#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 1994 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET REQUEST ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

#### OVERVIEW

#### ER LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

Improving technology transfer and spinoff from the results of Federally supported R&D at national laboratories is an important factor in strengthening American industrial competitiveness and creating jobs. DOE is implementing a program focussed on opportunities at the Energy Research Laboratories for increased collaboration among industry, the government, and universities, and expedited spinoff of results of Federally developed R&D. "Spinoff" is the further development and application of technology which was developed by another R&D program for another purpose. There are many such spinoff opportunities at the laboratories. All of the spinoff projects rely upon "market pull" wherein the further development and application needs. In order to incorporate market-pull within the program, cost sharing by the industry participant is required for projects. The Energy Research Laboratory Technology Transfer Program is designed to provide funds for spinoff projects which build upon and are consistent with the technologies and missions resident in the sources of R&D collaboratories. The program strongly benefits U.S. competitiveness with a vision of making the national laboratories user friendly sources of R&D collaboration with U.S. industry.

The Energy Research Laboratory Technology Transfer Program is designed to more effectively transfer technology from Energy Research laboratories by supporting cost-shared, spinoff Cooperative Research and Development Agreements (CRADAs) and multi-laboratory partnerships (such as the American Textiles Consortium (AMTEX) Partnership) in accordance with the Department's technology transfer initiative which was implemented in response to the National Competitiveness Technology Transfer Act of 1989 (NCTTA). The program provides support for industry collaboration program offices at each of the laboratories to develop and implement technology maturation projects to prepare technology for eventual spinoff and collaborative development with industry, support personnel exchanges between laboratory and industry to promote further collaboration, and small quick response CRADAs with small business. The program also supports major multi-laboratory cost-shared spinoff partnerships which are technology development programs, jointly planned and managed by the industry and laboratory partners under the leadership of one Energy Research laboratory.

The FY 1994 budget request proposes to continue funding for the industry collaboration program offices (including maturation projects, personnel exchanges and small business CRADAs), spinoff CRADAs, multi-laboratory partnerships and development of a comprehensive evaluation program to assess progress, to identify means to strengthen the program structure, and to improve and expand the mechanisms used to develop partnerships with the private sector.

The program also supports the Technology Utilization function of the DOE Office of the Science and Technology Advisor. This includes activities associated with the overall policy development and coordination of DOE's enhanced Technology Transfer program, outreach activities and training.

This program includes a proposed FY 1993 supplemental request of \$46,961,000 as part of the President's Economic Stimulus Package. The FY 1994 request includes \$30,000,000 for the President's Economic Investment Package.

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 1994 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET REQUEST ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (Tabular dollars in thousands narrative in whole dollars)

# LEAD TABLE

### ER Laboratory Technology Transfer

| Activity                                      | FY 1992<br>Adjusted | FY 1993<br>Appropriation | FY 1993<br>Adjustment | FY 1994<br>Request |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Operating Expenses                            |                     |                          |                       |                    |
| ER Laboratory Technology Transfer             | \$9,546             | \$56,041                 | -\$234                | \$38,353           |
| Technology Utilization                        | 480                 | 1,000                    | -26                   | 1,000              |
| Subtotal, ER Laboratories Technology Transfer | 10,026 a/           | 57,041                   | -260                  | 39,353             |
| Adjustment                                    | 0                   | -46,961                  | o/ · 0                | 0                  |
| TOTAL   | \$10,026            | \$10,080                 | _\$260 c/             | \$39,353           |
| Staffing (FTEs)                               | (Reference Advis    | ory and Oversight        | Program Direction)    |                    |

Authorizations:

P.L. 95-91, "Departmental of Energy Organization Act" (1977), Section 209

a/ Total has been reduced by \$124,000 which has been transferred to the Small Business Innovative Research program.

b/ Pending supplemental.

c/ General reduction for use of prior year balances.

# DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 1994 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET REQUEST ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (Tabular dollars in thousands narrative in whole dollars)

# SUMMARY OF CHANGES

# ER Laboratory Technology Transfer

| FY | 1993 Appropriation   | \$ 10,080        |
|----|--|------------------|
| -  | Adjustment -   |                  |
|    | Pending supplemental   | +46,961          |
|    | General reduction for use of prior year balances   | -260             |
| FY | 1993 Adjusted  | \$ 56,781        |
| -  | Initiate fewer technology maturation, personnel exchanges and small spinoff CRADA projects.  | -4,500           |
| -  | Continue support of on-going spinoff CRADA projects; no new spinoff CRADA project starts     | -10,928          |
| -  | Continue support for on-going AMTEX projects; initiate one new multi-laboratory partnership. | -2,000           |
| FY | 1994 Congressional Budget Request  | <u>\$ 39,353</u> |

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 1994 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET REQUEST ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (dollars in thousands)

#### KEY ACTIVITY SUMMARY

#### ER LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

#### I. Preface: ER Laboratory Technology Transfer

This program fulfills the legislative mandate to more effectively transfer research and technology from Energy Research laboratories to industry, in accordance with the National Technology Initiative, the Energy Policy Act of 1992 and the Office of Science and Technology Policy policies and guidelines. The goal of the program is to link private sector and Energy Research laboratories' capabilities to enhance U.S. competitiveness through collaborative technology research. By design, this program provides only partial funding for technology research projects and personnel exchanges with industry and universities. Mandatory cost-sharing by industry and other partners ensures that cooperative projects will focus on those that generate real interest in the private sector and facilitate the transfer of technology. Proposed projects, such as CRADAs, are selected for funding based on merit, rather than by a blanket allocation to each laboratory. The FY 1994 request supports cost-shared spinoff CRADAs, two multi-laboratory partnerships in key industrial sectors, personnel exchanges, technology maturation projects, small CRADAs, particularly with small business, industry collaboration program offices, and development of a comprehensive evaluation program.

Note, the FY 1993 enacted column below includes a pending supplemental appropriation of \$46,961,000, as part of the Economic Stimulus Package.

#### II. A. Summary Table: ER Laboratory Technology Transfer

|        | Program Activity                              |    | Y 1992<br>nacted |    | Y 1993<br>nacted |           | Y 1994<br>equest | % Change |
|--------|---|----|------------------|----|------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|
|        | ER Laboratory Technology Transfer             | \$ | 9,546            | \$ | 55,807           | \$        | 38,353           | - 31     |
|        | Total, ER Laboratory Technology Transfer      | \$ | 9,546            | \$ | 55,807           | \$        | 38,353           | - 31     |
| II. B. | Major Laboratory and Facility Funding         |    |                  |    |                  |           |                  |          |
|        | AMES LABORATORY                               | \$ | 0                | \$ | 500              | \$        | 500              | 0        |
|        | ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (EAST)            | \$ | 1,908            | \$ | 10,200           | Ş         | 6,900            | - 32     |
|        | BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY                | Ş  | 1,046            | Ş  | 8,400            | 5         | 5,900            | - 30     |
|        | CONTINUOUS ELECTRON BEAM ACCELERATOR FACILITY | Ş  | 0                | Ş  | 500              | 5         | 500              | 0        |
|        | FERMI NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY         | Ş  | 0                | Ş  | 500              | <b>\$</b> | 500              | 0        |
|        | IDAHO NATIONAL ENGINEERING LABORATORY - EG&G  | \$ | 0                | \$ | 500              | \$        | 500              | 0        |
|        | LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY                  | \$ | 2,152            | \$ | 10,200           | \$        | 6,200            | - 39     |
|        | OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY                 | \$ | 2,078            | \$ | 11,000           | \$        | 6,800            | - 38     |
|        | PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORY                  | \$ | 1,985            | \$ | 10,700           | \$        | 7,700            | - 28     |
|        | PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY           | \$ | 60               | \$ | 500              | \$        | 500              | 0        |
|        | STANFORD LINEAR ACCELERATOR CENTER            | \$ | 0                | \$ | 500              | \$        | 500              | 0        |
|        | SUPERCONDUCTING SUPER COLLIDER LABORATORY     | \$ | 0                | \$ | 500              | \$        | 500              | 0        |

