO RIVER, IL.	610,000 273,000	2,645,000 610,000 273,000
(N) (N)	ILLINDIS AND MISSISSIPPI CANAL, IL	465,000	465,000
(N) (N)	ILLINGIS MATERNAY (NCD PORTION), IL & IN	20,844,000	20,844,000
(N)	LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL	645,000	648,000
(FC) (N) (N)	MISS & SETWEEN NO & AND MINNEAPOLIS (LMVD PORTION), IL	\$,399,000 12,437,000	6,399,000 12,437,000
(N) (FC)	MISS & BETWEEN NO & AND MINNEAPOLIS, IL, IA, MN, NO &. REND LAKE, IL	73,347,000	73,347,000
(FC) (N) (N)	CHICAGO HARBOR, IL CHICAGO RIVER, IL FAND CAREK REDERVOIRS, IL ILLINDIS AND HISBIBSIPPI CANAL, IL ILLINDIS MATEMMAY (MOD PORTION), IL ILLINDIS MATEMMAY (MOD PORTION), IL ILLINDIS MATEMMAY (MOD PORTION), IL LAIS METHODAN DIVERSION, IL LAKE MICHIGAN DIVERSION, IL MISS R SETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS (LAND PORTION), IL MISS R SETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS (LAND PORTION), IL MISS R SETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS (LAND PORTION), IL MISS R SETWEEN MO R AND MINNEAPOLIS (LAND PORTION), IL MISS R METHENNING R AND MINNEAPOLIS (LAND PORTION), IL REND LAKE, IL MAKEGAN HARBOR, IL	2,545,000 510,000 445,000 1,440,000 20,644,000 1,717,000 5,596,000 1,217,000 5,396,000 1,317,000 3,434,000 1,22,000 970,000	273,000 456,000 1,440,000 20,844,000 6,380,000 6,380,000 12,437,000 73,347,000 3,434,000 123,000 870,000
	THETANA		
(FC) (FC) (N) (N)	BEVERLY SHORES, IN. BROOKVILLE LAKE, IN. BLANS BATERMAY HARDOR, IN. BLANS BATERMAY BRAID BOAT HARBOR, IN. CARLES BILL LAKE, IN. CARLES BILL LAKE, IN. HARTINGTON LAKE, IN. HIGHTINGTON LAKE, IN. HIGHTINGTON LAKE, IN. HIGHTINGTON LAKE, IN. MOMMOR LAKE, IN. BALAMONIE LAKE, IN. BALAMONIE LAKE, IN.	35,000 711,000 1,544,000 85,000 625,000 643,000 320,000 540,000 1,073,000 850,000 750,000 850,000 750,000	35,000 711,000
(N)	BLINNS WATERWAY HARBOR, IN.	1,545,000	711,000
(FC)	CAGLES MILL LAKE. IN.	626,000	1,845,000 95,000 625,000 782,000 643,000 320,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	CECIL M HARDEN LAKE, IN	762,000 643,000	762,000
(N) (N)	INDIANA MARBOR, IN.	320,000	320,000
(FC)	NISSISSINEWA LAKE, IN.	1,073,000	540,000 540,000 1,073,000 680,000 790,000 807,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	MONNOE LAKE, IN	680,000 790,000	680,000 790,000
(FC)	SALANONIE LAKE, IN	807,000	807,000
	1000		
(FC)	CORALVILLE LAKE, IA. MISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIGUX CITY, IA. MISSOURI RIVER - SIGUX CITY DI MOUTH, IA. NE, KS & NO. RATMBUH LAKE, IA. RED ROCK DAM - LAKE RED ROCK, IA. SAVLORVILLE LAKE, IA.	2.654.000	2.654.000
(FC)	HISSOURI RIVER - KENSLERS BEND, NE TO SIGUX CITY, IA.	61,000	61,000
(FC)	RATHBUN LAKE, IA	2,028,000	2,028,000
(FC) (FC) (N) (FC) (FC) (FC)	RED ROCK DAM - LAKE RED ROCK, IA	2,854,000 61,000 5,058,000 2,028,000 3,539,000 4,955,000	2,654,000 61,000 6,058,000 2,028,000 3,538,000 4,956,000
	KANSAS		4,000,000
(FC)	CLINTON LAKE, KS. CDUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS. EL DORADO LAKE, KS. ELK CITY LAKE, KS. FILL RIVER LAKE, KS. MILLSDALE LAKE, KS. MILLSDALE LAKE, KS. KANOPOLIS LAKE, KS. RANOPOLIS LAKE, KS.	2,014,000 1,038,000 785,000 882,000 1,128,000 1,28,000 1,483,000 1,887,000 1,887,000 1,985,000 1,939,000	2.014.000
(FC)	COUNCIL GROVE LAKE, KS	1,038,000	1,038,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	ELK CITY LAKE, KS.	765,000	2,014,000 1,038,000 488,000 765,000 892,000
(FC)	FALL RIVER LAKE, KS	892,000	882,000
(FC) (FC)	JOHN REDHOND DAM AND RESERVOIR, KS	2,236,000	1,128,000 2,238,000
(FC)	MARION LAKE, KS	2,533,000	1.483.000 2,533,000
(FC) (FC)	MELVERN LAKE, KS	1,867,000	2,833,000 1,867,000 1,866,000 843,000 1,818,000 1,818,000 330,000
(FC)	PEARSON - SKUBITZ BIG HILL LAKE, KS	893,000	883,000
(FC) (FC)	PONONA LAKE, KS.	1,939,000	1,839,000
(FC) (FC)	TORONTO LAKE, KS	330,000	330,000
(FC)	KANGPOLIS LAKE, KS. MAILON LAKE, KS. MELVERN LAKE, KS. MELVERN LAKE, KS. MELPORD LAKE, KS. PENNY LAKE, KS. Toronto Lake, KS. Toronto Lake, KS. WILSON LAKE, KS.	2,202,000 1,307,000	2,202,000 1,307,000
	KENTUCKY		
(MP) (FC)	BARKLEY DAM AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY	7,026,000 1,856,000 1,035,000 1,272,000 1,593,000 979,000 1,092,000	7,026,000 1,886,000 1,036,000 1,272,000
(N) (FC)	BIG SANDY HARBOR, KY	1,035,000	1,035,000
(FC) (FC)	CARR FORK LAKE, KY	1.593.000	1,593,000
(FC) (FC)	CAPE RUN LAKE, KY	979,000	979,000 1,092,000
(N) (FC)	ELVIS STANK (HICKMAN) HARBOR, KY	400,000	400,000
(FC)	GRAYSON LAKE, KY.	1,007,000 986,000 1,378,000 1,904,000 1,059,000	1,722,000 946,000 1,378,000 1,904,000 1,059,000 3,000,000 1,261,000 649,000 649,000
(N) (FC)	GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY	1,378,000	1,378,000
(N)	KENTUCKY RIVER, KY		1,059,000
(MP)	LAUREL RIVER LAKE. KY	1,261,000	1,261,000
(N) (FC)	LICKING RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY	1,261,000 30,000 649,000	30,000 649,000
(FC)	MIDDLESBORD CUMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY	65,000	65,000 1,856,000
(N)	OHIO RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV	65,000 1,956,000 63,668,000 6 025 000	53.568.000
(N) (FC)	UNIO RIVER OPEN CHANNEL WORK, KY, IL, IN, OH, PA & WV. PAINTSVILLE LAKE, KY	6,025,000	6.025.000 \$40.000
(FC)	ROUGH RIVER LAKE, KY	1,700,000	\$40,000 1,780,000
(MP) (FC)	WOLF CREEK DAM - LAKE CUMBERLAND, KY	940,000 1,780,000 963,000 5,488,000 1,033,000	963,000 6,488,000 1,033,000
	KENTUCKY BARKLEY DAH AND LAKE BARKLEY, KY. BIG SANDY HANBOR, KY. BIG SANDY HANBOR, KY. BIG SANDY HANBOR, KY. CARE ROW. LAKE, KY. CARE ROW. LAKE, KY. DEWEY LAKE, KY. DEWEY LAKE, KY. DEWEY LAKE, KY. GREEN AND BARREN RIVERS, KY. LAUREL RIVER LAKE, KY. LICKING RIVER LAKE, KY. LICKING RIVER LAKE, KY. MADING LOCKS AND DAMS 5-14, KY. MIDDLESGON CLAMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY. MOLIN LAKE, KY. NOLIN LAKE, KY. NOLIN LAKE, KY. MIDDLESGON CLAMBERLAND RIVER BASIN, KY. MOLIN LAKE, KY. NOLIN LAKE, KY. NOLIN LAKE, KY. NOLIN LAKE, KY. NOLIN LAKE, KY. NOLIN LAKE, KY. MOLF CREEK DAM - LAKE KY. MOLF CREEK DAM - LAKE KY. LOUISIANA		
(11)	ATCHAFALAYA RIVER AND BAYOUS CHENE, BOEUF AND BLACK, L BAAATAAIA BAY WATERWAY, LA BAYOU BOCAU MESERVOIR LA BAYOU DICAU MESERVOIR LA BAYOU DICAUM EAND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA BAYOU DICAUME AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA BAYOU TECHE, LA CALCASING RIVER AND PASS, LA FRESHWATER BAYOU, LA	12,785,000 921,000 504,000 10,000 25,000	12,786,000 921,000
(F¢)	BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR, LA.	604,000	504,000
(N) (FC) (N) (FC)	BAYOU LAFOURCHE AND LAFOURCHE JUMP WATERWAY, LA	10,000	504,000 10,000 25,000
(N) (FC)	BAYOU TECHE, LA.	727,000	727,000
(PC) (N) (N)	CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LA.	159,000 4,095,000 1,659,000	727,000 159,000 4,095,000 1,659,000
(N)	FRESHMATER BAYOU, LA	1,659,000	1,659,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE		CONFERENCE
(N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (N) (FC)	OULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LA & TX. HOUMA NAVIGATION CAMAL, LA LAKE PROVIDENCE HARDOR, LA MOJISON PARIENT PORT, LA MEMBENTAU RIVER, LA. HISSISSIPPI RIVER - DATON ROUGE TO GULF OF MEXICO, LA HISSISSISPI RIVER - DATON ROUGE TO GULF OF MEXICO, LA HISSISSISPI RIVER - DATON ROUGE TO SHREVERORT, REMOVAL OF AGUATIC GUTUTETS AT VENICE LA RED RIVER WATERWAY - MISSISSIPPI RIVER TO SHREVERORT, TANGIPAHOA RIVER, LA MALINE	15,110,000 3,897,000 222,000 2,001,000 51,637,000 12,654,000 1,645,000 1,645,000 1,645,000 1,665,000 1,000 1,866,000	16,110,000 3,897,000 37,000 2,001,000 51,837,000 1,646,000 1,646,000 1,646,000 1,646,000 1,646,000 1,646,000 1,646,000 1,646,000 1,646,000
(N)	CRIEHAVEN HARBOR, ME. SCARBOROUCH RIVER, ME. YORK HARBOR, ME.	293,000	293,000 960,000 714,000
22222 22222 22222 22222 22222 22222 2222	MARYLAND BALTIMORE HARBOR & CHANNELS, ND (60 FT). BALTIMORE HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL) AND. BALTIMORE HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), BRAD CREEK, ND. CHESTER RIVER, ND. CHESTER RIVER RIVER RIVER CHESTER RIVER RIVER RIVER RIVER RIVER CHESTER RIVER RIVER RIVER RIVER RIVER RIVER CHESTER RIVER	13,425,000 455,000 350,000 65,000 104,000 1,604,000 1,604,000 250,000 1,604,000 250,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000	13,426,000 455,000 520,000 560,000 56,000 104,000 1,784,000 1,784,000 1,784,000 1,784,000 1,784,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,000 1,50,
	MASSACHUSETTS BARRE FALLS DAM, MA. BIRCH HILL DAM, MA. BORDWILLE LAKE, MA. COMANT BROOK LAKE, MA. COMANT BROOK LAKE, MA. COMANT BROOK LAKE, MA. COMANT BROOK DAKE, MA. SCITLATE HARDOR, MA. SCITLATE HARDOR, MA. SCITLATE HARDOR, MA. WEBYTHILL DAM, MA. WEBYTHILL DAM, MA.	342,000 335,000 331,000 6,087,000 163,000 386,000 386,000 386,000 386,000 386,000 241,000 241,000 241,000 384,000 384,000 384,000	342,000 336,000 331,000 8,087,000 235,000 384,000 336,000 335,000 335,000 241,000 241,000 244,000 355,000 355,000 244,000 356,000 356,000 364,000 364,000 369,000
23282838383888888888888888888888888888	MICHIGAM ALPENA MARBOR, MI ARCADIA HARBOR, MI ARCADIA HARBOR, MI BOLLES MARBOR, MI CHAMBELS IM LAKE ST CLAIR, MI DETROIT RIVER, MI EXACE VARBOR, MI FRAMK/ONT MARBOR, MI FRAMK/ONT MARBOR, MI GRADD NANAGOR, MI GRADD NANAGOR, MI HARBOR, MI HONNOF	218,000 27,000 28,000 118,000 4,728,000 60,000 372,000 817,000 123,000 123,000 77,000 31,000 31,000 34,000 34,000 34,000 32,000 32,000 32,000 32,000 30,000 30,000 188,000 103,000 103,000	218.000 77,000 28.000 38.000 4.729.000 60.000 318.000 218.000 218.000 218.000 123.000 63.000 77.000 31.000 1.84.000 230.000 1.84.000 405.000 772.000 405.000 775.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.000 1.84.0000 1.84.00000000000000000000000000000000000
(N) (MP) (N)	ST JOSEPH HARBOR, MI. St Marys River, MI. White Lake Harbor, MI.	1,802,000 918,000 10,000 868,000 1,080,000 14,962,000 434,000	1,080,000 1,080,000 14,962,000 434,000