III. Activity Descriptions: (New BA in thousands of dollars)

| Program Activity                     | FY 1992  | FY 1993  | fices at the 5 ER multi-program<br>boratories. Provide funding to the 5<br>multi-program and 6 ER dedicated<br>boratories to support initiation of<br>w personnel exchanges, new technology<br>turation projects, and new small<br>ADAs, particularly with small<br>siness.<br>new spinoff CRADA project starts.<br>new spinoff CRADA project starts.<br>new spinoff CRADA project starts. |  |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ER Laboratory<br>Technology Transfer |  |  |  |  |
| ER Laboratory<br>Technology Transfer | Funded 40 Industry/Laboratory and<br>University/Laboratory cost-shared<br>personnel exchanges for technology<br>transfer.  | Support industry collaboration program<br>offices at the 5 ER multi-program<br>laboratories. Provide funding to the 5<br>ER multi-program and 6 ER dedicated<br>laboratories to support new personnel<br>exchanges, technology maturation<br>projects, and new small spinoff CRADAs<br>particularly with small business. | Support industry collaboration program<br>offices at the 5 ER multi-program<br>laboratories. Provide funding to the 5<br>ER multi-program and 6 ER dedicated<br>laboratories to support initiation of<br>new personnel exchanges, new technology<br>maturation projects, and new small<br>CRADAs, particularly with small<br>business.   |  |
|                                      | Continue support of 5 CRADAs initiated<br>in FY 1991 to perform technology<br>research to advance promising<br>technologies in key technical areas in<br>partnership with industry. Funded 37<br>new cost-shared CRADAs at ER<br>laboratories. | Continue support of 34 on-going spinoff<br>CRADAs.   | No new spinoff CRADA project starts.   |  |
|                                      | No activity.   | Initiate 64 new CRADA projects and<br>other technology transfer initiatives<br>with \$46,961,000 of proposed funding<br>for the economic stimulus package.   | Continue support of 64 on-going spinoff<br>CRADA projects. This budget includes<br>\$30,000,000 for the economic investment<br>package.  |  |
|                                      | No activity.   | Implement the American Textiles<br>Consortium (AMTEX) multi-laboratory<br>partnership. Initiate development of<br>new major partnerships in key<br>industrial sectors.   | Continue support for AMTEX on-going<br>projects. Initiate one new<br>multi-laboratory partnership in a key<br>industrial sector.   |  |
|                                      | Funding in the amounts of \$124 has been transferred to the SBIR program.  | Funding in the amount of \$838 has been budgeted for the SBIR program.   | Funding in the amount of \$575 has been budgeted for the SBIR program.   |  |
|                                      | \$ 9,546   | \$ 55,807  | \$ 38,353  |  |
| ER Laboratory<br>Technology Transfer | \$ 9.546   | \$ 55,807  | \$ 38,353  |  |

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FY 1994 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET REQUEST ENERGY SUPPLY, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (dollars in thousands)

#### KEY ACTIVITY SUMMARY

#### ER LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

#### I. Preface: Technology Utilization

The Director of Technology Utilization (DTU) in the Office of Science and Technology Advisor is responsible for activities associated with the coordination, implementation and evaluation of DOE's Enhanced Technology Transfer Program. As a voice for technology commercialization stakeholders, the DTU serves as an advocate for technology transfer both internal and external to the Department. Activities include:

- Providing analytical and other support to the activities of the Technology Transfer Committee (TTC) made up of representatives from other parts of the department. The TTC is expected to further inter-program coordination through formation of a number of ad hoc and standing subcommittees and working groups. In addition to the TTC, the DTU will also provide analytical and other support to the activities of a number of ad hoc task forces and working groups associated with Departmental technology transfer, commercialization and utilization activities.

- Providing analytical and other support as part of DOE contribution to activities with other Federal agencies, state and local governments, trade associations, universities, the Federal Laboratory Consortium and other relevant groups outside of DOE on technology transfer, commercialization and utilization activities.

- Development, in coordination with Program Secretarial Officers, of a Department-wide technology transfer education and training program, outreach activities and a performance measurement and reporting system.

- Performance of cross-cutting technology and utilization studies and pilot activities.

#### II. A. Summary Table: Technology Utilization

II. B.

|    | Program Activity  |                                  | 1992<br>acted           |                            | 1993<br>acted              |                                  | ( 1994<br>equest                 | % Change                                  |
|----|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
|    | Technology Utilization  | \$                               | 480                     | \$                         | 974                        | \$                               | 1,000                            | + 3                                       |
|    | Total, Technology Utilization   | \$                               | 480<br>======           | \$                         | 974                        | \$                               | 1,000                            | + 3                                       |
| ١. | Major Laboratory and Facility Funding   |                                  |                         |                            |                            |                                  |                                  |   |
|    | ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (EAST)<br>BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY<br>LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY<br>OAK RIDGE INSTITUTE FOR SCIENCE & EDUCATION<br>PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORY<br>SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$ | 217<br>0<br>0<br>2<br>0 | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$ | 50<br>0<br>300<br>75<br>25 | \$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$<br>\$ | 50<br>50<br>50<br>40<br>50<br>50 | 0<br>>999<br>>999<br>- 87<br>- 33<br>+100 |