	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	MINNESOTA		
(FC) (N)	BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, IN & SD.	475.000	475.000
(N) (FC)	DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, IN & WI.	3,396,000	475,000 3,396,000 550,000 145,000
(N) (FC)	MINNEBOTA RIVER, MI	146,000	145,000
(FC) (FC)	RED LAKE RESERVOIR AN	4,077,000	4,077,000 302,000 3,515,000
(FC) (N)	BIGSTONE LAKE WHETSTONE RIVER, MN & SO DULUTH - SUPERIOR HARBOR, MM & WI. LAC GUI PALLE LAKES, MINNEBOTA RIVER, MN. MINNEBOTA RIVER, MD. ORMELL LAKE, MN. RED LAKE RESERVOIR, MN. REBERVOIRS AT HEADMATERS OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MN	475,000 3,296,000 560,000 145,000 4,077,000 302,000 3,515,000	3, 515,000
	MISSIBSIPPI	-	
(N) (N) (FC) (N)	CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS.	461,000 153,000 203,000 2,876,000 113,000 1,773,000 2,998,000	461,000 153,000 203,000 2,876,000
(FC)	EAST FORK, TOMBIOBEE RIVER, MS	203,000	203,000
(N)	NOUTH OF YAZOO RIVER, MS.	113,000	113,000
(N) (FC) (N)	PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS.	1,773,000	
(N) (N) (N)	PEARL RIVER, MS & LA.	2,996,000 280,000 410,000	200,000
(N)	BILOXI HARBOR, MS. CLAIBORNE COUNTY PORT, MS. EAST FORM, TOMBIGUEER RIVER, MS. GULFHORT HARBOR, MS. MOUTH OF VAZOO RIVER, MS. OKATIBBEE LAKE, MS. PASCAGOULA HARBOR, MS. PEARL RIVER, MS & LA. ROSEDALE HARBOR, MS. VAZOO RIVER, MS.	3,000	200,000 410,000 3,000
	MISSOURI		
(N) (MP)	CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR, NO.	300.000 6.278.000	300,000 \$,278,000
(FC)	CLEADMATER LAKE, MO	2,065,000	2,065,000 8,548,000
(FC) (MP) (FC)	NARRY S TRUBAN DAB AND RESERVOIR, NO	8,549,000	8,548,000
(FC)	LONG BRANCH LAKE, MO.	731,000	1,403,000 731,000 18,658,000 300,000 1,668,000
(N) (N)	MING RIVER BETWEER ONIO AND MU RIVERS, MO & IL (REG NO NEW MADRID HARBOR, MO	16,856,000	18,458,000
(FC) (FC)	PONNE DE TERRE LAKE, NO.	1,668,000	1,668,000
(N) (MP) (MP)	SOUTHEAST MISSOURI PORT, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MO	150,000	1,030,000 150,000 3,528,000
(MP) (MP)	STOCKTON LAKE, NO.	3, 528,000	3,528,000
(FC)	UNION LAKE, NO.	16,000	5,565,000 16,000 20,000
(FC)	RISSOURI CARUTHERSVILLE HARBOR MO CLARENCE CANNON DM AND MAAK THAIN LAKE, NO. CLARENCE CANNON DM AND MAAK THAIN LAKE, NO. CLEARENCE CANNON DM AND RESERVOIR, NO. LOND W REMAILLAGE LAGES NO. HITS RIVER BETWEELAGES NO. HITS RIVER BETWEELAGES NO. HITS RIVER BETWEELAGES NO. SUITHAILE LAKE, NO. SUITHAILE LAKE, NO. STOCTON LAKE, NO. HARBOR LAKE, NO. HARBOR LAKE, NO. HARBOR LAKE, NO. HARDOR LAKE, NO. HARDOR LAKE, NO. HARDOR LAKE, NO.	6,279,000 2,065,000 8,549,000 1,402,000 731,000 16,659,000 1,665,000 1,035,000 1,035,000 1,035,000 5,525,000 5,565,000 20,000	20,000
(MP) (MP)	FT PECK DAM AND LAKE, MT	4,050,000 5,009,000	4,050,000 5,009,000
	NEBRASKA		
(MP) (FC)	GAVING POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD	6.363.000	\$,383,000 1,488,000 200,000 500,000 742,000 811,000
(MP)	MISSOURI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE . SD		200,000
(FC)	PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE	500,000 742,000 811,000	500,000
(FC)	GAVINS POINT DAM, LEWIS AND CLARK LAKE, NE & SD HARLAN COUNTY LAKE NE. MISSOLRI NATIONAL RECREATIONAL RIVER, NE , BD MISSOLRI R MASTER WTR CONTROL MANUAL, NE , LA. KS, MO PAPILLION CREEK & TRIBUTARIES LAKES, NE SALT CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NE	811,000	811,000
	NEVADA		
(FC) (FC)	MARTIS CREEK LAKE, NV & CA PINE AND MATHEWS CANYONS LAKES, NV	378.000 163,000	378,000 163,000
	NEW HAMPSHIRE		
(FC)	BLACKBATER DAM, NH.		
		387,000	387,000
(FC)	FRANKLIN FALLS DAM. NH.	387,000 346,000 514,000	387,000 346,000 514,000
(FC) (FC)	FRANKLIN FALLS DAN, NH. HOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH.	387,000 346,000 614,000 827,000	387,000 346,000 514,000 527,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	FRANKLIN FALLS DAN, H. NOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH. OTTER BROOK LAKE, NH. SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH.	387,000 346,000 614,000 827,000 392,000 401,000	387,000 346,000 514,000 527,000 352,000 401,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	BLACKWATER DAM, NH. EDWAND MACDOWELL LAKE, NH. FRANKLIN FALLS DAM, NH. NOPKINTON - EVERETT LAKES, NH. OTTER BROK LAKE, NH. SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE, NH. NEW JERSEY	367,000 346,000 614,000 827,000 392,000 401,000	367,000 346,000 \$14,000 527,000 352,000 401,000
	NEW JERSEY	401,000	401,000
	NEW JERSEY	401,000	401,000 2,590,000
	NEW JERSEY	401,000	401,000 2,590,000
	NEW JERSEY	401,000	401,000 401,000 2,590,000 485,000 850,000 18,187,000 1,256,000
	NEW JERSEY	401,000 401,000 2,550,000 485,000 850,000 18,157,000 1,255,000	1,455,000 401,000 1,455,000 2,590,000 455,000 18,157,000 1,255,000 1,255,000
	NEW JERSEY	401,000 401,000 2,550,000 485,000 850,000 18,157,000 1,255,000	1,455,000 401,000 2,590,000 485,000 850,000 18,157,000 1,255,000
	NEW JERSEY	401,000 401,000 2,550,000 455,000 18,157,000 1,255,000 3,729,000 410,000 1,150,000	401,000 401,000 2,590,000 485,000 850,000 18,187,000 1,256,000
	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. CHEESEGUARE CREEK, NJ. OCLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ. PA & DE. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. MANASQUAN INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. NEW MEXICO	401,000 401,000 2,550,000 485,000 850,000 18,157,000 1,255,000	322,000 401,000 2,550,000 3550,000 18,157,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,00,000 410,000
	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. CHEESEGUARE CREEK, NJ. OCLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ. PA & DE. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. MANASQUAN INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. NEW MEXICO	1,455,000 1,455,000 2,550,000 8,55,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,150,000 1,150,000	452,000 1.455,000 2.590,000 455,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.352,000 1.352,000
	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. CHEESEGUARE CREEK, NJ. OCLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ. PA & DE. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. MANASQUAN INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. NEW MEXICO	1,455,000 1,455,000 2,550,000 8,55,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,150,000 1,150,000	452,000 1.455,000 2.590,000 455,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.255,000 1.352,000 1.352,000
(X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X))	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. CHEESEGUARE CREEK, NJ. OCLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ. PA & DE. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. MANASQUAN INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. NEW MEXICO	1,455,000 1,455,000 2,550,000 8,55,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,150,000 1,150,000	401,000 401,000 550,000 550,000 550,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 3,728,000 1,150,000 1,150,000 1,352,000 1,352,000 1,352,000 1,34,000
	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. CHEESEGUARE CREEK, NJ. OCLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAMARE RIVER, NILLOBELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE. DELAMARE RIVER, PHILOBELPHIA, PA TO TRENTON, NJ. MANASQUAN INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. SHARK RIVER, NJ. NEW MEXICO	1,455,000 1,455,000 2,550,000 8,55,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,150,000 1,150,000	401,000 401,000 550,000 550,000 550,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 3,728,000 1,150,000 1,150,000 1,352,000 1,352,000 1,352,000 1,34,000
(X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X)) (X))	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	401,000 401,000 2,550,000 455,000 18,157,000 1,255,000 3,729,000 410,000 1,150,000	432,000 401,000 455,000 455,000 455,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 410,000 2,50,000 1,150,000 1,352,000 1,352,000 1,352,000
	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO THENTON, NJ. MANABOUAN INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOMSTAL WATERWAY, NJ. SALEH RIVER, NJ. SALEH RIVER, NJ. NEW MEXICO ABIGUIU DAW, NM. COCHTII LAKE, NM. COCHTII LAKE, NM. GALISTED DAW, NM. SANTA ROSA DAW AND LAKE, NM. NEW YORK	1,455,000 1,455,000 2,590,000 455,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,157,000 1,252,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,0000 2,040,0000 2,040,0000	401,000 401,000 550,000 550,000 550,000 1,255,000 3,725,000 1,255,000 3,725,000 1,150,000 1,150,000 1,150,000 1,150,000 1,352,000 2,040,000 1,352,000 2,450,000 3,55,000 3,55,000 3,55,000
	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. COLD SPRING INLET, NJ. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA TO THE SEA, NJ, PA & DE. DELAWARE RIVER, PHILADELPHIA, PA TO THENTON, NJ. MANABOUAN INLET, NJ. NEW JERSEY INTRACOMSTAL WATERWAY, NJ. SALEH RIVER, NJ. SALEH RIVER, NJ. NEW MEXICO ABIGUIU DAW, NM. COCHTII LAKE, NM. COCHTII LAKE, NM. GALISTED DAW, NM. SANTA ROSA DAW AND LAKE, NM. NEW YORK	1,455,000 1,455,000 2,590,000 455,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,157,000 1,252,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,000 2,040,0000 2,040,0000 2,040,0000	401,000 401,000 550,000 550,000 550,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 3,725,000 1,255,000 1,150,000 1,150,000 1,352,000 2,040,000 1,134,000 2,44,000 2,44,000 3,55,000 3,55,000
	NEW JERSEY BARNEGAT INLET, NJ	1,455,000 1,455,000 2,550,000 8,55,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,150,000 1,150,000	401,000 401,000 2,500,000 850,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 1,255,000 3,723,000 1,255,000 1,352,000 1,352,000 1,352,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
(N)	BUFFALD HARBOR, NY. BUFFEMILK CHANNEL, NY. DUNKIRK HARBOR, NY. EAST ROCKAMAY INLET, NY. EAST ROCKAMAY INLET, NY. EAST ROCKAMAY INLET, NY. EAST ROCKAMAY INLET, NY. EAST SOUS BAY HARBOR, NY. HOSSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY. JONES INLET, NY. JONES INLET, NY. LITTLE SOOUS BAY HARBOR, NY. HIT MORRIS LAKE, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR (DRIFT REMOVAL), NY & NJ. NEW YORK HARBOR (NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. NEW YORK HARBOR, NY. OKK OFCHARBOR, NY. OKK OFCHARB	455.000	455.000
(N) (N) (N)	BUTTERMILK CHANNEL, NY.	820,000	820,000
ini -	EAST RIVER, NY	455,000 820,000 309,000 195,000 930,000	455,000 820,000 309,000 195,000
(N) (FC)	EAST NOCRAMAY INLET, NY	195,000 930,000 483,000 1,668,000 1,668,000 1,360,000 2,520,000 2,520,000 2,520,000 2,520,000 1,930,000 1,930,000 570,000 570,000 570,000 5,020,000 5,020,000 10,000 10,000	930,000
(N) (N)	FIRE ISLAND TO JONES INLET, NY	1,668,000	483,000 1,668,000
(N) (N)	GREAT SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY.	10,000	130,000
(N) (N)	HUDSON RIVER CHANNEL, NY	1,380,000	1,380,000 2,520,000 160,000
(N) (N)	IRONDEOLOIT BAY HARBOR, NY	150,000	160,000 220,000
(N)	JONES INLET, NY.	3,880,000	3,880,000
(N) (N)	LITTLE SODUS BAY HARBOR, NY.	1,660,000	1,560,000
(N) (FC)	MATTIDUR HANBOR, NY	570,000	3,850,000 1,930,000 1,850,000 670,000 1,810,000 205,000 4,866,000 740,000
(N) (N)	NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY CHANNELS, NY	205,000	205,000
	NEW YORK HARBOR (PREVENTION OF OBSTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS)	740,000	740,000
(N) (N)	OAK ORCHARD HARBOR, NY	6,020,000 10,000 10,000	6,020,000 10,000 10,000
(N) (N)	GAK ORCHARD HARBOR, NY. CSWEGO HARBOR, NY. SWINNEGOCK IMLET. NY. SOUTHERN NEW YORK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS, NY. WILTNEY POINT LAKE, NY. WILSON HARBOR, NY.	10,000	10,000
(N) (FC)	SHINNECOCK INLET. NY.	200.000	200,000
(FC)	WHITNEY POINT LAKE, NY	853.000 515,000	853,000
(N)	WILSON HARSOR, NY	10,000	10,000
(N)	RORTH CAROLINA ALANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERNAY, NC. BEALFORT JORDAN DAN AND LAKE, NC. BEALFORT HARBOR, NC. BODUE INLET AND CHANNEL, NC. CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC. CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC. CAROLINA BEACH INLET, NC. MATED (SHALLDBBAC) BAY, NC. MATED (SHALLDBBAC) BAY, NC. MATED (SHALLDBBAC) BAY, NC. MORE FLORE (IT AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC. MORE FLORE (IT FILLE) CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC. MER FLORE (IT FILLE) CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC. MER FLORE INTER (NC. SIVER LAKE HARBOR, NC. NC. NC. REALESCOTT DAB AND RESERVOIR, NC. WILKEINGTON HARBOR, NC. MORTH CAROLINE, NC. MORTH SCOTT DAB AND RESERVOIR, NC.	5,087,000 1,237,000 350,000 415,000 655,000 1,200,000 655,000 8,505,000 4,550,000 3,108,000 8,505,000 4,550,000 3,108,000 8,505,000 1,565,000	5,097,000
(FC) (N)	B EVERETT JORDAN DAM AND LAKE, NC	1,237,000	1,237,000
(N) (N)	BELHAVEN HARBOR NC.	415,000	415,000
(N) (N) (N)	CAPE FEAR RIVER ABOVE WILMINGTON, NC.	1,200,000	1,200,000
(FC)	GARGEINA BEACH INLET, NG	852,000	852,000 1.070.000
(N) .	LOCKWOODS FOLLY RIVER, NC.	857,000	857,000
(N) (N)	MASONBORD INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	4,650,000	4,650,000
(N) (N)	NEW RIVER INLET, NC	3,108,000	3,108,000
(N) (N)	NEW TOPSAIL INLET AND CONNECTING CHANNELS, NC	840,000 125,000	840,000 125,000
(N)	ROANOKE RIVER, NC.	125,000	126,000
(FC)	W KERR SCOTT DAN AND RESERVOIR, NC	125,000 125,000 200,000 2,848,000 5,048,000	200,000
(N)	WILMINGTON HARBOR, NC	5,048,000	5,048,000
(FC)	BOMMAN - HALEY LAKE, ND GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAWEA, ND HOMME LAKE, ND LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND PIPESTEM LAKE, ND SOURIS RIVER, ND	222,000 9,154,000 149,000 1,230,000 408,000 101,000	222,000 9,184,000 149,000 1,230,000 405,000 101,000
(BP) (FC)	GARRISON DAM, LAKE SAKAKAMEA, ND	9,154,000	9,154,000
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	LAKE ASHTABULA AND BALDHILL DAM, ND	1,230,000	1,230,000
(FC)	SOURIS RIVER, ND	101,000	101,000
(FC)	ALUM CREEK LAKE. OH	861.000	861.000
(N) (FC)	ASHTABULA HARBOR, OH.	861,000 1,088,000 1,907,000	861,000 1,088,000 1,907,000
(FC) (N) (FC) (FC)	CAESAR CREEK LAKE, OH.	1,186,000 722,000 13,038,000 655,000	1,186,000
(N) (N)	CLANENCE J BROWN DAW, CH	722,000	1,186,000 722,000 13,038,000 665,000
(N) (FC)	CONNEAUT HARBOR, OH	655,000 820,000	655,000 620,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	DELAMARE LAKE, OH.	623,000	623 000
(N) (N)	HURON HARBOR, OH.	\$14,000 \$20,000 407,000 26,000 922,000 \$,287,000 \$,287,000 \$213,000 521,000 75,000 12,000 30,000 1,030,000	914,000 820,000 407,000
(N) (FC)	LORAIN HARBOR, OH	407,000	407,000
(FC) (FC)	MICHAEL J KIRMAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, OH.	922,000	25,000 922,000 1,028,000 8,287,000 213,000
(FC)	MUSKINGUM RIVER LAKES, OH.	8,287,000	8,287,000
(FC) (FC)	NORTH BRANCH KOKOSING RIVER LAKE, OH	213,000 521,000	
(N) (N) (FC)	PORTSMOUTH HARBOR, OH.	75,000	76,000
(FC)	ROSEVILLE LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, OH.	30,000	30,000
(N) (N)	TOLEDO HARBOR, OH.	1,030,000 3,502,000	1,030,000
(FC) (N)	TON JENKINS DAM, OH.	430,000	430,000
(N) (FC) (FC)	WEST FORK OF WILL CREEK LAKE, OH	3,502,000 430,000 10,000 609,000 850,000	12,000 30,000 1,030,000 3,502,000 430,000 10,000 609,000 850,000
(PG)	OHIO ALUM CREEK LÄKE, OH. ALUM CREEK LÄKE, OH. BERLIN LAKE, OH. GRESMA DH. BERLIN LAKE, OH. CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CLARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CCARENCE J BROWN DAM, OH. CCAREKLAKE, OH. DELAMARE LAKE, OH. DELAMARE LAKE, OH. DILLON LAKE, OH. DILLON LAKE, OH. DILLON LAKE, OH. DILLON LAKE, OH. DORTH BANDAR DEM AND RESERVOIR, OH. DORTH BANDAR DEM AND RESERVOIR, OH. DORTH BANDAR DAM, DH. CREWLAKE, OH. DORTH BANDAR HOM, OH. COMMENT HARBOR, OH. TOM JENNIS DAM, OH. COMMENT HARBOR, OH. COMMENT HARBOR, OH. DORTH BANDAR HOM, OH. COMMENT HARBOR, OH. COMMENT DAMA ON H. COMMENT DAMA ON H. COMMENT DAMA DAM, OH. COMMENT DAMA DAM, CH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT DAMAROR, OH. COMMENT DAMA ON H. COMMENT DAMAROR, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. COMMENT DAMAROR, OH. COMMENT DAMAROR, OH. COMMENT ON ALLAKE, OH. C	850,000	850,000
(FC) (FC) (MP) (FC)	ARCADIA LAKE, OK.	292,000	292,000
(WP)	BACKEN BOW LAKE, OK.	2,059,000	2,059,000
(FC)	CANDY LAKE, OK	749,000 2,059,000 39,000 1,692,000 874,000	749,000 2,059,000 39,000 1,692,000 874,000
(FC) (MP)	ARCADIA LAKE, OK. BIRCH LAKE, OK. BARCH SON LAKE, OK. CANDY LAKE, OK. CANDY LAKE, OK. COPAN LAKE, OK. EJFALLA LAKE, OK.	874,000 4,405,000	874,000 4,405,000
			4,400,000

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET Estimate	CONFERENCE
(MP)	FORT GIBSON LAKE. OK	4,271,000	4,271,000
(FC)	FORT SUPPLY LAKE, OK.	847,000	847,000 337,000
(FC) (FC)	GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK	337,000	
(FC)	HUGO LAKE, OK.	4,27,000 847,000 337,000 7,54,000 1,527,000 401,000 1,702,000 7,912,000	1,527,000
(FC)	HULAH LAKE, OK	401,000	1 702 000
(FC) (NP)	KEYSTONE LAKE, OK.	1,702,000 3,819,000 1,373,000 511,000 5,000 1,165,000 4,962,000 857,000 789,000 3,371,000 1,884,000	3,819,000
(FC) (FC)	OOLOGAH LAKE, OK.	1,373,000	1,373,000
(FC)	PENBACOLA RESERVOIR - LAKE OF THE CHENOKEES, OK	5,000	5,000
(FC) (MP)	PINE CREEK LAKE, OK.	1,158,000	1,168,000
(FC)	SARDIS LAKE. OK.	\$\$7,000	857,000
(FC)	SKIATOOK LAKE, OK	789,000	789,000 3,371,000
(MP) (FC) (MP)	WANRIKA LAKE, OK	3,371,000	3,371,000
(MP)	WEBBERS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, OK	1,894,000 3,515,000 947,000	1,894,000 3,816,000
(FC)	FORT GIBSON LAKE, CK. FORT GIBSON LAKE, CK. GREAT SALT PLAINS LAKE, OK. HENDIN LAKE, CK. HENDIN LAKE, CK. HENDIN LAKE, CK. CONTINUE, CK. FORMACULA, RESERVOIR - LAKE OF THE CHEADKEES, OK. PIME CREEK LAKE, CK. PARDACULA RESERVOIR - LAKE OF THE CHEADKEES, OK. PIME CREEK LAKE, CK. SABDIB LAKE, CK. WEDDENT SHERR LOCK AND DAM AND RESERVOIRS, CK. WISTER LAKE, CK. WEDDERTS FALLS LOCK AND DAM, CK. WISTER LAKE, CK. ORECON	947,000	947,000
(50)	APPLEGATE LAKE, OR Astoria Harbor, North Breakwater, or Blue River Lake, or Bommeville Lock and Dam, or B WA	654,000	664,000 275,000 442,000 18,788,000
(FC) (MP)	BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	442,000 16,788,000 500,000 11,017,000 8,013,000 420,000 4,349,000 4,349,000	442,000
(MP) (N) (N)	CHETCO RIVER, OR.	600,000	\$00,000 11,017,000 8,013,000 420,000 4,349,000
(N) (N)	COLUMBIA & LWR WILLAMETTE & BLW VANCOUVER, WA & PORTLA	11,017,000	11,017,000
	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN VANCOUVER. WA AND THE DALLES. O	420.000	420.000
(N)	COOS BAY, OR	4,349,000	4,349,000
(N) (FC)	CORDILLE RIVER, OR	454,000	454,000
(MP)	COUGAR LAKE, OR.	454,000 719,000 1,282,000 3,000	454,000 719,000 1,282,000
(N)	DEPOE BAY, OR	1,282,000 3,000 2,247,000 556,000 920,000 752,000 752,000 14,985,000 3,914,000 12,861,000 425,000	3,000
(FC)	DORENA LAKE, OR.	\$52.000	562,000
(FC)	FALL CREEK LAKE, OR.	558,000	552,000 559,000
(FC) (MP)	DEFEN REDGE LAKE, OR	920,000 2 556 000	920,000 2,558,000
(HP)	HILLS CREEK LAKE, OR.	752.000	752,000
(MP)	JOHN DAY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	14,988,000	2,550,000 752,000 14,008,000 3,914,000 12,561,000 425,000 500,000
(MP)	LOST CREEK LAKE. OR.	3,814,000	1,914,000
(MP)	HCHARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & WA	12,561,000	12,561,000
(N) (N)	PORT OF TOLEDO OR	425,000	425,000
(N)	AOQUE RIVER, OR.	816,000 864,000 61,000	
(N)	SIUSLAW RIVER, OR.	864,000	864,000 61,000
(N)	TILLANDOK BAY AND BAR. OR	43.000	43.000
(N)	LINPOLIA RIVER, OR.	1,094,000	1,094,000
(N) (EC)	WILLAMETTE RIVER AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, OR	845,000	846,000 70,000
(FC)	WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR.	61.000 43.000 1,094,000 845,000 70,000 482,000 1,555,000	462,000
(N)	ADJETIS TRONOW ROTT BREAMMILE. DATA BOHERIS TRONOW ROTT BREAMMILE. DATA BOHERIS TIS TRONOW ROTT BREAMMILE. DATA BOHERIS LAKE OR ADDIAN, OR & MA COLUMBIA RIVER AT THE MOUTH, ON ANACOLVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O COULDE TRUER, OR COULDED AND REVEREN VANCOUVER, WA AND THE DALLES, O COUSTILLE RIVER, OR COUNTLE RIVER, OR COUNTLE RIVER, OR DEFNOIT LAKE, OR FERNITAKE, DR FERNITAKE, LAKE, OR FERNITAKE, LAKE, OR FERNITAKE, LAKE, OR FERNITAKE, COR FERNITAKE, COR FERNITAKE, COR FERNITAKE, COR FERNITAKE, COR FERNITAKE, COR MCMARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & MA NOTARY LOCK AND DAM, OR & MA PORT OF TOLEDO, OR ROUGH RIVER, OR SIJSLAW RIVER, OR SIJLAWETTE RIVER AT WILLAWETTE FALLS, OR WILLAWETTE RIVER, OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AT WILLAWETTE FALLS, OR WILLOW CREEK LAKE, OR PORTOR OR OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AT WILLAWETTE FALLS, OR WILLAWETTE RIVER, OR WILLAWETTE RIVER, OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON, OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON, OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON, OR PORTOR OR OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON, OR PORTOR OR OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON PORTOR OR OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON PORTOR OR OR PORTOR OR OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON PORTOR OR OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON PORTOR OR OR WILLAWETTE RIVER AND PANON PORTOR OR OR PORTOR OR OR	1,565,000	1,665,000
(1)		19 790 000	19 700 000
(N) (FC)	ALVIN R BUSH DAM, PA	12,736,000 612,000 205,000 1,425,000	12,736,000 612,000
(FC)	AYLESWORTH CREEK LAKE, PA	205,000	612,000 205,000 1,425,000
(FC) (FC)	BELIZVILLE LAKE, PA	1,425,000	1,425,000
(FC)	CONEMAUGH RIVER LAKE, PA	3,112,000	3,112,000 2,084,000
(FC)	COMANE SCUE LAKE, PA	1,425,000 2,059,000 3,112,000 2,084,000 1,201,000 669,000 468,000 468,000 675,000	
(FC)	CURMENSVILLE LAKE, PA.	569.000	1,201,000 669,000 1,036,000
(FC)	EAST BRANCH CLARION RIVER LAKE, PA	1,036,000	1,036,000
(FC)	FOSTER JOSEPH SAYERS DAN, PA	405,000	683 000
(FC)	FRANCIS E WALTER DAM, PA	563,000 575,000 531,000 1,243,000 1,559,000 1,155,000 1,644,000 16,585,000	675,000 331,000
(FC)	GENERAL EDGAR JADWIN DAM AND RESERVOIR, PA	331,000	331,000
(FC)	KINZUA DAM AND ALLEGHENY RESERVOIR, PA.	1,659.000	1,243,000 1,859,000 1,155,000 1,844,000 16,586,000
(FC)	LOYALHANNA LAKE, PA	1,155,000	1.155.000
(N)	MONONGAHELA RIVER, PA	1,844,000	1,844,000
(FC)	PROMPTON LAKE, PA	463,000	463,000 37,000
(FC)	PURCEUTAINNEY, PA	37,000	37,000 5,926,000
(N)	SCHUYLKILL RIVER, PA	16,565,600 463,000 37,000 1,930,000 2,074,000 373,000 2,415,000	1,930.000
(N) (FC) (FC)	SHENANGO RIVER LAKE, PA.	2,074,000	2,074,000
(FC)	TIOGA - HAMMOND LAKES, PA	2,415,000	1,930,000 2,074,000 373,000 2,415,000
(FC)	TIONESTA LAKE, PA	1,256,000	1.256.000
(FC) (FC)	WOODCOCK CREEK LAKE PA	295,000	296,000 1,242,000
(FC)	YORK INDIAN BOCK DAM, PA	1,242,000 3,044,000 1,833,000	3,044,000
	PENNSYLVANIA ALLEGHENY RIVER, PA	1,003,000	,,=33,000
(N)	SAN JUAN HARBOR, PR.		10,000
	SOUTH CAROLINA		
(N) (N) (N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, SC CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON HARBOR, SC	2,420,000 5,425,000 2,459,000	2,420,000 6,626,000 2,469,000
			a, 404, 000

ROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTINATE	CONFEREN
(N) (N) (N) (N)	POLLY RIVER. SC. GEORGETOWN HAMBOR, SC. LITTLE RIVER INLET, SC & NC. MURRELLS THLET, SC & NC. PORT ROVAL HAMBOR, SC. SHIPYARD RIVER. SC. TOWN CREEK, SC.	305,000 3,509,000 64,000 65,000 1,192,000 428,000 491,000	386,00 3,509,00 64,00 65,00
88	LITTLE RIVER INLET, SC & NG	64,000	64,00
(N)	PORT ROYAL HARBOR, SC	1,192,000	1,192,00
(N) (N)	SHIPYARD RIVER, SC	428,000	1,192,00 428,00 491,00
(11)	SOUTH DAKOTA	491,000	491,000
(100)		e 070 boo	
(NP) (FC) (FC) (NP)	COLD BROOK LAKE, SD.	6,079,000 190,000 184,000 8,520,000 973,000 9,363,000	6,079,000 190,000
(FC) (MD)	ET RANDALL DAN - LAKE FRANCIS CARE SD	184,000	184,000
(FC)	LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MM.	973,000	973.00
(MP)	BIG BEND DAM - LAKE SHARPE, SD COLD BROOK LAKE, SD COLD BROOK LAKE, SD FT RANDALL DAM - LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD FT RANDALL DAM - LAKE FRANCIS CASE, SD LAKE TRAVERSE, SD & MM QAHE DAM - LAKE GAHE, SD & ND	9,363,000	190,00 184,00 8,520,00 973,00 9,363,00
	TENNESSEE		
(NP) (NP) (NP) (NP) (NP)	CENTER HILL LAKE, TN CHEATHAM LOCK AND DAM, TN. CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. DALE HOLLOW LAKE, TN. J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN. OLD MICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TN. TENNESSEE RIVER, TN. WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN.	5,261,000 5,895,000 4,192,000 4,082,000 4,410,000 7,281,000 13,637,000 650,000	5,251,000 6,895,000 4,192,000 4,082,000
(MP)	CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, TN	4,192,000	4,192,00
	J PERCY PRIEST DAM AND RESERVOIR. TN.	4,082,000	4,082,00
(NP)	OLD HICKORY LOCK AND DAM, TH	7,281,000	7,281,00
(N) (N)	WOLF RIVER HARBOR, TN	13,637,000	4,410,00 7,281,00 13,637,00 650,00
	TEXAS		
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	TEXAS AQUILLA LAKE, TX. ARKANSAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI BARDWELL LAKE, TX. BELTON LAKE, TX. BELTON LAKE, TX. BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES, TX. CANYON LAKE, TX. COMPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNETIEL, TX. COMPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNET, TX. ESTELLINE SPRINGS, TX. GIWM - CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. GIWM - CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. GIWM - CHANNEL TO VICTORIA, TX. GUF INTACOASTAL WATERWAY, TX. HONDS CHEEK LAKE, TX. JOE FOOL LAKE, TX. JOE FOOL LAKE, TX. MOLITI COMPUS CHARE, TX. MONTH SAN GARRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX. O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX. MONTH SAN GARRIEL DAM AND LAKE GEORGETOWN, TX. O C FISHER DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX. SAN RAYBURN DAM AND RESERVOIR, TX. STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM. TX. TRUNITY RIVER & BRIBUTARIES, TX. WACHON THE LAKE, TX. WITHNEY	623,000 1,139,000 1,210,000 2,249,000 1,610,000 1,038,000 2,640,000	623,000
(FC)	ARCANDAS - RED RIVER BASINS CHLORIDE CONTROL - AREA VI BARDWELL LAKE, TX	1,139,000	1,139,00
(FC)	BELTON LAKE, TX.	2,249.000	2,249,00
(FC)	BENBROOK LAKE, TX.	1,610,000	623,00 1,139,00 1,210,00 2,245,00 1,610,00 1,637,00 1,657,00 1,657,00 1,657,00 1,610,00 874,00 6,033,00 12,00 2,190,00
(FC) (FC) (FC) (N) (FC)	BUFFALO BAYOU AND TRIBUTARIES. TX.	1,038,000 3,649,000 1,657,000 8,74,000 2,190,000 6,033,000 12,000 2,130,000 2,870,000 136,000 1,585,000	1,036,00
(FC)	CANYON LAKE, TX.	1,657,000	1,657,00
(N) (EC)	CHANNEL TO PORT MANSFIELD, TX	1,610,000	1,510,00
(N) (MP) (FC) (FC)	CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, TX.	2,190,000	2,190,000
(MP) /50)	DENISON DAN - LAKE YEXOMA, TX	6,033,000	6,033,00
(FC)	FERRELLS BRIDGE DAM - LAKE O'THE PINES TY	12,000	12,00
(N) (N) (N)	FREEPORT HARBOR, TX.	2,870,000	2,870,000
	GIWW - CHANNEL TO VICTORIA TX	136,000	136,030
(FC)	GRANGER DAM AND LAKE, TX.	1,459,000	1.459.00
(FC) (N)	CHIF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY TY	1,459,000 1,965,000 17,905,000 1,907,000 6,923,000 910,000 218,000 2,303,000	6,033,00 12,00 2,130,00 3,670,00 1,565,00 1,565,00 1,565,00 1,565,00 1,565,00 1,565,00 1,565,00 1,565,00 1,507,00 5,823,00 8,10,000 2,10,000
(FC)	HORDS CREEK LAKE. TX.	1.007.000	1,007,000
(N)	HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL, TX	6,823,000	8,823,000
(FC) (FC) (FC)	JOE POOL LAKE, TX	810,000 218,000	810,000
(FC)	LAVON LAKE, TX	2,303,000	2,303,00
(FC)	LEWISVILLE DAW, TX	2,798,000	2,798,00
(N) (N)	MOUTH OF THE COLORADO RIVER, TX	1,780,000	1,780,00
(FC)	NAVARRO MILLS LAKE, TX.	1,388,000	1,368,00
(FC) (FC) (FC)	O C FISHER DAM AND LAKE, TX	1,120,000	1,120,00
(FC)	PAT MAYSE LAKE, TX	873,000	873,00
(FC) (FC)	RAY ROBERTS LAKE, TX.	783,000	783,00
(N) (MP)	SABINE - NECHES WATERWAY, TX.	11,946,000	11,946,00
(FC)	SOMERVILLE LAKE, TX	2,282,000	2,282.00
(FC) (N)	STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM, TX.	1,519,000	218 00 2,903,00 5,148,00 5,148,00 1,980,00 1,980,00 1,120,00 1,120,00 1,120,00 1,120,00 1,228,00 783,00 1,528,00 1,529,00 1,519,00 1,525,00 1,525,00
(MP)	TOWN BLUFF DAM - B A STEINHAGEN LAKE, TX.	1,502,000	1,502,00
(N)	TRINITY RIVER & TRIBUTARIES, TX	1,270,000	1,270,00
(FC) (FC)	WALLISVILLE LAKE, TX.	473.000	473.00
(MP) (FC)	WHITNEY LAKE, TX	\$10,000 213,000 2,395,000 5,145,000 1,286,000 1,286,000 1,286,000 1,286,000 1,286,000 1,286,000 1,526,000 1,526,000 1,516,000 1,516,000 1,516,000 1,516,000 1,526,000 1,526,000 2,282,000	1,270,00 2,014,00 473,00 3,659,00 2,326,00
	VERMONT		
	BALL MOUNTAIN LAKE, VT. NARROWS OF LAKE CHAMPLAIN, VT & NY. NORTH HARTLAND LAKE, VT. NORTH SPRINGFELD LAKE, VT. TOWNSHEND LAKE, VT. UNION VILLAGE DAM, VT.	548,000 645,000 399,000 483,000 506,000 363,000	648,00
(N) (FC)	NORTH HARTLAND LAKE. VT.	646,000 398.000	645,00 398.00
(FC) (FC) (FC) (FC)	NORTH SPRINGFIELD LAKE, VT	483,000	398.00 483.00
(FC) (FC)	UNION VILLAGE DAM. VT	506,000 363,000	506,00 363,00
	VIRGINIA		202,00
(N)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, VA	3,169,000	3,169,00 590,00
(N) (N) (N) (N)	CHANNEL TO NEWPORT NEWS, VA.	590,000	590,00
(N)	CHINCOTEAGUE HARBOR OF REFUGE. VA.	36.000	42,00
(N)	CHINCOTEAGUE INLET, VA	888,000	888,00
(N) (N) (N) (FC)	CRAMES CREEK, VA	321,000	321,00
	GATHRIGHT DAN AND LAKE MOONAW. VA.	2,169,000	590,00 42,00 36,00 886,00 321,00 597,00 2,169,00 2,169,00
(FC)			
(FC) (N) (N)	HAMPTON RDS, NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HBR, VA (DRIFT REM HOSKINS CREFK VA	647,000 195,000	647,00
(FC) (N) (N) (N) (MP)	ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, VA. CHANNEL TO NEWPORT NEWS VA. CHINCOTEAQUE MARBOR CHANNEL VA. CHINCOTEAQUE MARBOR OF REPUGE, VA. CHINCOTEAQUE INLET, VA. CHINCOTEAQUE INLET, VA. DEEP CREEK, VA. GATHRIGHT DAM AND LAKE MOOMAN, VA. HAMFTON RDS. NORFOLK & NEWPORT NEWS HER, VA (DRIFT REM HOSKINS CREEK, VA. JAMES RIVER CHANNEL VA. JOHN H KERR LAKE. VA. JOHN W FLANNAGAN DAM AND RESERVOIR, VA.	3,169,000 590,000 42,000 36,000 886,000 321,000 597,000 2,169,000 447,000 395,000 1,616,000 8,770,000 1,581,000	2,169,00 647,00 395,00 1,616,00 8,770,00 1,551,00

PROJECT		BUDGET ESTINATE	CONFEREN
(N)			***
	NORFOLK NAMBOR. VA.	200,000 5,131,000 351,000 2,256,000 357,000 570,000 389,000 457,000	200,00 5,131,00 361,00 2,266,00 337,00 670,00 389,00
(N) (FC)	NORTH FORK OF POUND RIVER LAKE, VA	351,000	351,00
(MP)	PHILPOTT LAKE, VA.	2,266,000	2,266,00
(N)	RUDEF INLET VA	337,000	337,00
(N) (N)	STARLINGS CREEK, VA.	389,000	369.00
(N) (N)	TANGIER CHANNEL, VA	467,000 1,355,000	467,00
(N)	THINGLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA	1,355,000	1,355,00
(N) (N)	NORFOLK HANBOR (PREVENTION OF DESTRUCTIVE DEPOSITS), V HORFOLK HANBOR, VA. HORTH FORK OF POLNO RIVER LAKE, VA. PHILPOTT LAKE, VA. GUEENS CREEK, VA. STARLINGS CREEK, VA. TAMOIEE INLET, VA. THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA. THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA. THIMBLE SHOAL CHANNEL, VA. MATERWAY ON THE COAST OF VIRGINIA, VA.	34,000 1,268,000	34,000 1,268,000
	WASHINGTON		
(MP) (N) (N) (MP)	WASHINGTON CHIEF JOSEPH DAM, WA. COLUMBIA RIVER AT BAKER BAY, WA & OR. COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHIMOOK AND SAND ISLAND, WA COLUMBIA RIVER STEEN OPERATION REVIEW, WA. ID. WT & D EVERETT HARBOR AND CHEMALIS RIVER, WA. HOWARD A HAMSOR DAM, WA. HOWARD A HAMSOR DAM, WA. HOWARD A HAMSOR DAM, WA. LITTLE GOOSE LOCK AND DAM, WA. LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA. LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA. NILL CREK LAKE, VINGIL B BENNINGTON LAKE, WA. STILLAYUER INFORM SHI DAM, WA. STILLAYUER RIVER, WA. STILLANDA AND TRIBUTARY WATERS, WA. STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA. STILLAGUAMISH STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA. STILLAGUAMISH STILLAGUAMISH STILLAGUAMIS	12,038,000 28,000 555,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,355,000 1,155,000 1,155,000 1,155,000	12,038,00
(N)	COLUMBIA RIVER BETWEEN CHINOOK AND SAND ISLAND. WA	7.000	7.00
(MP)	COLUMBIA RIVER SYSTEM OPERATION REVIEW, WA, ID, MT & O	559,000	559,00
(N) (N)	EVERETT HARBOR AND SNOHOWISH RIVER, WA	870,000	870,00
(FC)	HOWARD & HANSON DAM. WA	1 373 000	10,655,00
(FC) (MP)	ICE HARBOR LOCK AND DAM, WA.	14.884.000	14 884.00
(N) (N) (MP) (MP)	KENMORE NAVIGATION CHANNEL, WA	202,000	202,00
(N) (MD)	LAKE WASHINGTON SHIP CANAL, WA	6,877,000	6,877,00
(MP)	LONER GRANITE LOCK AND DAM, WA	4,8/8,000	4,978,00
(MP)	LOWER MONUMENTAL LOCK AND DAM, WA.	5.318.000	5 318.00
(FC)	MILL CREEK LAKE, VIRGIL B BENNINGTON LAKE, WA	731,000	731,00
(FC) (FC) (N)	NT ST HELENS, WA.	432,000	432,00
(NC)	OLYMPIA HABACE WA.	1,822,000	1,822,00
(N)	PUGET SOUND AND TRIBUTARY MATERS MA	1.185.000	1 155 00
(N) (N) (N)	QUILLAYUTE RIVER. WA	2,250,000 678,000 174,000 56,000 12,270,000	1,155,00 2,250,00 678,00
(N)	SEATTLE HARBOR, WA	678,000	
(FC)	STILLAGUAMISH RIVER, WA.	174,000	174,00
(FC) (MP)	TACOMA, PUYALLUP RIVER, WA.	56,000	56,00
(N)	WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR, WA	431,000	12,270,00
	WEST VIRGINIA		
(FC) (FC)	BEECH FORK LAKE. W.	956,000 1,741,000 1,187,000 3,000 1,295,000 1,376,000 1,376,000 1,632,000 857,000	966,00 1,741,00 1,187,00 1,296,00 3,00 10,00
(FC)	BLUESTONE LAKE, WV.	1,741,000	1,741,00
(FC) (FC)	BURNBVILLE LAKE, WV	1,187,000	1,187,00
(10)	ENGILING LARE, WV	1,295,000	1,295,00
(H) (FC)	ELKINS, WV.	10.000	10.00
(N)	KANANNA RIVER LOCKS AND DAMS, WV.	11,376,000	11,376.00
(N) (FC) (FC)	R D BAILEY LAKE. WV.	1,632,000	11,376,00 1,632,00 957,00
(FC) (FC)	415716787616 JAKAJUN LAKE, WV	107.000	\$57,00
(FC)	SUITON LAKE, WY.	1,310,000	1,310,00
(N)	BEECH FORK LAKE, WV. BLUESTONE LAKE, WV. BADWANSYLLE LAKE, WV. EAST LYNN LAKE, WV. ELK RIVER HARBOR, WV. ELKINS, WV. R D BAILEY LAKE, WV. SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV. SUMMERSVILLE LAKE, WV. TYGART LAKE, WV.	1,310,000 1,753,000 1,615,000	1,310,00 1,783,00 1,616,00
	WISCONSIN		
(N) (N) (FC)	ALGOMA HARBOR, WI	117,000	117,00
(FC)	EAU GALLE RIVER LAKE, WI.	560.000	107.00
(N)	FOX RIVER, WI	2,215.000	2,215.00
(N) (N) (N)	GREEN BAY HARBOR, WI	1,029,000	2,215,00 1,029,00 130,00 300,00 43,00
(N)	KENOSHA HARBOR, WI.	130,000	130,00
(N) (FC)	LA FARCE HARSON, WILLIAM AND	300,000	300.00
(N)	MANITONOC HARBOR. WI	257.000	43.00
(N) (N) (N)	MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WI	3,123.000	3,123,00
(N)	SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI.	883,000	883,00
(N) (N)	ALGOMA HARBOR, WI CONNUCOPIA MARBOR, WI CONNUCOPIA MARBOR, WI FOX RIVER, WI FOX RIVER, WI RENDSHA MARBOR, WI KEMOUNEE HARBOR, WI LA FARGE LANE, WI MANITONOC HARBOR, WI SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI SHEBOYGAN HARBOR, WI	117,000 107,000 860,000 2,215,000 1,026,000 130,000 43,000 257,000 3,123,000 883,000 2,531,000 760,000	300,00 43,00 257,00 3,123,00 883,00 2,831,00 760,00
	WYOMING		
(FC)	JACKSON HOLE LEVEES. WY	979,000	979,00
	CIVIL WORKS ENERGY DATA SYSTEM.	60,000	
-	COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM	4,000,000	2,000,00 480,00
	DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESEARCH (ACE)	3,000,000	460,00
	DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT PROGRAM (DOTS)	3,350.000	1.675.00
	EARTHQUAKE HAZARDS PROGRAM FOR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES	1,250,000	1,675,00
	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW GUIDE FOR OPERATIONS (ERGO)	2,000,000	
	INCOMPUTE MASTE SITE RESTORATION	3,000,000	
	MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN MAINSTEN MODEL DEVELOPMENT	1,000,000	6,000,00 500,00 1,900,00 20,00 5,000,00
	MONITORING OF COMPLETED COASTAL PROJECTS.	2,100,000	1,900,00
	NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM	20.000	20.00
		7,000,000	5,000,00
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP)		
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PROGRAMS (NEPP) NATIVE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION	4,000,000	\$,000,00
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPARENWESS PRODUCTS (MEPP) HATIVE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION HATIVEAL RESOLUTES INVENTORY SALATIVALATION	4,000,000	2,000,00
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PACOAAMS (NEPP) NATIVE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NRI) NATURAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL SUPPORT (NRTS) PEER REVIEW PROGRAM	4,000,000 500,000 1,800,000	2,000,00
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDWESS PROGRAMS (NEPP) NATIVE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NEI) AND REPATRIATION NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NEI) AND REPATRIATION PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS FOR ORM	4,000,000 500,000 1,800,000 400,000 3,500,000	2,000,00
	AATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDWESS PACOAAMS (NEPP) MATINE AMERICAN GRAVES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION ATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (MIL) ATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (MIL) PER REVIEW PACAREMENTS POR GAM. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS POR GAM.	4,000,000 500,000 1,800,000 400,000 3,500,000 4,000,000	2,000,00
	NATIONAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PAOGAAMS (NEPP) NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NRI) NATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NRI) NATURAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL SUPPORT (NRTS) PERREVIEW PHOGRAM PERREVIEW PHOGR	4,000,000 500,000 1,800,000 3,500,000 4,000,000 11,498,000	7,000,00
	HATIGNAL EMERGENCY PREPAREDUESS PADODAMS (NEPP) HATIVE AMERICAN GOALES PROTECTION AND REPATRIATION HATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NEI) HATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NEI) PEER REVIEW PROGRAM. PERFORMATICE MEASUREMENTS FOR OAM POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM. PROJECT CONDITION SINVEYS. PROTECT, CLEAR AND STRAIGHTEN CHANNELS (SECTION 3) PRAL TIME MATER CONTINUE RESAMANT MEMORY (MEMORY)	4,000,000 500,000 1,800,000 3,500,000 4,000,000 11,490,000 50,000	7,000,00
	MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL WORKS ENERGY DATA SYSTEM COASTAL INLET RESEARCH PROGRAM. DREDGING DATA AND LOCK PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM DREDGING OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH (DOER). DREDGING OPERATIONS TECHNICAL SUPPORT PROGRAM (DOTS). EATHCUARE MAZER PROGRAM POR BUILDINGS AND LIFELINES EMAINONMENTAL REVIEW GUIDE FOR OPERATIONS (ERGO) ILISPECTING UNGFE SUPPORT PROGRAM (DOTS). HERDENNEN OF COMPLETED COASTAL PROJECTS NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. MOLIECTS NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. ANTIGNAL EMERGENNE PROTECTION AND REPAIRLATION MATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NNI). MATURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY (NNI). PERR REVIEW PROGRAM PERROMANCE MEASURAMENTS FOR OWN PERR REVIEW PROGRAM PERROMANCE MEASURAME PERROMANCE MEASURAME.	4,000,000 500,000 1,800,000 3,500,000 4,000,000 11,490,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 5,000,000	2,000,00 

TYPE OF PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE
	REMOVAL OF SUNKEN VERSELS. REPAIR EVALUATION MAINTENANCE RESEARCH (REMA'II) RIVER COMPLIENCE ICE RESEARCH RIVER COMPLIENCE ICE RESEARCH SCHEDLING HYDROGRAPHIC GERATIONAL AIRBONNE LIDAR SURVE SCHEDLING OF NORTHERN BOUNDARY WATERS WATER OPENATIONS TECHNICAL SUMPORT (WDTS) PROGRAM. WATEROPENE COMMERCE STATISTICS WETLANDS ACTION PLAN INDLEMENTATION. METLANDS ACTION PLAN INDLEMENTATION.	1,000,000 6,000,000 1,150,000 1,750,000 3,058,000 4,108,000 4,200,000 6,00,000 -55,770,000	500,000 1,550,000 1,750,000 3,000,000 3,000,000 4,200,000 -57,770,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,749,875,000	1,703,697,000

TYPE OF PROJECT: (N) NAVIGATION (RE) BEACH EROSION CONTROL (FC) FLODO CONTROL (MP) MULTIPURPOSE, INCLUDING POWER

# TITLE II

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs and activities of the Bureau of Reclamation. Additional items of conference agreement are discussed below.

# GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

Amendment No. 17: Appropriates \$12,684,000 for General Investigations instead of \$13,114,000 as proposed by the House and \$11,234,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 18: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$300,000 for the completion of the feasibility study of alternatives for meeting drinking water needs on the Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation and surrounding communities in South Dakota. Funding for this project (\$150,000) is included in the amount appropriated in Amendment No. 17.

# CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

Amendment No. 19: Appropriates \$411,046,000 for Construction Program instead of \$417,301,000 as proposed by the House and \$390,461,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement includes \$12,069,000 for Miscellaneous Project Programs of the Central Valley Project, California, which includes \$200,000 for the Salmon Stamp Program as described in the House Report, \$250,000 for the Colusa Basin Drainage District Management Project, and \$5,750,000 for the unscreened diversions program, which is \$250,000 less than the budget request.

The conferees have provided \$6,540,000 for the Sacramento River Division of the Central Valley Project, California. The amount provided includes: \$3,000,000 for the completion of engineering and design and initiation of construction of a new fish screen and fish recovery facilities at the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District's Hamilton City Pumping Plant; \$1,000,000 for the continuation of the pilot research pumping facility evaluation; \$500,000 for the program to find solutions for passage for endangered and threatened fish at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam; \$865,000 for the installation and evaluation of alternative fish guidance systems at Reclamation District 108 and Reclamation District 1004; and \$300,000 for the Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Captive Broodstock Program.

The conference agreement includes \$5,067,000 for the Trinity River Restoration Program, California, the same as the budget request and the amount provided in the House and Senate bills. Included in this total is \$500,000 to carry out the interagency agreement between the Bureau of Reclamation and the Hoopa Valley Tribe regarding the Cooperative for Comprehensive Fisheries Management and funds necessary to complete the Environmental Impact Statement in support of the instream flow decision the Secretary of the Interior is required to render in 1996. On July 17, 1995, one of the eight spillway gates at Folsom Dam in California failed resulting in an uncontrolled flow of 40,000 cubic feet per second of water from the reservoir. The total loss of water was about 360,000 acre-feet, which is approximately 35% of total reservoir capacity. The conferees are aware that the Bureau of Reclamation has begun work to design a replacement for the damaged gate, with the goal of having the replacement gate installed in 1996. Because of the timing of this event, no funds were included in either the House bill or the Senate bill to accomplish this work. The conferees agree that the Bureau of Reclamation may reprogram up to \$6,000,000 of the funds available to it in fiscal year 1996, upon notification of the House and Senate Appropriations Committees, for the removal and replacement of the damaged gate and the remediation of the remaining spillway gates at Folsom Dam. If additional funds are required in fiscal year 1996 to complete the work, the Bureau of Reclamation should request those funds following the normal reprogramming procedures.

On August 22, 1995, the Department of the Interior submitted to the House and Senate subcommittees a request to reprogram \$5,000,000 to the Los Angeles Area Water Reclamation and Reuse, California, project. Because of the unanticipated funding needs which have arisen, including the need to repair Folsom Dam in California and the need to make additional dam safety repairs at Ochoco Dam in Oregon, the conferees have agreed to defer, without prejudice, action on this reprogramming request.

The conference agreement includes \$1,500,000 for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation as proposed by the Senate. The House had deleted the funds requested by the Administration for this program. Within the amounts provided for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, \$500,000 shall be made available to sup-port the Spring Run and Coho Salmon Programs approved by the House under the Central Valley Project, Miscellaneous Project Programs, California, and \$100,000 shall be made available to support the Kaweah River Delta Corridor Project. The conferees are concerned about certain grants that have been made by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation to organizations known to be hostile to the interests of private landowners and those engaged in the productive and lawful use of public lands. The conferees have included the funding cited above for the Foundation based upon the understanding that its grant award procedures have been considerably tightened, and that the Foundation will make a concerted effort to avoid making further grants to the types of organizations described above. The Foundation's performance in this regard will be closely monitored by the Committees during the coming year.

The conference agreement includes \$5,000,000 for the Wetlands Development Program. From within that amount, the conferees direct that \$3,600,000 be utilized to continue the Caddo Lake wetlands project in Texas.

The conferees agree with the language contained in the House Report regarding the Rillito Creek, Arizona, High Plains Groundwater Recharge Demonstration project. In addition, the conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation to continue the Equus Beds recharge project in Kansas. Amendment No. 20: Provides that \$94,225,000 of the funds appropriated under the Construction Program shall be available for transfer to the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund for construction of the Central Arizona Project as proposed by the House instead of \$92,725,000 as proposed by the Senate.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Amendment No. 21: Appropriates \$273,076,000 for Operation and Maintenance instead of \$278,759,000 as proposed by the House and \$267,393,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Due to the budgetary situation, the conferees have provided \$273,076,000 for the Bureau of Reclamation's operation and maintenance program, which is \$15,683,000 below the budget request and \$1,224,000 below the amount appropriated in fiscal year 1995. The conferees expect the Bureau of Reclamation to use the flexibility available to it in managing the operation and maintenance program to ensure that the most critical maintenance needs are met. In that regard, the conferees agree with the language contained in the House Report regarding the growth in the Associated Operation and Maintenance Programs and expect the Bureau of Reclamation to derive a significant share of the reduction below the budget request from the various Associated O&M Programs in order to retain as much money as possible for operation and maintenance of projects.

The conferees note that the backlog in replacements, additions, and extraordinary maintenance items continues to grow for the Central Valley Project in California. In addition, the conferees are concerned that the Bureau of Reclamation has failed to comply with the directive to submit a plan, by February of 1995, for reducing the backlog in replacements, additions, and extraordinary maintenance items in a timely manner and direct that this previously requested plan be submitted as soon as possible. The conference agreement does include \$4,625,000 for replacements, additions, and extraordinary maintenance items, the same as the budget request. The conferees urge the Bureau of Reclamation to continue its efforts to reach consensus with the canal authorities on the manner that those funds are allocated. The conference agreement also includes \$5,454,000 for operation and maintenance of the Trinity River Division. The amount provided includes sufficient funds to continue the monitoring and tagging tasks, repair of winter damage, and sediment control needed for continued management of the Trinity River fishery.

The conferees have been informed that landowners and farmers suffered flooding and destruction of crops in March 1995 from waters of the Arroyo Pasajaro in Fresno County, California. The waters were diverted from the San Luis Canal, jointly operated by the Bureau of Reclamation and the State of California. The conferees direct the Bureau to evaluate the damage and report back to Congress on whether Federal responsibility is involved and if steps should be taken to provide compensation to those suffering damage.

### CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT RESTORATION FUND

The conferees direct that the \$1,000,000 requested for the San Joaquin River Basin Resource Management Initiative, and any funds remaining from previous fiscal years, not be expended for that purpose. This action is consistent with action of the Congress during consideration of H.R. 1158. In the reports accompanying that bill, the Bureau of Reclamation was directed not to obligate any additional funds in fiscal year 1995 for the San Joaquin River Basin Resource Management Initiative.

The conference agreement includes \$12,281,000 for the Shasta Dam Temperature Control Device, \$1,000,000 above the budget request.

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENC
GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS		
ARIZONA		
TUCSON/PHOENIX WATER CONSERVATION AND EXCHANGE STUDY VERDE RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT STUDY	50,000 125,000	50,000 125,000
CALIFORNIA		
DEL NORTE CNTY/CRESCENT CITY WASTEWATER RECLAMATION ST FORT BRAGG WATER RECLAMATION STUDY Imperial valley water Reclamation & Reuse Study Lower owens River Environmental Study Malibu Creek Fishery Emmancement Study Santon Sea Research Project San Francisco Area Water Reclamation Study O Calle Compared Water Reclamation Study	200.000	300,000 500,000 175,000  100,000 1,000,000 50,000
SO CALIF COASTAL WATER SUPPLY STUDY SO CALIF COMPREHENSIVE WATER SUPPLY & RECLAMATION STUD	750,000	750,000
COLORADO		
GRAND VALLEY PROJECT WATER CONSERVATION STUDY Southwest colorado rural water supply Yampa ruver water supply study	50,000 78,000 50,000	50,000 50,000
DANG		
IDAHO RIVER SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT	100,000 150,000	100,000 150,000
KANSAS		
KANSAS COMPREHENSIVE INVESTIGATION	100,000	75,000
MONTANA		
WESTERN MONTANA WATER CONSERVATION STUDY	200,000 140,000	200,000 120,000
NEBRASKA		
NEBRASKA WATER SUPPLY ASSESSMENT	100,000	75,000
NEVADA		
WALKER RIVER BASIN		150,000
NEW MEXICO		
RIO GRANDE/LOW FLOW CONVEYANCE CHANNEL San Juan River Gallup - Navajo Water Supply, NM	100,000	75,000 100,000
OKLAHOMA		
OKLAHOMA WATER SUPPLY STUDY	100,000	75,000
OREGON		
CARLTON LAKE RESTORATION. CENTRAL OREGON IRRIG SYS CONSERVATION PROJ FEASIBILITY UPPER DESCHUTES PROJECT. GRANDE RONDE RIVER BASIN NORTHWEST OREGON REGIONAL WATER SUPPLY. OREGON STREAM RESTORATION PLANMING STUDY. OREGON STREAM RESTORATION PLANMING STUDY. OREGON STREAM CONSERVATION PLANMING STUDY. SOUTHERN OREGON COASTAL RIVER BASINS.	\$0,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 300,000 1\$0,000 200,000 50,000 100,000	50,000 200,000 200,000 200,000 300,000 150,000 200,000 50,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
CHEYENNE RIVER SIGUX RESERVATION		150,000
SOUTH DAKOTA		
		150,000

PROJECT TITLE	BUOGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
TEXAS		
EDWARDS AQUIFER REGIONAL WATER RESOURCES & MONT STUDY. RINCON BAYOU-NUECES MARSH WETLANDS RESTOR/ENNANCE PROJ RIO GRANDE/RIO BRAVO INTERNATIONAL BASIN ASSESSMENT RIO GRANDE CONVEYANCE CANAL/PIPELINE	240,000 160,000 200,000	240,000 150,000 150,000 150,000
UTAH		
ASHLEY/BRUSH CREEKS OPTIMIZATION STUDY	75,000	75,000 100,000
WASHINGTON		
WASHINGTON RIVER BASIN PLANNING	75,000	75,000
VARIOUS		
	1,877,000 50,000 2,435,000 78,000 78,000 180,000 1,685,000 1,685,000 200,000 200,000 200,000	1,635,000 432,000 120,000 250,000 140,000 1,332,000 200,000 250,000
TOTAL, GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS	13,802,000	12,584,000
CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM		
CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION AND		
COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS		
CALIFORNIA		
CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT: AUBURN-POLEON SOUTH UNIT DELTA DIVISION HISCELLANEOUS PROJECT PROGRAMS. SACRAMENTO RIVER DIVISION SAN FELTPE DIVISION SAN FELTPE DIVISION TRINITY RIVER RESTORATION PROGRAM. LOS ANGELES AMEA WATER RECLAMATION/REUSE PROJECT. BANCKIBH WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM. SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM. SAN DIEGO AREA WATER RECLAMATION PROGRAM. SAN JOSE WATER RECLAMATION/REUSE-TITLE 16.	994,UUU	
COLORADO		
GRAND VALLEY UNIT, TITLE II, CRBSCP	5,799,000 1,231,000 300,000	5,799,000 1,231,000 300,000
IDANO		

GARRISON DIVERSION UNIT, P-SMBP...... 24,900,000

NORTH DAKOTA

OREGON

60,000

24,900,000

6,875,000

55

PROJECT TITLE	BUDGET ESTIMATE	CONFERENCE ALLOWANCE
South Dakota		
BELLE FOURCHE UNIT, P-SMBP	3,802,000	3,802,000
ILLE FOURCHE UNIT, P-SMBP. ID-DAKGTA PROJECT	2,500,000	11,500,000
	10,800,000	22,300,000
TEXAS		
NORTHWEST WASTEWATER REUSE PROJECT		1,500,000
WASHINGTON		
COLUMBIA BASIN PROJECT	1,698,000	2,573,000
VARIOUS		
COLUMBIA/SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY	15,000,000	13.500.000
ATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION	3,255,000	1,500,000
ENUMIGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROG., UC R	5.373.000	8,373,000
ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROG. DN R	170.000	2,170,000
INOLAN WATER AIGHTS SETTLEMENT.	4,357,000	4,357,000
TITLE I DIVISION, CRESCP	2,300,000	2,300,000
COLUMBIA/SNAKE RIVER SALMON RECOVERY. NATIONAL FIM AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROG. UC R ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVINTION/RECOVERY PROJ. LC REG ENDANGERED SPECIES RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROG. PM R INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETLEMENT TITLE I DIVISION CRESCO TITLE I DIVISION CRESCO TITLE I OVISION CRESCO MATER CONSERVATION CHALLENGE PARTNERSHIPS.	8,000,000	500,000
SUSTOTAL, REGULAR CONSTRUCTION	157,136,000	187,425,000
DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION:		
BRANTLEY PROJECT, NE.	510,000	510,000
COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM, AZ - CA	1,800,000	1,800,000
KLAMATH PROJECT, OR ~ CA.	2,818,000	2,818,000
LEADVILLE/ARKANSAS RIVER RECOVERY. CO.	100,000	570,000
NC GEE CREEK PROJECT. OK.	125,000	125.000
MOUNTAIN PARK PROJECT, OK.	700,000	700,000
NUECES RIVER PROJECT. TX.	7,250,000	7,250,000
RAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION: BOISE PROJECT, IO. BAATLEY PROJECT, NM. COLORADO RIVER FRONT WORK AND LEVEE SYSTEM, A2 - CA KLAMATH PROJECT, OR - CA. LACE MEREDITH SALINITY CONTROL, NM - TX. LEADVILLE/ANKLMARAS RIVER RECOVERY, CO. MC GEE CREEK PROJECT, OK. MCUNTAIN PANK PROJECT, OK. MCUNTAIN PANK PROJECT, CA. NUTCES RIVER PROJECT, CA. NUTCES RIVER PROJECT, CA. NUTCES RIVER PROJECT, CA. NUTCES RIVER PROJECT, CA. NORTH LOUP DIVISION, PSMOP, NE. OANE LUNIT, P-SMMP, SD. RECLAMATION RECEATION MANAGEMENT ACT-TITLE 26, VARI TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION, AZ. WELANDE COMMUNITY DICH PROJECT, NM. WETLANDS OFVELOPMENT, VARIOUS YAKIMA FISH PASSAGE/PROTECTIVE FACILITIES, WA. SUBTOTAL DOBALMAGE AND MUNC CONSTRUCTION	25,000	25,000
NORTH LOUP DIVISION, P-SMBP, NE	900,000	900,000
RECLAMATION RECREATION MANAGEMENT ACT-TITLE 28. VART	3,500,000	3,500,000
TRES RIOS WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION, AZ	500,000	500,000
VELARDE COMMUNITY DITCH PROJECT, NM	1 190 000	1,500,000
YAKIMA FISH PASSAGE/PROTECTIVE FACILITIES, WA	1,210,000	5,000,000 1,210,000
SUBTOTAL, DRAINAGE AND MINOR CONSTRUCTION	23,203,000	27,843,000
SAFETY OF DANS PROGRAM:		
GRUCKED RIVER, OCHOCO DAM, CR.	6,000,000	6,000,000
INITIATE SAFETY OF DAME CORRECTIVE ACTION	1,270,000	1,270,000
SAFETY OF DAMS COMMECTIVE ACTION STUDIES.	2,800,000	2,500,000
SALI RIVER PROJECT, BARTLETT DAM, AZ.	8,085,000	8.085,000
SAN CARLOS IRRIGATION - COOLIDGE DAN, AZ	1,103,000	1,103,000
UMATILLA PROJECT, COLD SPRINGS DAM, OR.	5,250,000	5,250.000
SAFETY OF DAMS PROGRAM: CROCKED RIVER, OCHOCO DAM, OR DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR DAM SAFETY PROGRAM INITIATE SAFETY OF DAMB CORRECTIVE ACTION SAFETY OF DAMB CORRECTIVE ACTION STUDIES. SALT RIVER PROJECT BATLETT DAMA AZ SALT RIVER PROJECT HORSESHOE DAM, AZ SAN CARLOS IRRIGATION - COOLIDOE DAM, AZ UMATILLA PROJECT, COLD SAFINGS DAM, CR YARIMA, BUMPING LAKE DAM, WA	1,825,000	1,825,000
SUBTOTAL, SAFETY OF DAMS	65,182,000	62,182,000
REHABILITATION AND BETTERMENT: Ogden River Project. ut	975 000	975 000
CODEN AIVER PROJECT, UT.	1,300,000	1,300,000
WEBER BASIN PROJECT, UT	2,474,000	2,474,000
•	4,749,000	4,749,000
SUBJUTAL, REMABILITATION AND BETTERMENT		
SUBJUTAL, REMABILITATION AND BETTERMENT	450.000	
SUBJUTAL, REMABILITATION AND BETTERMENT	450,000 771,000	1,271,000
SUBTOTAL, REMABILITATION AND BETTERMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY: ENERGY/NATER PRODUCT EFFICIENCY STANDARDS GROUNDWATER RECHARGE DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM IMPROVED RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT CONTROL TECHNOLOGY ADVANCEMENT WATERSHED MODELING SYSTEMS INITIATIVE	450,000 771,000 300,000	1,271,000

TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION AND REMABILITATION AND COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN FUND AND PARTICIPATING PROJECTS	8,321,000	6,531,000 286,731,000
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION AND REMABILITATION AND COLORADO RIVER BASIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN FUND AND PARTICIPATING PROJECTS	258, 591,000	286,731,000
TOTAL, CONSTRUCTION AND REMAILLITATION AND COLORADO RIVER BABIN SALINITY CONTROL PROJECTS COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN FUND PARTICIPATING PROJECTS	258,591,000	286,731,000
UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN FUND AND Participating projects		
AND PARTICIPATING PROJECTS		
COLORADO		
NIMAS-LA PLATA PROJECT OLORES PARTICIPATING PROJECT	4,879,000 3,470,000	10,000,000 3,470,000
UTAH		
ENTRAL UTAH PROJECT, BONNEVILLE UNIT ISH AND WILDLIFE FACILITIES, AZ, CO, NM, UT, WY	13,579,000 1,920,000	13,579,000 1,920,000
TOTAL, COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT	23,848,000	28,969,000
COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT		
CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT		
ARIZONA		
ENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, WATER DEVELOPMENT (LCRODF) ENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, SAFETY OF DAMS ENTAL ARIZONA PROJECT, GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY	92,725,000 29,411,000	\$4,225,000 29,411,000 1,842,000
TOTAL, COLORADO RIVER BASIN PROJECT	122,136,000	125,478,000
ASSOCIATED ITEMS		
NOISTRIBUTED REDUCTION BASED ON ANTICIPATED DELAYS	-28,632,000	-32,132,000
	375,943,000	411,046,000
LOAN PROGRAM		
ARIZONA		
OHONO O'ODHAM NATION - SCHUK TOAK DISTRICT CALIFORNIA	3,043,000	3,043,000
CASTROVILLE IRRIGATION WATER SUPPLY PROJECT CHING BASIN DESALINATION PROJECT EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT NO. 3 BALINAS VALLEY WATER RECLAWATION FACILITY TEMESCAL VALLEY PROJECT-ELSINGRE VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATE	1,500,000 1,100,000 2,200,000 1,100,000 700,000	1,500,000 1,100,000 2,200,000 1,100,000 700,000
COLONADO		
JTE MOUNTAIN UTE	1,500,000	1,500,000
OREGON		
OUGLAS COUNTY - MILLTOWN HILL	100,000	100,000
CAN ADMINISTRATION	428,000 5,000,000	425,000
TOTAL, LOAN PROGRAM,	16,668,000	11,668,000

# TITLE III

### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

The summary tables at the end of this title set forth the conference agreement with respect to the individual appropriations, programs, and activities of the Department of Energy. Additional items of conference agreements are discussed below.

#### FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT LEVELS

The Department of Energy has announced a strategic alignment initiative which would reduce the number of Federal employees by 27 percent over five years. The Department has provided a summary of recommended employment levels and proposed reductions by organization for fiscal year 1996. The conferees expect the Department to make these proposed employment reductions in those areas where the conference agreement does not reduce employment levels below those requested by the Department. The Department is to report to the Committees on Appropriations the actual employment levels as of March 1996 compared to the fiscal year 1995 baseline and the Department's proposed employment levels.

# SUPPORT SERVICE CONTRACTORS

The conferees are aware of the extensive use of support service contractors by the Department of Energy at headquarters and the field offices. In many instances these contractors are performing inherently governmental functions such as assisting in program management and program execution duties, representing program organizations at meetings inside and outside the Department, preparing briefing materials, newsletters, and budget justifications, and providing daily administrative and clerical support.

There are clearly instances where it is cost-effective to use support service contractors to support Federal programs. This would include functions such as custodial services, guard services, operation of emergency communications centers and mail rooms, and facility and grounds maintenance. In addition to these types of commercial services, there are situations where technical expertise is needed to augment Federal efforts. These technical services would include such tasks as automated data processing systems development for the Department's corporate financial, procurement, and personnel systems, systems review and reliability analyses, and economic and environmental analyses. These tasks are characterized by specific project schedules, milestones, and deliverables.

The conferees have no objection to continuing support service contracts which can be documented to be cost-effective and which provide specific technical expertise not available in the Federal work force at the Department. However, the Department has increasingly used support service contractors to augment the Federal work force for nonspecific functions. This may be done to circumvent Federal employment ceilings or funding constraints or because it is easier to hire an outside contractor than to manage properly the existing Federal work force. After excluding those support service contracts which are documented to reflect the cost benefits of contracting for the service, and those contracts which provide specific technical expertise tied to a schedule and a deliverable, the conferees expect funding for all other support service contracts to decrease by 50 percent in fiscal year 1996. All other categories of support service contracts should be reduced by 15 percent in accordance with the Department's strategic alignment initiative. The Department is directed to submit semi-annual reports on the use of all support services contracts at headquarters and the field. By organization, appropriation, and program, this report should include the name of the contractor, fiscal year 1996 funding, number of employers, and a brief description of the work performed.

# DEPARTMENTAL BUDGET JUSTIFICATIONS

The Department does not budget for Federal employees in a consistent manner throughout the whole organization. Using existing budget justification materials, it is difficult to determine where each Department of Energy employee is located and the costs associated with each. To alleviate these discrepancies, in the fiscal year 1997 budget request the Department is directed to include all salaries and related expenses in the program that manages the employee. In addition to salaries and benefits, the personnel cost for each employee should include all related costs such as space rental, utilities, materials and supplies, telecommunications, and building maintenance. The administrative services group will determine the amount of these costs which should be charged to each program organization to ensure consistency in budgeting.

ganization to ensure consistency in budgeting. Within each appropriation account, each organization should have one program direction line for all full-time equivalent employees (FTEs), both field and headquarters, and provide object class information for all expenses. No Federal employees are to be funded in program accounts. Any difference between the average cost of the fully loaded FTE between specific programs should be explained in the budget justification.

#### ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES

Amendment No. 22: Appropriates \$2,727,407,000 for Energy Supply, Research and Development Activities instead of \$2,576,700,000 (less \$1,000,000) as proposed by the House and \$2,793,324,000 as proposed by the Senate, and deletes language proposed by the Senate providing no more than \$7,500,000 for termination of the Gas Turbine-Modular Helium Reactor program.

### SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY PROGRAMS

Funding of \$2,000,000 for the solar international program is to be allocated to non-governmental organizations which are active in joint implementation activities to develop specific international energy projects.

ergy projects. Funding of \$400,000 is provided to study the feasibility of piping treated effluent from Santa Rosa to the Geysers for injection.

The conferees have provided \$55,300,000 for biofuels energy systems. An amount of \$27,650,000 is allocated for the categories

of biochemical and thermochemical conversion, of which \$3,000,000 is for the Federal share of a 50/50 cost-shared biomass ethanol production plant in Gridley, California, and the amount also includes the request for capital equipment. With the remaining funds, the conferees support and fully fund the biomass power projects in Vermont and Hawaii, and have provided from the remainder of available funds \$3,940,000 for the regional biomass program.

The conferees have not provided funding for the ocean thermal energy systems program, nor technical assistance and other support for the Kotzebue, Alaska, project for a wind energy system. Within the total funding provided for solar energy, the conferees have included \$2,988,000, the same as the budget request,

Within the total funding provided for solar energy, the conferees have included \$2,988,000, the same as the budget request, for the renewable energy production incentive (REPI) program. The conferees urge the Department to fully fund both tier 1 and tier 2 projects as outlined in its recently published regulations. REPI program funding shall be available only for so long as the tax credit for electricity produced from certain renewable sources or the energy investment credit for solar and geothermal property (authorized by sections 1914 and 1916 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992, respectively) remain in effect.

Within funds available for hydrogen research, \$250,000 shall be made available to an institution where expertise in electrochemical (fuel cells), thermochemical and photochemical reactions for hydrogen production may be synergistically studied and the application to gas storage and alternate vehicle technology may be integrated.

The conferees have provided \$1,500,000 for the hydropower program which includes funding to support the cost-shared program to develop an advanced energy-efficient turbine which reduces environmental impacts on fish species.

#### NUCLEAR ENERGY

The conferees realize that sufficient funding has not been provided to complete all tasks as proposed in the Department's budget request for the advanced light water reactor program. Therefore, the conferees urge the Department to apply funds within the light water reactor program to cost-effectively complete essential activities.

Termination funding of \$7,500,000, the same as the budget request, has been provided for the orderly close-out of the gas turbine-modular helium reactor program. An orderly close-out shall include only the summary documentation of existing technical data and information. All design, development, and test programs shall be terminated.

The conference agreement provides \$25,000,000 for electrometallurgical research and development in the technology development program for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management. As recommended by the National Academy of Sciences' assessment of the electrometallurgical approach for treating spent nuclear fuel, the conferees expect the Department to develop a plan to support the EBR–II demonstration using this technology. If this is successful, the Department should review the program for application to other types of spent fuel and waste management issues. No funding for the Soviet-designed reactor safety program is included in the Energy Supply, Research and Development appropriation account. Funding for this activity has been included in the Other Defense Activities appropriation account.

## ISOTOPES

The conferees agree to provide a total of \$3,000,000— \$1,000,000 in fiscal year 1996 in addition to \$2,000,000 from funds appropriated for this purpose in fiscal year 1995—to continue development of the National Biomedical Tracer Facility (NBTF). This funding should be used to acquire three site specific conceptual designs from among the strongest submissions received during the project definition study. Additionally, the Department should assess all permanent or interim upgrade NBTF proposals, including any from national laboratories, according to a consistent set of evaluation criteria including the capacity to produce a wide range of isotopes for medical and research purposes; research, technology transfer, education and training capabilities; and overall cost effectiveness considering lifetime costs of the facility as well as publicprivate partnerships and cost-sharing by state and local partners.

The conferees support using up to \$750,000 of available funds within this account for completion of the Hanford medical isotopes business planning and program development project.

# ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH

The Radiation Effects Research Foundation (RERF) is a private foundation co-funded by the governments of the United States and Japan to study the effects of radiation on the survivors of the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombings. Since 1946, the National Academy of Sciences has provided support and oversight of scientific research on the consequences of the acute radiation exposures suffered by the population of these two cities, pursuant to an international agreement that co-funds activities at a 50-50 cost share, but this work has been threatened by the dramatically declining value of the dollar versus the yen. The conferees direct the Administration to continue to work with the National Academy of Sciences to achieve additional cost savings in this program and with the Japanese government to review areas for cost savings to reflect U.S. budgetary constraints. The appropriate committees should be informed of any funding changes before they become effective.

The conferees are also interested in the assessment of the continuing effectiveness and value of this program that is being conducted by a scientific committee jointly appointed by the U.S. and Japanese governments, and expect the Department to review the continued funding for this activity and report to the appropriate Congressional committees prior to hearings on the fiscal year 1997 budget and upon completion of the international scientific committee's review.

## ENERGY RESEARCH

## Biological and environmental research

The conferees support the important work conducted at the Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute. The conferees further understand that the Institute is reviewing ways to reduce its operating costs to the Department of Energy and to increase access to its facilities by other Federal and non-Federal entities having research needs. The conferees support these efforts to reduce costs and to meet both Federal and non-Federal needs and requirements.

Any general reductions to this account should be allocated equitably across all program elements without terminating any programs unilaterally.

# Fusion

The conferees have provided \$244,144,000, an increase of \$15,000,000 over the House recommendation, for the fusion energy program. This funding is to support a program in plasma science and fusion technology, and continue United States participation in the engineering design activities phase of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor project to which the United States is committed through fiscal year 1998. The conferees do not agree with the Senate language which recommended transferring computer work, termination, severance and separation costs to other activities within the Department, and transferring the heavy ion fusion program to defense activities.

With little prospect for increased funding for the fusion base program over the next several years, it will be necessary for the program to restructure its strategy, content and near-to-mediumterm objectives. The restructured program should emphasize continued development of fusion science, increased attention to concept improvement and alternative approaches to fusion, and development and testing of the low-activation structural materials so important for fusion's attractiveness as an energy source.

The Department of Energy, with participation of the fusion community and the Fusion Energy Advisory Committee, is instructed to prepare a strategic plan to implement such a restructured program, to be completed by December 31, 1995. This plan should assume a constant level of effort in the base program for the next several years; as appropriate, it should be integrated with plans of the international fusion program; and it should address the institutional makeup of a domestic program consistent with the funding assumptions.

The conferees believe that, because of the stringent budget realities facing this Nation, the promise of fusion energy can only be realized through international collaboration. The high cost of fusion development points to the increasing importance of international cooperation as a means of designing, building, and financing major magnetic fusion facilities in the future. Because the United States has committed to such an approach, it is crucial that a restructuring of the fusion program maintain a strong domestic base and not undermine our credibility as a reliable international partner.

### Basic energy sciences

The conferees make no recommendation with regard to the siting of the new spallation source project. The Department of Energy shall make that determination in a fair and unbiased manner. The conferees direct the Department of Energy to evaluate opportunities to upgrade existing reactors and spallation sources as costeffective means of providing neutrons in the near term for the scientific community while the next generation source is developed. This evaluation shall be available prior to the Appropriations Committee's hearings on the Department's fiscal year 1997 budget submission.

For purposes of reprogrammings during fiscal year 1996, funding may be reallocated by the Department among all operating accounts in basic energy sciences other than program direction.

### Other energy research activities

The conferees agree that to the extent nonprogram specific general plant projects and general plant equipment are required for the Oak Ridge National Laboratory and the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, they are to be funded within the Basic Energy Science and Biological and Environmental Research programs, respectively.

The conference agreement provides \$18,000,000 for the laboratory technology transfer program. Within this funding, up to \$1,500,000 is available for severance costs for 17 current employees. The conferees recommend that the Department identify and complete the most promising cooperative research and development agreements during fiscal year 1996.

#### ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

### University science and education programs

The conferees have provided \$20,000,000 for this portion of the Department's science and education activities. None of the funds in this account may be used for salaries and expenses other than up to \$1,100,000 which is available for severance costs for the 27 employees currently managing this program.

In addition to this individual program, the Department of Energy spends well over \$100,000,000 throughout all programs to support science and education activities. The conferees continue to support science and education activities funded directly by programs and which have a direct correlation to programmatic needs. The conferees do not agree to fund a separate bureaucracy set up to manage only a small portion of the science and education activities of the Department. In fiscal year 1996, these activities are to be managed by the Office of Energy Research as they were from 1977 to 1993. In that way, this science and education program will be closely coupled with the Department's research programs, and the number of employees needed to support the program will be significantly reduced.

The conference agreement does not contain specific funding directions for science and education activities, but urges the Department to consider the views expressed in the Senate report. The conferees also encourage the Secretary of Energy to enter into an agreement with a qualified minority women's model institution of excellence to support curriculum development, research, training and other activities related to energy research and environmental restoration and waste management.

# ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

### (NON-DEFENSE)

The conferees agree with the House report language on the Wayne, New Jersey project.

# INDIAN ENERGY RESOURCES

From within available funds for the Energy Supply, Research and Development appropriation account, \$8,600,000 is provided for Indian energy resources. The funding should be allocated to provide \$6,100,000 for continued preconstruction activities for the Navajo transmission project, and \$2,000,000 for the Haida Alaska Native Village Corporation's Reynolds Creek hydroelectric project. The conference agreement includes \$500,000 for the Crow Energy Project, instead of \$2,000,000 as proposed by the Senate. The Department is encouraged to work through the Western Area Technology Center in Butte, Montana, to provide any and all assistance in making the Crow energy project a success.

Amendment No. 23: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing that within available funds \$56,000,000 may be available to continue operation of the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor.

Amendment No. 24: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing that within the amount for Indian Energy Resource projects, \$2,000,000 may be made available to fund the Crow energy resources programs.

Amendment No. 25: Deletes language proposed by the House providing \$44,772,000 to implement provisions of section 1211 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992.

Amendment No. 26: Deletes language proposed by the Senate allocating additional funds for renewable energy resources and reducing departmental administration funding.

#### URANIUM SUPPLY AND ENRICHMENT ACTIVITIES

The conference agreement adjusts the allocation of funding for implementation of the depleted uranium hexafluoride cylinders and maintenance program. These adjustments will accelerate cleaning and painting of corroded cylinders at the three gaseous diffusion plant sites and construction of a new cylinder storage yard. These activities have been accommodated by reallocating funding provided in the House and Senate recommendations.

# GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH ACTIVITIES

Amendment No. 27: Appropriates \$981,000,000 for General Science and Research Activities instead of \$991,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$971,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

### NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND

Amendment No. 28: Appropriates \$151,600,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$226,600,000 as proposed by the House and deletes language proposed by the Senate that authorizes construction of an interim storage facility for spent nuclear fuel.

The conferees agree on the importance of continuing the existing scientific work at Yucca Mountain to determine the ultimate feasibility and licensability of the permanent repository at that site. The conferees direct the Department to refocus the repository program on completing the core scientific activities at Yucca Mountain. The Department should complete excavation of the necessary portions of the exploratory tunnel and the scientific tests needed to assess the performance of the repository. It should defer preparation and filing of a license application for the repository with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission until a later date. The Department's goal should be to collect the scientific information needed to determine the suitability of the Yucca Mountain site and to complete a conceptual design for the repository and waste package for later submission to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

# Weapons activities

Amendment No. 29: Appropriates \$3,460,314,000 for Weapons Activities instead of \$3,273,014,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,751,719,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conference agreement provides \$1,078,403,000 for core stockpile stewardship activities which includes an additional \$40,000,000 for the accelerated strategic computing initiative (ASCI). The conferees also support the enhanced surveillance and dual revalidation programs.

Funding of \$37,400,000, the same as the budget request, is provided for project 96-D-111, the National Ignition Facility. Full funding for all inertial confinement fusion program participants is provided as requested in the Department's budget justification.

The conference agreement provides an increase of \$106,000,000 over the House recommendation for stockpile management to provide for enhanced stockpile surveillance, advanced manufacturing, and core stockpile management activities. However, the conferees believe it is premature to initiate long-term capital improvements in advance of the outcome of the stockpile stewardship/management programmatic environmental impact statement process currently underway. The conferees have not provided specific site funding, but support fundamental initiatives in advanced manufacturing, and additional emphasis on advanced computerized manufacturing and dual revalidation techniques.

The conferees have provided \$115,000,000 for program direction activities. The conferees support the liquefied gaseous spill test facility and the facility's modeling support center under the Department's emergency management program funded in the Other Defense Activities appropriation account.

The conference agreement includes the use of \$209,744,000 in prior year balances, an increase of \$123,400,000 over the budget request which included the use of \$86,344,000.

## DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT

Amendment No. 30: Appropriates \$5,557,532,000 for Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management instead of \$5,265,478,000 as proposed by the House and \$5,989,750,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The tables accompanying this conference agreement reallocate funding for several construction projects as requested by the Department to reflect the most recent programmatic and site assumptions for fiscal year 1996 activities.