III. Activity Descriptions: (New BA in thousands of dollars)

| Program Activity          | FY 1992   | FY 1993  | FY 1994   |
|---------------------------|---|--|---|
| Technology<br>Utilization |   |  |   |
| Technology<br>Utilization | Developed tailored model CRADAs and<br>umbrella CRADAs for specific,<br>identified industry groups.   | Continue to develop tailored model<br>CRADAs and umbrella CRADAs for<br>specific, identified industry groups.  | Continue to develop tailored model<br>CRADAs and umbrella CRADAs for<br>specific, identified industry groups.   |
|                           | Completed policy review and analysis of<br>a few key selected issues, such as<br>human genome patenting, conflict of<br>interest and the impact of the Freedom<br>of Information Act requirements on<br>industrial partner confidentiality<br>requirements. | Identify and perform analyses in<br>support of the development of<br>recommended policy solutions to<br>potential and real barriers to<br>technology transfer.   | Identify and perform analyses in<br>support of the development of<br>recommended policy solutions to<br>potential and real barriers to<br>technology transfer. Provide policy<br>recommendations on the specific issues<br>related to technology transfer with<br>small business. |
|                           | Development, implementation and<br>evaluation of DOE's participation in<br>the National Technology Initiative<br>(NTI) conferences, including on-site<br>logistics and technology fair support.   | Provide analytical and other support to<br>DOE's participation in a second series<br>of National Technology Initiative (NTI)<br>conferences, including on-site<br>logistics and technology fair support.   | No activity.  |
|                           | Collected data, performed data<br>validation and analysis, prepared and<br>issued after approval of the Secretary<br>the FY 1991 Annual Report to Congress<br>on Technology Transfer Activities,<br>Accomplishments and Plans.                              | Collect data, perform data validation<br>and analysis, prepare and issue after<br>approval of the Secretary the FY 1992<br>Annual Report to Congress on Technology<br>Transfer Activities, Accomplishments<br>and Plans.                             | Collect data, perform data validation<br>and analysis, prepare and issue after<br>approval of the Secretary the FY 1993<br>Annual Report to Congress on Technology<br>Transfer Activities, Accomplishments<br>and Plans.  |
|                           | Provided analytical and other support<br>to several ongoing task force efforts,<br>such as the machine tool industry<br>working group, the intellectual<br>property counsel staffing study and the<br>ad hoc Classification Committee.                      | Continue to provide analytical and<br>other support to several ongoing task<br>force efforts, such as the machine tool<br>industry working group, the<br>intellectual property counsel staffing<br>study and the ad hoc Classification<br>Committee. | Continue to provide analytical and<br>other support to several ongoing task<br>force efforts, such as the machine tool<br>industry working group, the<br>intellectual property counsel staffing<br>study and the ad hoc Classification<br>Committee.                              |