Budget reductions should be taken in those areas which will have the least impact on ongoing cleanup activities. The conferees seek to the extent possible to protect funding necessary to meet the cleanup milestones established in compliance agreements with other Federal agencies, states, and local agencies, by directing the cuts against support service contracts, excessive Headquarters and field oversight, large uncosted balances, and by reducing other Department administrative expenses such as travel.

The conferees direct that, to the maximum extent practicable, funding reductions be taken against Headquarters personnel and activities. Headquarters employees should be reviewing and auditing field and contractor activities and holding the contractors responsible for meeting performance goals and milestones, not micromanaging each step of the process from Headquarters through the financial plan process and activity data sheets. A critical review of Headquarters' approval processes for various activities would yield a wealth of non-value added administrative steps which serve primarily to delay, prolong, and diffuse responsibility for direct and timely cleanup activities. Thus, the conferees expect funding for Headquarters' organizations to be severely curtailed during execution of the fiscal year 1996 program.

The conferees also believe that legislative reforms in the Department's cleanup program are long overdue, and will work with the legislative committees to ensure that significant changes are made in the cleanup program.

The Department has indicated that the environmental management organization plans to hire an additional 315 Federal employees in fiscal year 1996. The conferees do not agree with this strategy. Every witness outside of the Department who testified on this program stated that one of the management problems was too many employees. While the conferees are sympathetic that the program may not have the correct mix of technical skills in the current work force, they are not amenable to the concept of hiring 10% more employees for this program in fiscal year 1996. Thus, the Department is directed not to exceed the current Federal employee ceiling and hire new employees only as current employees leave.

The conference agreement provides \$1,635,973,000 for environmental restoration. An additional \$60,000,000 has been provided to accelerate cleanup activities and reduce current landlord costs and outyear funding requirements. The conferees strongly support efforts at sites such as Fernald, Ohio, and Rocky Flats, Colorado, which have developed detailed plans to expedite cleanup actions and reduce costs to the taxpayer. The conferees are in agreement with the Senate recommendation to accelerate certain activities at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. Within the waste management account, funding is provided for preconstruction activities such as design and engineering work on additional capacity for dry storage of spent nuclear fuel and an advanced mixed waste treatment facility. The conference agreement also provides funding of \$42,000,000 for project 96–D–406, the nuclear fuels canister storage building and stabilization facility in Richland, Washington.

The conferees agree with the concern expressed by the Senate that the Department is not providing sufficient attention and resources to longer term basic science research which needs to be done to ultimately reduce cleanup costs. The current technology development program continues to favor near-term applied research efforts while failing to utilize the existing basic research infrastructure within the Department and the Office of Energy Research. As a result of this, the conferees direct that at least \$50,000,000 of the technology development funding provided to the environmental management program in fiscal year 1996 be managed by the Office of Energy Research and used to develop a program that takes advantage of laboratory and university expertise. This funding is to be used to stimulate the required basic research, development and demonstration efforts to seek new and innovative cleanup methods to replace current conventional approaches which are often costly and ineffective.

In the technology development program, \$25,000,000 has been provided for electrometallurgical research and development. The conferees have also included sufficient funding for the Department to prepare a report on the potential of using pentaborane for environmental remediation or other uses, the estimated costs of the effort, and potential advantages and disadvantages of the proposal. The Department's activities in this area are to be confined to the preparation of this report.

The conferees expect the Department to direct more resources toward activities surrounding storage, treatment, and disposal of spent nuclear fuel currently stored at Department of Energy sites.

The conferees fully support the mission of the Hazardous Materials Training Center at the Hanford site in Richland, Washington, and direct the Department to adequately fund the requested operating budget from the compliance and coordination account.

The conferees understand the need for economic development funding to support local communities adversely impacted by Department of Energy programs and to transition communities which have lost jobs due to programmatic changes at facilities, but are concerned that cleanup funds are being used for economic development activities. With that understanding, the conferees have provided \$82,500,000 in the worker and community transition program under Other Defense Activities which was established and authorized to fund such activities, and expect all economic development activities to be funded from that program.

The conference agreement provides not more than \$12,000,000 for public accountability activities in the analysis, education and risk management program. The Department is expected to review requests for this funding to reduce duplication of efforts by various groups and excessive costs. None of these funds may be used for reimbursement of travel expenses of individuals traveling to Washington, DC.

The conference agreement includes funding to maintain State health studies in South Carolina, Tennessee, and Colorado at the \$7,300,000 level in fiscal year 1996. These funds are in addition to the \$9,950,000 for dose reconstruction or other health studies including those conducted under a Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Health and Human Services and DOE's Office of Environment, Safety and Health. Additionally, the conferees direct that all of these studies shall continue to be administered by the Office of Environment, Safety and Health.

The conference agreement supports the Hanford environmental dose reconstruction project and health information network at the budget request level, and continues the Hanford thyroid study at \$1,700,000, the same as the fiscal year 1995 level.

The conferees are aware that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement prepared by the Department of Energy on the Proposed Nuclear Weapons Nonproliferation Policy Concerning Foreign Research Reactor Spent Nuclear Fuel includes as an option the importation of foreign spent nuclear fuel through civilian ports. The conferees are concerned that some of these ports may not have the security or the emergency management capabilities needed to safely handle weapons grade or highly irradiated nuclear material and that the designation of some of these ports as a port of entry would necessitate that the spent nuclear fuel be transported through highly populated metropolitan areas. The Department of Energy should take into consideration a port's willingness to be designated as a port of entry for the foreign spent nuclear fuel as one of the determining factors in the final selection process and to the maximum extent feasible, the conferees direct the Department of Energy to utilize military ports or civilian ports which have expressed an interest in receiving the spent fuel.

The conference agreement includes the use of \$667,240,000 of prior year balances, an increase of \$390,298,000 over the budget request, which included the use of \$276,942,000.

#### OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES

Amendment No. 31: Appropriates \$1,373,212,000 for Other Defense Activities instead of \$1,323,841,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,439,112,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The conferees have provided \$30,000,000 for the Soviet-designed reactor safety program, as proposed by the Senate, and \$10,000,000 for the Industrial Partnering Program. The conference agreement also provides \$3,600,000 to continue the Department's role in the North Korean spent fuel project.

#### NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY

The conferees are deeply concerned about the recent direction in Executive Order 12958 to "automatically declassify" and publicly release documents containing National Security Information within five years whether or not the records have been reviewed. Automatic declassification creates a substantial and unnecessary risk that information, including information regarding U.S. nuclear

weapons, will be inadvertently disclosed to potential proliferators. Clearly such disclosure fundamentally undermines U.S. nonproliferation efforts, and could effect grave damage to U.S. national security. The conferees believe that the automatic declassification of national security records that could contain Restricted Data constitutes a violation of the legal protections for Restricted Data mandated by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. Although the conferees recognize that the Order provides an exemption from automatic declassification for Restricted Data, the conferees do not see how such an exemption can be effectively implemented since the National Security Information records slated for automatic release have a high probability of containing some Restricted Data intermixed within the National Security Information. Thus, short of a Department of Energy review of all National Security Information records believed by the Department to have a probability of containing Restricted Data, there is no way to ensure the protection of Restricted Data materials consistent with the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act.

Given the potential impact to national security through the inappropriate release of Restricted Data, the conferees believe the rush to automatically declassify sensitive documents is not in the national interest. Therefore, the conferees strongly urge the President to review and revise Executive Order 12958 regarding Classified National Security Information, and exempt from automatic declassification all National Security Information files, including files of other agencies, earmarked by the Department of Energy as potentially containing Restricted Data.

### FUNDING ADJUSTMENTS

The conferees direct the use of \$70,000,000 of prior year balances from this account, an increase of \$57,000,000 from the budget request of \$13,000,000. The increase is to be taken against unobligated and uncosted balances remaining in the Materials Support program at the end of fiscal year 1995.

Amendment No. 32: Deletes language proposed by the Senate providing \$4,952,000 for project 96–D–463, electrical and utility systems upgrade at the Idaho Chemical Processing Plant in Idaho. Funding for this project has been included in the Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Management appropriation account.

### DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL

Amendment No. 33: Appropriates \$248,400,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$198,400,000 as proposed by the House.

Since passage of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982, as amended, the nuclear waste fund has incurred costs for activities related to disposal of high-level waste generated from the atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy. At the end of fiscal year 1994, the balance owed by the Federal Government to the nuclear waste fund was \$664,000,000 (including principal and interest). Through fiscal year 1995, a total of \$361,930,000 has been paid to the nuclear waste fund through the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal appropriation account.

During fiscal year 1995, the defense contribution to the nuclear waste fund was reestimated to the current amount of \$660,000,000.

The recommendation of the conferees is to provide \$248,400,000 in fiscal year 1996 which will reduce the deficit to \$538,000,000 at the end of the fiscal year.

Amendment No. 34: Inserts language providing that \$85,000,000 shall be available only for an interim storage facility and only upon the enactment of statutory authority instead of language proposed by the Senate clarifying the use of the funds appropriated in the Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal appropriation account.

## DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

Amendment No. 35: Appropriates \$366,697,000 for Departmental Administration instead of \$362,250,000 as proposed by the House and \$377,126,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 36: Applies revenues of \$122,306,000 for use in the Departmental Administration account as proposed by the House instead of \$137,306,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 37: Provides a net appropriation of \$244,391,000 for a final year estimate of Departmental Administration expenditures instead of \$239,944,000 as proposed by the House and \$239,820,000 as proposed by the Senate.

While the conferees realize that this funding level for the Departmental Administration account will cause reductions in existing personnel at the Department of Energy, it should be noted that the Secretary of Energy has initiated a strategic alignment process which will also lead to downsizing of the Department by 27 percent over the next five years. The conference agreement assumes a 15percent reduction in the number of employees during fiscal year 1996 from the fiscal year 1995 baseline. To the extent possible the additional reductions should be targeted to correspond with reductions in other programmatic areas in this bill. Solar and renewables, fusion, nuclear energy, technology transfer, and science and education programs are a few of the areas funded below fiscal year 1995. Support and administrative workload and staff focused on these areas should see a corresponding reduction as should offices for activities such as quality management and employee and contractor protection which have grown significantly in the last two years.

Reduced funding for this account was first proposed by the House of Representatives in June of this year, but the Department made no effort to prepare for the possibility that actual funding reductions would be implemented on October 1, 1995. Thus, the impact of these reductions exceeds that which may have occurred had the Department taken them seriously several months ago. Another example of this was the rescission of \$20,000,000 of fiscal year 1995 funding which the Department chose to allocate solely to contractual services rather than personnel or programmatic areas. This was ultimately short-sighted and has amplified the impact of the fiscal year 1996 reduction.

### SECRETARIAL TRAVEL

In response to concerns about the breadth and scope of Secretarial travel, the conferees issue directions and impose limitations on appropriated funds as follows: 1. Beginning in fiscal year 1997, the Department is instructed to provide sufficient detail in its budget justifications for the Office of the Secretary to provide for identification of resources budgeted for secretarial travel.

2. Costs to support travel of the Secretary, any special assistants funded through the Office of the Secretary, and any security detail accompanying the Secretary are to be absorbed within the line item for the Office of the Secretary.

3. The Department is instructed to notify the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations of any internal reprogrammings which are executed to directly or indirectly support departmental travel, regardless of the amount.

4. No funds provided by this Act may be used to host or subsidize the travel of any non-Federal participants in secretarial missions.

5. The Department is instructed to provide semi-annual reports on secretarial travel to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. In addition to providing a full financial accounting of trips, these reports should identify: travel dates and destinations, all persons accompanying or advancing the Secretary, and the purpose and results of each trip.

# OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Amendment No. 38: Appropriates \$25,000,000 for the Office of the Department of Energy Inspector General as proposed by the Senate instead of \$26,000,000 as proposed by the House. From within available funds, the Office of Contractor Employee Protection is to be funded in this account.

#### POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATION

## BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

Recent actions by the Bonneville Power Administration have led to concerns that the Bonneville Power Administration may not make its Treasury payment in fiscal year 1996. The conferees cannot state more strongly that failure by Bonneville to make the full annual payment to Treasury will seriously jeopardize its credibility with Congress and will lead to more involvement by Congress in the management and decision-making processes of the agency. The conferees are also concerned that Bonneville's much touted

The conferees are also concerned that Bonneville's much touted cost cutting measures are more words than action. For example, Bonneville has indicated its intent to downsize, but plans to reduce its Federal work force by little more than eight percent over three years. That is less that annual attrition rates, and less than the Department of Energy has proposed for other program organizations.

## FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Amendment No. 39: Appropriates \$131,290,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$132,290,000 as proposed by the House.

The conference agreement provides \$131,290,000 for the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Revenues are established at a rate equal to the amount provided for program activities, resulting in a net appropriation of zero. The conferees recognize that Commission workload with respect to the regulation of natural gas and oil is declining as those industries become more competitive and, therefore, concurs with the House and Senate Committees' recommendations to reduce staff in the natural gas and oil pipelines program. A 20-percent reduction over the next two years is recommended.

The conferees recognize the value in maintaining the current staffing level for the electric power program. This is necessary to respond to a significant increase in workload due to the Commission's efforts to establish a competitive wholesale bulk power market for electricity similar to what has been accomplished in the natural gas area.

To mitigate the impact of the recommended funding reduction, the conferees encourage the Commission to employ additional authority from prior years' unexpended balances, as needed.

The conferees direct the Commission to not approve the transfer of electric generating facilities at Scott Dam at Lake Pillsbury in Lake County, California, or Cape Horn Dam in Mendocino County, California, unless the Commission determines that such transfer will not adversely affect any existing water rights and will not substantially change flow levels in the Russian and Eel Rivers.

Amendment No. 40: Applies revenues of \$131,290,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$132,290,000 as proposed by the House.

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		
SOLAR AND RENEMABLE ENERGY		
blar energy		
olar energy Solar building technology research Photovoltaic energy systeme	4,657 88,129	2,000 65,000
Solar thermal energy systems	33,943 80,380	25,000
Biofuels energy systems	80,380 49,820	25,000 56,300 32,500
International solar energy program	29,154 17,758	4,000
Solar technology transfer	17,758	4,300
National renewable energy laboratory Construction	380	500
General plant projects	120	
98-E-100 FTLB renovation and expansion, Golden, CO	5.500	1,500
Subtotel. Construction	5.620	1,500
Subtotet, construction	5,620	1,000
Subtotal, National renewable energy laboratory	6,000	2,000
Resource assessment	4,065 7,345	2,000
Soler program support Program direction	7,346 9,460	
Subtotal, Solar Energy	331,311	192,100
Review of uncosted balances	-4,888	-4,888
Total, Solar Energy	326,423	187,212
othernal		
Geothermal technology development Program direction	36,130	30,447
Capital equipment	397	
Review of uncosted balances	-585	-555
Total, Geothermal	38,972	29,892
ydrogen research	7,334	14,500
ydropower Small scale hydropower development Program direction		
Program direction	904 90	1,500
Review of uncosted balances	-14	
Total, Hydropower	580	1,800
Lectric energy systems and storage		
Electric energy systems Electric field effects research	9,924	9,924
	6,153	
System and materials research Program direction	24,712	19,000
Review of uncosted balances	-615	615
Subtotal, Electric energy systems	41,024	28,309
Energy storage systems		
Battery storage	5,656 350	2,000
Program direction Review of uncosted balances	-84	
Subtotal, Energy storage systems	5,918	2,000
Total, Electric energy systems and storage	46,942	30, 309
olicy and management	-4,746	11,800
TOTAL, SOLAR AND RENEWABLE ENERGY	423,397	275,213
	rozendeŭ ozeques es	

	Budget Estimete	Conference
NUCLEAR ENERGY		
Nuclear energy RED		
Light water reactor Advanced radioisotope power system	49,339	40,000
Nuclear technology R&O	48,512 37,210	48,512
Program direction	12,093	8,000
Policy and management Test reactor area hat cells	9,841 1,400	5,000
Oak Ridge landlord	15,380	14,400
Construction GPN-103 General plant projects	3,255	
Subtotal, Oak Ridge landlord	18,635	14,400
Test reactor area landlord Construction QP-M-102 General plant projects, Ideho	1,370	2,000
National Engineering Laboratory, ID	730	
95-E-201 Test reactor area fire and life safety improvements, Idahe National		
Engineering Laboratory, ID	1,900	1,900
Subtotal, Construction	2,530	1,900
Subtotal, Test reactor area landlord	4,000	3,900
Advanced test reactor fusion irradiation University reactor fuel assistance and support	2,303 6,130	2,303 3,500
Total, Nuclear energy RED	189,463	125,615
Termination costs	78,800	79,000
Construction GPN-102 General plant projects	1,000	
95-E-207 Modifications to reactors, experimental breader reactor - II sodium processing facility Argonne National Laboratory-West, ID	1.700	. 300
reguine metiones Leboratory-west, 10	.1,/00	1,700
Subtotal, Construction	2,700	1,700
Total, Termination costs	81,500	\$0,700
Isotope support	25,303	24,658
Soviet designed reactor safety Russian replacement power initiative	78,543 5,000	 
TOTAL, NUCLEAR ENERGY	379,809	230, 973
CIVILIAN WASTE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	White Calledon and the	مع ب <del>ر گارند و د گ</del> ان
Spent fuel storage RED Program direction	586 110	
TOTAL, CIVILIAN WASTE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	696	
ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH		ین وون خدمه جوگن:
Environment, safety and health Nuclear safety policy	147,443 17,180	114,933 13,500
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENT, SAFETY AND HEALTH	164.623	128.433
		20, 433

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ENERGY RESEARCH		
Biological and environmental research Biological and environmental research RAD Construction	354, 546	349,891
GP-E-120 General plant projects	4,450	
94-E-337 Advanced light source structural biology suppert facility, LBL	2,600	2,600
- 94-E-338 Structurel biology center, ANL	4,295	4,295
94-E-339 Human genome lab, LBL	5,700	5,700
91-EM-100 Environmental & molecular sciences Laboratory, PNL, Richland, WA	50,000	50,000
Subtotal, Construction	\$7,045	62,595
Subtotal, Biological & environ. research R&D	421,581	412,485
BER program direction	7,060	7,000
Total, Biological and environmental research	428,851	419,446
Fusion energy	309,187	244,144
Construction GPE-900 General plant projects, var. locations	1,000	
96-E-310 Elise project	3,200	
94-E-200 Tokamak physics experiment, Princeton plasma physics laboratory	49,900	
Subtotal, Construction	54,100	
Total, Fusion energy	363,287	244,144
Basic energy sciences		
Materials sciences	345,606	367,400
Chemical sciences	180,161	196,400
Applied mathematical sciences	107,852 39,646	116,500 41,700
Engineering and geosciences	11,915	12,300
Advanced energy projects	29.307	30,200
Energy blosciences	29,307	9,500
Program direction	56,973	8,000
Capital equipment	-	
GPE-400 General plant projects	6,314	
95-E-305 Accelerator and reactor improvements and modifications, various locations	12,883	10,475
	12,683 3,186	10,475 3,186
modifications, various locations	-	
modifications, various locations 85-R-402 5-7 GeV syn. radiation source, ANL 96-E-300 Combustion research facility,	3,186	3,186
modifications, verious locations 85-R-402 6-7 GeV syn. radiation source, ANL 96-E-300 Combustion research facility, Phase II, SNL/L	3,186 2,000 24,383 805,340	3,186 2,000 15,661 791,661
modifications, various locations	3,186 2,000 24,383	3,186 2,000 15,661 791,661
modifications, verious locations	3,186 2,000 24,383 805,340	3,186 2,000 15,661 791,661
modifications, various locations	3,186 2,000 24,383 805,340	3, 166 2,000 15, 661 791, 661 3, 463
modifications, verious locations	3,186 2,000 24,383 805,340	3, 166 2,000 15, 661 791, 661 3, 463
modifications, various locations	3,186 2,000 24,383 	3,186 2,000 15,661 791,661