### III. Technology Utilization (Cont'd):

| Program Activity                   | FY 1992  | FY 1993   | FY 1994  |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Technology<br>Utilization (Cont'd) | Provided analytical and other support<br>to several interagency technology<br>transfer efforts, mainly the<br>Interagency Committee on Federal<br>Laboratory Technology Transfer, its<br>Executive Level Working Group, and<br>several special activity groups that<br>addressed issues such as technology<br>transfer and trade agreements, conflict<br>of interest, and information<br>protection. | Continue to provide analytical and<br>other support to several interagency<br>technology transfer efforts, mainly the<br>Interagency Committee on Federal<br>Laboratory Technology Transfer, its<br>Executive Level Working Group, and<br>several special activity groups that<br>addressed issues such as technology<br>transfer and trade agreements, conflict<br>of interest, and information<br>protection.   | Continue to provide analytical and<br>other support to several interagency<br>technology transfer efforts, mainly the<br>Interagency Committee on Federal<br>Laboratory Technology Transfer, its<br>Executive Level Working Group, and<br>several special activity groups that<br>addressed issues such as technology<br>transfer and trade agreements, conflict<br>of interest, and information<br>protection.  |
|                                    | Provided data, analytical and other<br>support to the Federal Laboratory<br>Consortium, the Department of Commerce,<br>and several other agencies including<br>the National Aeronautics and Space<br>Administration and the Department of<br>Transportation.   | Provide analytical, logistical and<br>other support to developing program<br>linkages with other agencies on<br>technology transfer, including<br>Memoranda of Understanding (MOUs).<br>Provide analytical, logistical and<br>other support to developing program<br>linkages with states and industry<br>groups on technology transfer,<br>including MOUs. Perform data<br>collection and analysis to develop a<br>plan for establishing effective program<br>linkages with state economic<br>development offices, university<br>technology transfer offices and<br>industry/trade associations. | Provide analytical, logistical and<br>other support to developing program<br>linkages with other agencies on<br>technology transfer, including<br>Memoranda of Understanding (MOUS).<br>Provide analytical, logistical and<br>other support to developing program<br>linkages with states and industry<br>groups on technology transfer,<br>including MOU. Perform data collection<br>and analysis to develop a plan for<br>establishing effective program linkages<br>with state economic development<br>offices, university technology transfer<br>offices and industry/trade<br>associations. |
|                                    | No activity.   | Develop a uniform Departmental-wide<br>technology transfer awareness program<br>for all DOE and M&O contractor staff<br>involved with technology transfer.  | No activity.   |

## III. Technology Utilization (Cont'd):

| Program Activity                   | FY 1992      | FY 1993   | FY 1994  |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| Technology<br>Utilization (Cont'd) | No activity. | <pre>Implement National Technology<br/>Initiative follow-up plans:<br/>- Develop and utilize the blue-card<br/>technology transfer issues/problems<br/>related question and answer data base.<br/>- Produce a videotape series on the NTI<br/>for outreach and training.<br/>- Collect feedback from the labs on<br/>response to NTI conferences.<br/>- Produce an NTI interim report<br/>characterizing inputs received during<br/>the NTI first series of conferences.<br/>- Produce a "one year later" NTI<br/>strategy that addresses in part the<br/>major issues/problems identified from<br/>the first series of NTI conferences<br/>(1/93).</pre> | No activity.   |
|                                    | No activity. | No activity.  | Refine policies, procedures, evaluation<br>and assessment measures so as to apply<br>the FOIA exemptions of the<br>Stevenson-Wydler Act to all DOE<br>technology transfer agreements, working<br>with other HQ Offices and Field Office<br>elements. |
|                                    | No activity. | No activities.  | Refine policies, procedures, evaluation<br>and assessment measures for expanding<br>the Secretary's CRADA authority to<br>include all DOE facilities, working<br>with other HQ Offices and Field Office<br>elements.                                 |
|                                    | No activity. | Define implementation plan for Sections<br>2203(c) and 3001(e) of Energy Policy<br>Act of 1992 (EPACT).   | No activity.   |

### III. Technology Utilization (Cont'd):

| Program Activity                   | FY 1992      | FY 1993   | FY 1994   |
|------------------------------------|--------------|---|---|
| Technology<br>Utilization (Cont'd) | No activity. | No activity.  | Refine definitions, policies,<br>procedures and assessment methodologies<br>stemming from DOE's Energy Policy Act<br>of 1992 (EPACT) - conferred authority<br>to enter into "joint ventures" for<br>purpose of "commercial application," in<br>coordination with other HQ Offices and<br>Field Office elements. |
|                                    | No activity. | Collect data, review inputs, establish<br>the content and publish Technology<br>'92-'93.                    | Collect data, review inputs, establish<br>the content and publish Technology<br>'92-'93.  |
|                                    | No activity. | Collect data, review inputs, establish<br>the content and publish the R&D 100<br>Award brochure.            | Collect data, review inputs, establish<br>the content and publish the R&D 100<br>Award brochure.  |
|                                    | No activity. | Develop recommended Departmental<br>approaches to budget and staffing<br>crosscuts for technology transfer. | Continue to develop and refine<br>recommended Departmental approaches to<br>budget and staffing crosscuts for<br>technology transfer.   |
|                                    | No activity. | Funding in the amount of \$13 has been budgeted for the SBIR program.                                       | Funding in the amount of \$13 has been budgeted for the SBIR program.   |
|                                    | \$ 480       | \$ 974  | \$ 1,000  |
| Technology<br>Utilization          | \$ 480       | \$ 974  | \$ 1,000  |

.