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Multiprogram energy labs - facility support Multiprogram general purpose facilities Construction	6,382	<del></del>
GPE-801 General plant projects	8,740	
95-E-301 Central heating plant rehabilitation, Phase I (ANL)	2,500	2,500
95-E-302 Applied science center, phase I (BNL)	3,270	3,270
95-E-303 Electrical safety rehab (PNL)	1,500	1 <b>, 500</b>
95-E-310 Multiprogram Laboratory rehabilitation, phase I (PML)	2,740	2,740
94-E-351 Fuel storage and transfer facility upgrade (BML)	440	440
94-E-363 Roofing improvements (ORNL)	2,038	2,038
Subtotal, Construction	21,228	12,488
Subtotal, Multiprogram gan. purpose facilities	27,610	12,488
Environment, safety and health	8,657	6,656
96-2-330 Building electrical service upgrade Phase I, Argonne National Laboratory Argonne, Illinois	1,200	
96-5-333 Sanitary somer restoration, Phase I, Lawrence Serkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA	2,400	***
96-E-332 Building 801, renovations Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York	800	
96-E-333 Multiprogram energy laboratories upgrades, various locations		4,400
95-E-307 Fire Safety imp. III (ANL)	1,000	1,000
95-E-308 Sanitary system mode, II (BNL)	1,540	1,540
95-E-309 Loss prevention upgrades (BNL)	2,480	2,480
93-2-320 Fire and safety improvements. phase II (ANL)	2,411	2,411
93-E-323 Fire and safety systems upgrade phase I (LBL)	1,130	1,130
93-E-324 Hazardous materials safeguards. phase I (LBL)	1,288	1,288
Subtotal, Construction	14,249	14,249
Subtotal, Environment, safety and health	22,906	20,905
	500	
Inactive and surplus facilities		
Inactive and surplus facilities Subtotal, Multiprogram energy labs - fac. suppor	51,016	33,393
	124,155	33,393 53,255

	Budget Estimate	Conferenc
ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES		
University and science education programs Laboratory Cooperative science centers University programs	17.377	13,00 7,00
Total, University and science education programs.	مد به	20,00
- Technical information management program		11,00
Construction		1,00
Total, Technical information management program	15,720	12.00
Fechnology partnership	3,139	
In-house energy management Construction	15,664	
IHE - 500 Modifications for energy mgmt	13,125	
Total, In-house energy management	28,789	*******
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	102,607	32,00
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION & WASTE MONT. (NON-DEFENSE)		
Corrective activities Construction 92-E-BOI Melton Velley Liquid Low Level waste	1,065	
collection and transfer system upgrade, ORML	339	33
88-R-830 Liquid low level waste collection and transfer system upgrade, ORNL	4.000	4,00
Subtotel, Construction	4,339	4,33
Total, Corrective activities	\$,404	4,33
invironmental restoration	411,532	365,40
Vaste management	194,907	171,89
GP-E-800 General plant projects	2,212	
94-E-602 Bethel Valley federal facility agreement upgrades, ORNL	300	30
93-E-900 Long-term storage of TMI-2 fuel, INEL	4,048	4,04
91-E-600 Rehabilitation of waste management building 308, ANL	787	78
85-R-812 Hazardous waste handling facility, LBL	671	67
Subtotal, Construction	8,018	5,80
Total, Waste management	202,925	177,70
uclear materials and facilities stabilization	82,395	73,10
	702,258	621,54
TOTAL, ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MONT		

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Use of prior year SEIEnces General reduction, ESRED Galvin task force reductions	-79,300 -10,000 -50,000	-79,300
TOTAL. ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	و مربع پر برده و بر ۵ خو د	*****
TOTAL, ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	3,355,521	2,727,407
URANIUM SUPPLY AND ENRICHMENT ACTIVITIES		
Jranium program activities Construction	91,944	83,500
96-U-200 UF6 cylinders refurbishment facility, Paducah, Kentucky gaseous diffusion plants	5,800	
96-U-201 depleted UFS cylinder storage yards, Paducah, Kentucky gaseous diffusion plant		3,000
93-U-200 UFS cylinders and storage yards. Paducah, KY and Pertsmouth, OH gaseous diffusion plants	3,400	3,400
Subtotal, Construction	9,200	6,400
 Subtotal, Uranium supply & enrichment activities	101,144	<b>6</b> 9, <b>9</b> 00
levenues - Sales	-34,903 -25,703	-34, 903 -25, 703
TOTAL, URANIUM SUPPLY AND ENRICHMENT ACTIVITIES	40,538	29,294
URANIUM ENRICHMENT DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING FUND		
Decontamination and Decommissioning Fund	288,807	278, 207
DENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH		
digh energy physics Physics research	146,050	141,000
Facility operations Construction	337, 352	353,077
GP-E-103 General plant projects, various locations	13,845	
95-G-301 Accelerator improvement projects, various locations	9,800	
94-G-304 8-Fectory, SLAC	52,000	52,000
92-G-302 Fermilab main injector, Fermilab	52,000	52,000
Subtotal, Construction	127,845	104,000
	454,997	457,077
Subtotal, Facility operations		68,923
High energy technology	66,864 3,925	
High energy technology Other capital equipment Total, High energy physics	3,925	667,000
High energy technology Other capital equipment Total, High energy physics	3,925	667,000 236,925

	Budget Estimate	Conferenc
96-C-302 Arcelepator (more under and		
95-G-302 Accelerator improvements and modifications, various locations	4,975	2,57
91-G-300 Relativistic heavy ion collider, BNL	70,000	65,00
Subtotal, Construction	79,760	67,57
Other capital equipment	2,000	
Total, Nuclear physics	310,533	304,50
General science program direction	10,330	9,50
TOTAL, GENERAL SCIENCE AND RESEARCH	1,011,699	981,00
ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		
Stockpile stewardship Core stockpile stewardship Construction	994,208	1,078,40
QPD-101 General plant projects, various Locations	12,500	
96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization. Phase VI, various locations	2,520	2,52
96-0-103 ATLAS, Los Alamos National Laboratory	8,400	8,40
96-D-104 Process and environmental technology Laboratory, SML	1,800	1,80
96-D-105 Contained firing facility addition, LLML.	5,600	6,60
95-D-102 Chamistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project, LANL	9,940	9,94
94-D-102 Muclear Weapons Research, development and testing facilities revitalization, Phase V, various Locations	12,200	12,20
93-D-102 Neveda support facility, NV	15,650	15,65
90-D-102 Nuclear Weapons Research, Development		
and testing facilities revitalization, Phase III, various locations	6,200	6,20
88-D-106 Nuclear weepone research, development and testing facilities revitalization.		
and testing facilities revitalization. Phase II, various locations	17,995	17,99
Subtotal, Construction	93,805	81,30
Subtotal, Core stockpile stewardship	1,088,013	1,159,70
Inertial fusion Construction	203,267	203,26
95-D-111 National ignition facility, TBD	37,400	37,40
Subtotal, Inertial fusion	240,667	240,66
Technology transfer/education Technology transfer Education	229,405 20,000	150,00
Subtotal, Technology transfer/education	249,405	160.00
	6,800	6,80
Marshall Island/Dose reconstruction		

	Budget Estimate	Conference
Stockpile menagement Construction	1,769,090	1,911,458
Stockpile support facilities GPD-121 General plant projects, various loc	10,000	
Production base 88-0-122 Facilities capability assurance program (FCAP), various locations	8,660	8,660
96-D-126 Tritium loading line modifications, Savannah River Site, SC		12,200
Subtotal, Production base	8,860	20,860
Environmental, safety and health 98-D-122 Semage treatment quality upgrade (STQU) Pantex plant	600	600
96-D-123 Retrofit HVAC and chillers, for Ozone protection Y-12 plant	3,100	3,100
95-D-122 Sanitary sewar upgrade, Y-12 plant	6,300	6,300
<b>94-D-124 Hydrogen fluoride supply system.</b> Y-12 plant	8,700	8,700
94-0-125 Upgrade life safety, Kansas City plant	5,500	5,500
94-D-127 Emergency notification system, Pantex plant	2,000	2,000
94-D-128 Environmental safety and health analytical laboratory, Pantex plant	4,000	4,000
93-D-122 Life safety upgrades, Y-12 plant	7,200	7,20
Subtotal, Environmental, safety and health	37,400	37,400
Safeguards and security 88-D-123 Security enhancement, Pantex plant	13,400	13,40
Nuclear weapons incident, response 96-D-125 Washington measurement operations facility, Andrews Air Force Base, MD	900	906
Reconfiguration 93-D-123 Non-nuclear reconfiguration, various locations	41,065	41,06
Subtotal, Construction	111,425	113,62
Total, Stockpile management	1,80,515	2,025,08
Program direction	138,311	115,00
Subtotal, Weapons activities	3,500,711	3,707,25
Use of prior year balances Streamline DOE contractors (undistributed)	-86,344 -25,000	-209,74 -37,20
TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES		3,460,31
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MONT.		
Corrective activities Construction		
90-0-103 Environment, safety and health		

improvements, weapons RED complex, LANE	3,406	3,406
Environmental restoration	1,570,174	1,635,973

	Budget Estimate	Conterenc
aste menegement.	2,260,039	2,295,99
Construction GP-D-171 General plant projects, various locations	30,728	
35-0-400 Replace industrial waste piping, Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, MO	200	
96-0-401 Comprehensive Treatment & Management Plan immobilization of miscellaneous westes, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, CO	1,400	
95-0-402 Comprehensive Treatment & Menagement Plan building 374/774 sludge immobilization Golden, CO	1,500	
96-0-403 Tank farm service upgrades, Savannah River, SC	3,316	
96-D-405 T-Plant secondary containment & leak detection upgrades, Richland, WA	2,100	
95-D-405 Spont nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, WA	26,000	42,00
95-0-407 Mixed waste Low Level waste treatment project, Rocky Flats		2,90
96-D-408 Waste mgmt upgrades, various locations		5,61
95-0-402 Install permanent electrical service WIPP, AL.	4,314	4,31
95-O-405 Industrial Landfill V and construction/ demolition Landfill VII. Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge.TN	4,600	4,6
95-D-406 Road 5-01 reconstruction, area 5, NV	1,023	1,0
95-0-407 219-5 Secondary containment upgrade, Richland, WA		1,0
94-D-400 High explosive wastewater treatment system, LANL	4,445	4.44
94-D-402 Liquid waste treatment system, NTS	282	20
94-D-404 Melton Valley storage tank capacity increase, ORML	11,000	11,00
94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA	9,400	12,00
94-D-411 Solid waste operation complax Richland, WA	5,500	6,6
94-0-417 Intermediate-level and low-activity waste vaults, Sevannah River, SC	2,704	
93-D-178 Building 374 liquid weste treatment facility, Rocky Flats Plent, CO	3,900	3,9
93-D-181 Radieactive liquid waste line replacement, Richland, WA		5,0
93-D-182 Replacement of cross-site transfer system, Richland, WA	19,795	19,7
93-D-183 Multi-function waste remediation facility, Richland, WA	31,000	
93-D-187 High level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC	19,700	19,7
		1.1
92-D-171 Mixed waste raceiving and storage facility, LANL	1,105	

<ul> <li>Isportation management</li></ul>		Budget Estimate	Conference
charseterization and storage facility, ID	90-D-172 Aging waste transfer line, Richland, WA	2,000	2,000
88-D-173 Tank farm ventilation upgrade.       BDD       BDD         88-D-174 Replacement high level wasts evaporator.       11,500       11,500         88-D-103 Decontemination and wasts treatment facility. LNL. Livermore. CA       8.885       8.885         83-D-148 Non-radiesative hazardous wasts management. Sevennah River, SC       1,000       1,000         Subtotal. Construction	90-D-177 RMMC transuranic (TRU) waste characterization and storage facility, ID	1,428	1,428
Richland, WA	90-D-178 TSA retrieval enclosure, ID	2,606	2,606
Savannah River, SC		800	800
facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA.8,8858,88583-0-148 Non-redisective hexerdous wasts1,0001,000Subtotal, Construction.213,330174,804Total, Waste management.214,33692,470,588nelogy development.389,327440,510isportation management.16,15813,185lear materials and facilities stabilization.1,462,1171,447,108instruction34,72496-0-457 Thermal treatment system, Richland, WA.34,72496-0-455 Site drainage control, Mound Plant.88588596-0-452 Health physics instrument laboratory.1,5391,53996-0-452 Control fealities sand (FA) (Fatter Step)1,12596-0-452 Control facilities sand (FA) (Fatter Step)1,12596-0-452 Nealth physics instrument laboratory.1,12596-0-452 Control facilities area (CFA) creat shop1,5391,53996-0-452 Nealth physics instrument laboratory.1,12596-0-452 Nealth physics instrument laboratory.1,12596-0-452 Nonical Processing Plant.1,80096-0-452 Control facilities area (CFA) creat shop1,80096-0-453 Control facilities area (CFA) createment shop1,80096-0-454 Electrical & utility systems upgrade.1,80096-0-455 Control facilities creating savannah1,80096-0-457 Creater Actional monitoring laboratory.3,10096-0-470 Environmental monitoring laboratory.3,500<		11,500	11,500
<pre>management: Sevenneh River, SC</pre>	85-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA	8,885	8,885
Subtotal, Construction	83-D-146 Non-redisective hazardous waste management, Sevenneh River, SC	1,000	1,000
<pre>melogy development</pre>		213,330	174,504
iser materials and facilities stabilization1,462,1171,447,108omstruction34,72496-D-457 Thermal treatment system. Richland, WA1,00096-D-458 Site drainage control. Mound Plant.88588596-D-459 Thermal treatment system. Richland, WA1,00096-D-458 Site drainage control. Mound Plant.88588596-D-459 Electrical distribution upgrade. Idaho1,5391,53996-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory.1,12696-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory.1,12696-D-453 Control facilities area (CFA) craft shop1,12696-D-454 Electrical & utility systems upgrade.1,80096-D-455 200 Area sanitary sewer system.1,80096-D-458 Residue elimination project. Rocky Flats33,10096-D-470 Environmental monitoring laboratory.3,50096-D-471 CFC WARC/chillar retrofit. Savennah1,5001,50086-D-472 Plant engineering & Design. Savannah1,5001,50086-D-473 Health physics site support facility.2,00096-D-473 Health physics site support facility.2,00096-D-454 324 Facility compliance/renovation.2,9002,800	 Total, Waste Management	2,493.369	2,470,598
<pre>nstruction GP-D-71 General plant projects, various lecations</pre>	nnslogy development	389,327 16,158	
GP-D-171 General plant projects, various       34,724          96-D-456 Thermal treatment system. Richland, WA        1,000         96-D-458 Site drainage control, Mound Plant.       885       885         96-D-451 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho       1,539       1,539         96-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory, ID       1,126          96-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory, ID       1,126          96-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory, ID       1,126          96-D-453 Control facilities area (CFA) craft shop       724          96-D-454 Electrical & utility systems upgrade,       Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID       724          96-D-455 200 Area sanitary sewer system,       1,800        33,100         96-D-470 Environmental monitoring laboratory,       3,500        35,100          96-D-472 Plant engineering & Design, Savannah       1,500       1,500       1,500       1,500       <	slear materials and facilities stabilization	1,462,117	1,447,108
96-D-458 Site drainage control, Mound Plant, Miamiaburg, CM.       885       885         96-D-451 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho       1,539       1,539         98-D-481 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho       1,539       1,539         98-D-482 Health physics instrument Laboratory, ID.       1,126          98-D-483 Central facilities area (CFA) craft shop Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID.       724          98-D-484 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID.       4,952       4,952         98-D-485 200 Area sanitary sewer system, Richland, MA.       1,800          98-D-485 Residue elimination project, Rocky Flats        33,100         98-D-470 Environmental monitoring Laboratory, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC.       3,500          98-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC.       1,500       1,500         96-D-472 Plant engineering & Design, Savannah River, Suth Caroline.       2,000          98-D-473 Health physics site support facility, Savannah River, South Caroline.       2,900       2,900         98-D-473 Health physics site support facility, Savannah River, South Caroline.       2,900       2,900         98-D-155 Upgrade site roed infrastructure, Savannah River, South Caroline.       2,900       2,900	GP-D-171 General plant projects, various	34,724	
Miamiaburg, OM	95-D-457 Thermal treatment system, Richland, WA		1,000
SG-D-451 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho       1,539       1,539         SG-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory, ID.       1,126          SG-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory, ID.       1,126          SG-D-453 Central facilities area (CFA) craft shop       1,126          SG-D-453 Central facilities area (CFA) craft shop       724          SG-D-454 Electrical & utility systems upgrade,       1,800       724          SG-D-455 COO Area sanitary sewer system,       1,800        35,100         SG-D-455 Residue elimination project, Rocky Flats        33,100          SG-D-470 Environmental monitoring laboratory,       3,500           SG-D-471 CFC MAG/ofillsr retrofit, Savennah       1,500       1,500       1,500         SG-D-472 Plant engineering & Design, Savennah       4,000	95-D-458 Site drainage control, Mound Plant, Miamiahung, OM	885	885
95-D-452 Health physics instrument Laboratory, Idaho Mational Engineering Laboratory, ID.       1,126         95-D-453 Central facilities area (CFA) creft shop Idaho Mational Engineering Laboratory, ID.       724         95-D-454 Electrical & utility systems upgrade. Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID.       4,952       4,952         95-D-455 200 Area sanitary sewer system. Richland, MA.       1,800          95-D-455 Residue elimination project, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Ce.        33,100         95-D-470 Environmental monitoring Laboratory, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC.       3,500          96-D-477 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC.       1,500       1,500         96-D-472 Plant engineering & Design, Savannah River, Such Caroline.       2,000          95-D-155 Upgrade site roed infrastructure, Savannah River, South Caroline.       2,900       2,900         95-D-156 Radio trunking system, Savannah River, SC       6,000       5,000       5,000	96-D-461 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho		
96-D-483 Central facilities area (CFA) craft shop Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	95-D-452 Health physics instrument laboratory,		1,038
Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID		1,128	-
Ideho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National       4,952       4,952         Engineering Laboratory, ID.       4,952       4,952         Seb-D-455 200 Area sanitary sewer system,       1,800          Richland, WA.       1,800          95-D-455 Residue elimination project, Rocky Flats        33,100         95-D-456 Residue elimination project, Rocky Flats        33,100         95-D-470 Environmental monitoring laboratory,       3,500          98-D-471 CFC MVAC/chillar retrofit, Savannah       1,500       1,500         96-D-472 Plant engineering & Design, Savannah       1,500          96-D-472 Plant engineering & Design, Savannah       4,000          96-D-473 Health physics site support facility,       2,000          95-D-155 Upgrade site roed infrastructure,       2,900       2,900         95-D-156 Radio trunking system, Savannah River, SC       6,000       6,000         95-D-156 Radio trunking system, Savannah River, SC       6,000       6,000	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	724	
Richland, WA	96-0-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrade, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID	4,952	4,952
Plant, Golden, Co		1,800	
Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC	96-D-488 Residue elimination project, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Co		33,100
River Sits, Aiken, SC		3,500	
River Site, Aiken, SC	98-D-47; CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Sevenneh River Site, Aiken, SC	1,500	1,500
96-0-473 Health physics site support facility, Savannah River, South Caroline	95-D-472 Plant engineering & Design, Savannah River Site, Aiken, Sc	4,000	
95-D-155 Upgrade site road infrastructure,       2,900       2,900         Savannah River, South Carolina	95-0-473 Health physics site support facility.	2,000	
95-D-156 Radio trunking system, Savannah River, SC 6,000 6,000 95-D-454 324 Facility compliance/renovation,	95-D-155 Upgrade site road infrastructure,	2,900	2,900
95-0-454 324 Facility compliance/renovation.			
	95-D-454 324 Facility compliance/renovation, Richland, WA	3,500	3,500

	Budget Estimate	Conference
95-0-456 Security facilities conselidation, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, INEL, Idaho	8,382	8,382
94-0-122 Underground storage tanks, Rocky Flats Plant, CO	5,000	5,000
94-0-401 Emergency response facility, INEL, ID	5,074	5,074
94-0-412 300 area process sower piping system upgrade, Richland, WA	1,000	1,000
94-D-418 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory medical facilities, INEL, ID	3,601	3,601
94-D-451 Infrastructure replacement, Rocky Flats Plant, CO	2,940	2,940
93-0-147 Domestic water system upgrade, Phase I & II, Savannah River, South Carolina	7,130	7,130
93-D-172 Idaho national engineering Laboratory electrical upgrade, INEL, ID	124	
82-0-123 Plant fire/security slarm system replacement, Rocky Flats Plant, Goldon, CO	9,560	9,560
92-D-125 Master safeguerds and security agreement/materials surveillance task force security upgrades, Rocky Flats Plant, CO	7,000	7,000
92-D-181 Idaho national engineering laboratory fire and life safety improvements, INEL, ID	6,883	6,883
91-D-127 Criticality alarm & plant annunciation utility replacement, Rocky Flats plant, Golden, CO	2,800	2,800
Subtotel, Construction	128,644	114,748
Total, Nuclear materials & fmc. stabilization	1,590,761	1,561,854
mpliance and program coordination	66,053	31.251
95-E-600 Hazardous materials training center, Richland, Washington	15,000	15,000
Total, Compliance and program coordination	\$1,053	44,251
alysis, education and risk management	156,430	90,022
Subtotal, Defense environmental management	6,300,678	6,261,772
avannah river pension refund se of prior year balances	-276,942	-37,000 -667,240
TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRON. RESTORATION AND WASTE MONT	5,966,736	5,557,532
THER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES		
ther national security programs Verification and control technology		
Nonproliferation and control technology Anas control. Intelligence	160,933	246,142 160,964 42,336
Subtotal, Verification and control technology		449,442
Nuclear safeguards and security Security investigations Security evaluations	32,871 14,658	83,395 20,000 14,707 17,679

	Budget Entimate	Conference
Worker and community transition Fisile materials control and disposition Emergency menagement	62.975	82,500 70,000 23,321
Total, Other national security programs	756,827	761,044
Naval reactors Naval reactors development Construction GPM-101 General plant projects, various	-	652,568
locations 98-0-200 Laboratory systems and hot call upgrades, various locations	6,600	6,600
	11,300	11,300
95-D-201 Advanced test reactor radioactive waste system upgrades, Idaho Mational Engineering Laboratory, 10	4,800	4,800
<b>93-0-200 Engineering services facilities</b> Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Niskeyuna, NY	3,900	3,900
90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactore Facility, ID	3,000	3,000
Subtotal, Construction	29,600	29,600
Total, Nevel reactors	578,300	682,163
Subtotal, Other defense activities	1,438,127	1,443,212
Use of prior year balances	-13,000	-70,000
TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	1,423,127	1,373,212
DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL		
Defense nuclear waste disposal	198,053	248,400
TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES	11,097,283	10,639,458
DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION		
Administrative operations Office of the Socretary - salaries and expenses General management - personnel compensation and benefits		185,000
General management - other expenses		
Minerity isonomic impect. Policy analysis and system studies Concumer affairs Public affairs. Environments policy studies	5,884 45 92 7,700	2,900 2,900 40 50 4,000
Scientific and technical training Subtotal, Program support	2,248	1,000
Total, Administrative operations	411,828	355,390
Cost of work for athers		
		-11,519
Use of unobligated balances and other adjustments Totsl, Departmental administration (gross)		356,697
Miscellaneous revenues	-137,306	-122,306
TOTAL. DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION (net)	285,829	244,391

	Budget Estimate	Conference
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL		
Office of Inspector General	32,611 -1,915	26,915 -1,915
TOTAL, OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL	30,696	25,000
OWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS		
LASKA POWER ADMINISTRATION		
peration and maintenance	4,260	4,260
DUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		********
peration and meintenance Operating expense Purchase power and wheeling	3,472 26,416	3,472 26,430
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	29,888	29,902
se of prior year balances	-10,059	-10,059
TOTAL, SOUTHEASTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION		19,843
- DUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	ومعووات الثانة نشاب ال	
peration and maintenance Operating expenses Purchase power and wheeling	20,897 1,484 7,789	20,897 1,464 7,931
Subtotal. Operation and maintenance	30,150	30.292
e of prior year balances	-514	-514
TOTAL, SOUTHWESTERN POWER ADMINISTRATION	29,636	29,778
STERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	-	
Peration and maintenance Construction and rehebilitation	64,816 123,358 97,322 5,283	51,125 125,255 93,709 5,283
Subtotal, Operation and maintenance	290,779	275, 372
se of prior year balances ransfer of authority from Department of Interior	-8,020 (4,556)	-17,720 (4,556)
TOTAL, WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION	282,759	267,682
LCON AND AMISTAD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE FUND		
peretion and maintenance	1,000	1,000
TAL, POWER MARKETING ADMINISTRATIONS	337,484	312, 533
EDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY CONNISSION		
nderal energy regulatory commission e of prior year balances (FERC) ERC revenues	151,567 -15,000 -136,567	146,290 -18,000 -131,290
TOTAL, FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION	***	***************
UCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL FUND		
scretionary funding	-	151,600

	Budget Estimate	Conference
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS		
Energy Supply, Research and Development	3,355,521	2,727,407
Uranium Supply and Enrichment Activities Revenues	78,441 -34,903	64,197 -34,903
Total, Uranium supply and enrichment	40, 538	29,294
Uranium enrichment DED fund General Science and Research Activities Nuclear Weste Disposal Fund	1.011.699	278,807 961,000 151,600
Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Defense function	(5,985,738) (991,063)	
Total, Environmental Restoration and Waste Mgmt	(6,977,799)	(5,457,880)
Atomic Energy Defense Activities Wespons Activities Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Mgmt Other Defense Activities Defense nuclear waste disposal	1.423.127	3,460,314 5,557,532 1,373,212 248,400
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	11,097,283	10,639,458
Departmental Administration Revenues	423,135 -137,306	366, 697 -122, 306
Total, Departmental administration	285,829	244,391
Office of the Inspector General	30,696	25,000
Power Marketing Administrations Alaska Power Administration Southeastern Power Administration Southwestern Power Administration	282.759	4,250 19,843 29,778 257,652 1,000
Total, Power Marketing Administrations	337,484	312,533
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	 	우는
TOTAL, ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT ACCOUNTS	16,447,857	15,389,490

#### INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

# APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

Amendment No. 41: Appropriates \$170,000,000 instead of \$142,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$182,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Of the total amount appropriated, \$57,355,000 is provided for area development, \$3,645,000 is provided for salaries and expenses, and \$109,000,000 is provided for the highway program.

The conferees direct that the Commission establish new area development allocation criteria which place greater emphasis on assistance to the more severely distressed counties.

### DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Amendment No. 42: Appropriates \$343,000 for Salaries and Expenses instead of \$440,000 as proposed by the Senate and appropriates \$428,000 as a contribution to the Delaware River Basin Commission instead of \$478,000 as proposed by the Senate and deletes language related to the compensation of the United States Commissioner as proposed by the Senate. The House included no similar provision.

The conferees agree to provide final year funding for the Delaware River Basin Commission. Funding is provided to facilitate an orderly transition to financial self-sufficiency of the compact states and an orderly termination of the Office of the Federal Commissioner. Committees of authorizing jurisdiction will have an opportunity during fiscal year 1996 to address any new institutional arrangements or revisions to the Delaware River Basin Compact that are necessary or desirable due to the prospective termination of Federal funding.

### INTERSTATE COMMISSION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN

Amendment No. 43: Appropriates \$511,000 as proposed by the Senate. The House included no similar provision.

The conferees agree to provide final year funding for the Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin. Funding is provided to facilitate an orderly transition to financial self-sufficiency of the compact states. Committees of authorizing jurisdiction will have an opportunity during fiscal year 1996 to address any new institutional arrangements or revisions to the compact creating the Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin that are necessary or desirable due to the prospective termination of Federal funding.d

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### SALARIES AND EXPENSES

Amendment No. 44: Appropriates \$468,300,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$474,300,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 45: Derives \$11,000,000 from the Nuclear Waste Fund as proposed by the House instead of \$17,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 46: Provides for a net appropriation of \$11,000,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$17,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

# NUCLEAR WASTE TECHNICAL REVIEW BOARD

Amendment No. 47: Appropriates \$2,531,000 as proposed by the House instead of \$2,664,000 as proposed by the Senate.

### SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Amendment No. 48: Appropriates \$318,000 for Salaries and Expenses instead of \$280,000 as proposed by the Senate and appropriates \$250,000 as a contribution to the Susquehanna River Basin Commission instead of \$288,000 as proposed by the Senate and deletes language relating to the compensation of the United States Commissioner as proposed by the Senate. The House included no similar provision.

The conferees agree to provide final year funding for the Susquehanna River Basin Commission. Funding is provided to facilitate an orderly transition to financial self-sufficiency of the compact states and an orderly termination of the Office of the Federal Commissioner. Committees of authorizing jurisdiction will have an opportunity during fiscal year 1996 to address any new institutional arrangements or revisions to the Susquehanna River Basin Compact that are necessary or desirable due to the prospective termination of Federal funding.

### TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Amendment No. 49: Appropriates \$109,169,000 for the Tennessee Valley Authority instead of \$103,339,000 as proposed by the House and \$110,339,000 as proposed by the Senate.

The appropriation is to be distributed among TVA programs as follows: \$71,169,000 for stewardship and land and water; \$5,000,000 for Land Between the Lakes; \$16,000,000 for economic development; and \$17,000,000 for the environmental research center.

In conjunction with its efforts to reduce the need for future appropriations at Land Between the Lakes through reductions, savings and efficiencies, TVA may continue to use its flexibility to allocate up to an additional \$1,000,000 from its Stewardship funds to LBL. This flexibility will allow TVA, if the need arises due to a lack of funds or other emergency and/or crisis situations, to allocate additional funding to promote the facilitation of LBL's transition to increased financial self-sufficiency.

Amendment No. 50: Includes language proposed by the Senate that requires the Tennessee Valley Authority to submit to Congress a plan for obtaining funding for the Environmental Research Center from other sources amended to extend the deadline for submission of such plan and to delete limitations on expenditures for the TVA Environmental Research Center.

# TITLE V

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 51: Deletes language proposed by the House repealing Sec. 505 of Public Law 102–377 which prohibits the use of funds to conduct studies relating to changes in pricing hydroelectric power by the six Federal public power authorities and Sec. 208 of Public Law 99–349 which prohibits the use of funds by the executive branch to solicit proposals, prepare studies, or draft proposals to transfer out of Federal ownership the Federal power marketing administrations located within the contiguous 48 States, but accepts House language repealing Sec. 510 of Public Law 101–514 which prohibits the use of funds by the executive branch to change the employment levels determined by the administrators of the Federal power marketing administrations to be necessary to carry out their responsibilities. The conferees agree that the statutory limitations do not prohibit the Legislative Branch from initiating or conducting studies or collecting information regarding the sale or transfer of the power marketing administrations to non-Federal ownership.

The conference agreement also inserts language which extends the due date for the report required to be submitted by Title 30 of Public Law 102–575, the Western Water Policy Review Act of 1992. This extension is required because of the delay by the Administration in establishing the Western Water Policy Review Advisory Commission. The Bureau of Reclamation may use up to \$800,000 of available funds in support of the work of the Commission.

Amendment No. 52: Deletes language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate providing that no funds may be used for programs, projects, or activities not in compliance with applicable Federal law relating to risk assessment, protection of property rights, or unfunded mandates and inserts language which extends the authorization for the Trinity River Restoration Program of the Central Valley Project, California, for one year. The conferees are aware that the House Resources Committee currently has under consideration legislation to extend the authorization for this program. This temporary extension will permit work to continue on this important program pending action by the authorizing committee.

Amendment No. 53: Deletes language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate reducing the Nuclear Waste Disposal Fund by \$1,000, and inserts language that directs the Secretary of the Interior to proceed without delay with construction of those facilities of the Animas-La Plata Project, Colorado and New Mexico, identified for construction in the Final Biological Opinion for the project dated October 25, 1991.

project dated October 25, 1991. Amendment No. 54: Deletes language proposed by the House and stricken by the Senate which provides that none of the funds available in the Act for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Upper Mississippi River—Illinios Waterway Navigation Study may be used to study any portion of the Mississippi River above Lock and Dam 14.

The conferees believe that the language contained in the House-passed bill could restrict the ability of the Corps of Engineers to undertake a comprehensive study of the navigation needs on the Upper Mississippi River and Illinois Waterway and have, therefore, agreed to delete the language. The conferees do agree, however, with the intent of the language and direct that the Corps of Engineers not study any large-scale improvements on the Upper Mississippi River above Lock and Dam 14.

Amendment No. 55: Deletes language inserted by the Senate pertaining to the amount of fish and wildlife costs that the Bonneville Power Administration could incur, and inserts language amending Public Law 88–552 and the Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act to permit the Bonneville Power Administration to sell excess Federal power outside the Pacific Northwest; requiring the Northwest Power and Conservation Planning Council to provide a report to Congress; authorizing the Corps of Engineers to procure goods through Bonneville using the authorities available to the Administrator; maintaining the residential exchange power program through fiscal year 1997; providing Bonneville Power Administration employees with a voluntary separation incentive up to \$25,000; and authorizing these authorities to extend beyond the fiscal year.

The conferees are deeply concerned over the escalating and uncoordinated fish and wildlife costs imposed on the Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) and its customers due to Endangered Species Act compliance. The conferees are concerned that the current inability to control BPA's fish and wildlife costs may result in the shifting of costs—both directly and indirectly—to the Nation's taxpayers and to non-Federal interests on the Columbia and Snake River system. Such non-Federal interests include the region's electric ratepayers, agriculture, non-Federal hydroelectric projects owners, river users, reservoir users, water interests, and others. The conferees strongly urge BPA and the Administration to resist the temptation to shift fish and wildlife costs onto the Nation's taxpayers and these non-Federal interests.

The conferees understand that there is a nearly unanimous call from affected parties—user groups, and ratepayers—in the region of Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Montana to start the review of the Pacific Northwest Power Planning and Conservation Act. The provisions of the Northwest Power Act that deserve careful consideration include, but are not limited to, containing the region's fish and wildlife costs, coordinating fish and wildlife expenditures, and granting the region the ability to make the decisions with respect to such costs. The conferees, therefore, urge a renewed review of the Northwest Power Act within the authorizing committees in the next session of Congress in an effort to answer these and other important issues confronting the region.

The conferees understand the Administration is taking steps to control fish and wildlife costs as an interim measure. In addition, the conferees direct the agencies involved to enter into a Memorandum of Agreement establishing an overall salmon recovery budget, and detailing the manner in which such budget will be implemented.

Sale of Excess Federal Power.—Excess power may be generated by routine power operations, or fish and wildlife operations, of either the Federal Columbia River Power System or other electric power plants from which Bonneville is contractually obligated to acquire electric power.

This section removes restrictions from power made excess to BPA contractual obligations by: (1) a customer's decision to remove load from Bonneville, (2) hydrosystem operations, or (3) purchases for the benefit of fish and wildlife. This gives BPA greater flexibility in marketing, to increase its revenue and its competitiveness.

The legislation applies the term "excess power" to this power. Currently, Bonneville's authorizing legislation severely limits Bonneville's flexibility to market such power, putting the agency at a marketing disadvantage and restricting potential revenues. Bonneville may sell excess power without, among other things, the regional preference call back provisions of 60 days for energy sales and 60 months for capacity sales, and without the Bonneville Project Act prohibition on resale of Federal power by private entities not in the business of selling power in the retail market. Surplus power which is surplus for reasons other than the reasons stated above will continue to be governed by existing marketing restrictions.

Bonneville is allowed greater flexibility to provide Pacific Northwest preference notice to regional customers for out-of-region sales. This flexibility may include shorter notice periods and less detailed information on in-progress negotiations. Notice periods may be very short for short-term sales (for example, notice to accommodate hourly sales) and for transactions that must be negotiated quickly. BPA may also provide seasonal notices with price ranges requesting interested parties to contact BPA to purchase power. In all cases, prior to sales outside the Pacific Northwest, Bonneville would continue to offer power first to Northwest utilities and industries purchasing power from Bonneville. Bonneville would offer excess power first to regional customers under the same essential rate, terms and conditions as for the proposed out-of-region sale. The Administrator has discretion in making this determination given that the rate may depend on terms and conditions for one purchaser that would be inapplicable to another purchaser. The rate, as under current law, will continue to be the price that BPA applies to the proposed sale within the parameters of the applicable rate schedule and based on the terms and conditions of the sale.

This legislation poses no significant risk or cost to Bonneville's regional customers because the only power sold outside the region without the restrictions is power abandoned by regional customers and excess power generated or purchased for the benefit of fish and wildlife. No other amount of power can be sold outside the region without such restrictions. Regional customers will continue to receive first right to purchase excess power before it is sold outside the region.

Within 90 days, the Bonneville Power Administration, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Energy, shall deliver a report on the sale of excess Federal power provision to the House Commerce Committee, House Resources Committee, the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee, and the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. This report will be one of the factors considered in the comprehensive review of the Bonneville Power Administration.

*Residential Exchange.*—Establishes the total amount of benefits available for residential and small farm consumers of utilities participating in the residential exchange program under section 5(c) of the Pacific Northwest Power Electric Planning and Conservation Act for fiscal year 1997. All residential exchange benefits will continue to be passed through in their entirety to the eligible residential and small farm consumers of the respective utilities. The conferees recognize the authority of the Bonneville Power Administration to implement in lieu transactions, among other actions, which could effectively terminate the residential exchange after 2001. Consistent with the regional review, Bonneville and its customers should work together to gradually phase out the residential exchange program by October 1, 2001. This should result in total fiscal year 1997 benefits to these consumers being approximately equivalent to the benefits they received in fiscal year 1996.

In order to maintain a sound financial position, the conferees urge, to the extent practicable, BPA to take such actions as are necessary to assure the proposed rate for public utilities and direct services industries are not increased from the initial proposal. In a further effort to prevent load loss, the conferees urge Bonneville to pursue load commitments from its public utility customers at an appropriate level which assures Bonneville's continued financial viability and recognizes customers' desires for load diversification and to capture economies of scale by pooling their resources.

Amendment No. 56: Inserts a provision which would repeal section 7 of the Magnetic Fusion Engineering Act as proposed by the Senate, but does not repeal section 3131(c) of Public Law 101– 510, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991, as proposed by the Senate because this was an erroneous citation.

Amendment No. 57: Deletes language proposed by the Senate expressing the sense of the Senate on the conference on S. 4, the Line Item Veto Act.

Amendment No. 58: Deletes language proposed by the Senate requiring reductions in energy costs of agency facilities.

Amendment No. 59: Inserts language proposed by the Senate regarding the regulation of water levels in Rainy Lake and Namakan Lake in Minnesota, and changes the section number.

# CONFERENCE TOTAL—WITH COMPARISONS

The total new budget (obligational) authority for the fiscal year 1996 recommended by the Committee of Conference, with comparisons to the fiscal year 1995 amount, the 1996 budget estimates, and the House and Senate bills for 1996 follow:

	1 000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1996 20,562,04	1,000
House bill, fiscal year 1996	7,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1996 20,169,15	2,000
Conference agreement, fiscal year 1996 19,336,31	1,000
Conference agreement compared with:	
New budget (obligational) authority, fiscal year 1995 – 706,68	8,000
Budget estimates of new (obligational) authority, fiscal year	
19961.225.73	3,000
House bill, fiscal year 1996	4,000
Senate bill, fiscal year 1996 – 832,84	1,000

93

JOHN T. MYERS, HAROLD ROGERS, JOE KNOLLENBERG, FRANK RIGGS, RODNEY P. FRELINGHUYSEN, JIM BUNN, BOB LIVINGSTON, TOM BEVILL, VIC FAZIO, JIM CHAPMAN, *Managers on the Part of the House.* PETE V. DOMENICI, MARK O. HATFIELD, THAD COCHRAN, SLADE GORTON, MITCH MCCONNELL, ROBERT F. BENNETT, CONRAD BURNS, ROBERT C. BYRD, FRITZ HOLLINGS, HARRY REID, BOB KERREY, PATTY MURRAY, *Managers on the Part of the Senate.* 

 $\bigcirc$