

Automatic Differentiation in Computational Science

Boyana Norris norris@mcs.anl.gov http://www.mcs.anl.gov/~norris

Paul Hovland, Sri Hari Krishna Narayanan, Jean Utke

ASCAC Meeting, Nov. 10, 2010



Outline

- Why automatic differentiation?
- Application examples
- □ AD in a nutshell
- Research challenges and opportunities
- Summary



Why automatic differentiation?

□ Given: some numerical model $y = f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$ implemented as a program

Wanted: sensitivity analysis, optimization, parameter (state) estimation, higher order approximation, ...



Why automatic differentiation? (cont.)

Alternative #1: hand-coded derivatives

- hand-coding is tedious and error-prone
- coding time grows with program size and complexity
- automatically generated code may be faster
- no natural way to compute derivative matrix-vector products (Jv, $J^{T}v$, Hv) without forming full matrix
- maintenance is a problem (must maintain consistency)
- □ Alternative #2: finite difference approximations
 - introduce truncation error that in the best case halves the digits of accuracy
 - cost grows with number of independents
 - no natural way to compute $J^T v$ products

→ use tools to do it at least semi-automatically!

AD in computational science

- AD is used in applications for computing
 - Gradients
 - Jacobian projections
 - Hessian projections
 - Higher-order derivatives (full or partial tensors, univariate tensor series)
- Derivatives are used for
 - Measuring the sensitivity of a simulation to unknown or poorly known parameters (e.g., how does ocean bottom topography affect flow?)
 - Assessing the role of algorithm parameters in a numerical solution (e.g., how does the filter radius impact a large eddy simulation?)
 - Computing a descent direction in numerical optimization (e.g., compute gradients and Hessians for use in aircraft design)
 - Solving discretized nonlinear PDEs (e.g., compute Jacobians or Jacobian-vector products for combustion simulations)

Application highlights

- Atmospheric chemistry
- Breast cancer biostatistical analysis
- □ CFD: CFL3D, NSC2KE, (Fluent 4.52: Aachen) ...
- Chemical kinetics
- Climate and weather: MITgcm, MM5, CICE
- Semiconductor device simulation
- Water reservoir simulation
- Mechanical engineering (design optimization)



Parameter tuning: Sea ice model

- Simulated (yellow) and observed (green) March ice thickness (m)

Tuned parameters

Standard parameters



Application: Sensitivity analysis in simplified climate model

- Sensitivity of flow through Drake Passage to ocean bottom topography
 - Finite difference approximations: 23 days
 - Naïve automatic differentiation: 2 hours 23 minutes
 - Smart automatic differentiation: 22 minutes



Application: Preliminary results for MITgcm

- Time for one simulation run (20 years at 4 degree resolution):
 51.75 hrs
- Time for one gradient computation using AD: 204.2 hrs (8.5 days)



Application: mesh quality optimization

- Optimization used to move mesh vertices to create elements as close to equilateral triangles/tetrahedra as possible
- Semi-automatic differentiation is 10-25% faster than hand-coding for gradient and 5-10% faster than hand-coding for Hessian
- Automatic differentiation is a factor 2-5 times faster than finite differences





Application: solution of nonlinear PDEs

□ Jacobian-free Newton-Krylov solution of model problem (driven cavity)



Time to solution (sec)

- AD = automatic differentiation
- FD = finite differences
- W = noise estimate for Brown-Saad

Automatic differentiation (AD) in a nutshell

- Technique for computing analytic derivatives of programs
- Derivatives are used in a many numerical algorithms, including nonlinear equation solvers, optimization algorithms, and uncertainty quantification
- AD = analytic differentiation of elementary functions + propagation by chain rule
 - Every programming language provides a limited number of elementary mathematical functions
 - Thus, every function computed by a program may be viewed as the composition of these so-called intrinsic functions
 - Derivatives for the intrinsic functions are known and can be combined using the chain rule of differential calculus

AD in a nutshell (cont.)

- Associativity of the chain rule leads to two main modes: forward and reverse
- Can be implemented using source transformation or operator overloading



Modes of AD

Forward Mode

- Propagates derivative vectors, often denoted ∇u or <u>g_u</u>
- Derivative vector ∇u contains derivatives of u with respect to independent variables
- Time and storage proportional to vector length (# indeps)
- Reverse Mode
 - Propagates adjoints, denoted $\bar{u} \text{ or } u_bar$
 - Adjoint \bar{u} contains derivatives of dependent variables with respect to u
 - Propagation starts with dependent variables—must reverse flow of computation
 - Time proportional to adjoint vector length (# dependents)
 - Storage proportional to number of operations
 - Because of this limitation, often applied to subprograms

Accumulating derivatives

- Represent function using a directed acyclic graph (DAG)
- Computational graph
 - Vertices are intermediate variables, annotated with function/operator
 - Edges are unweighted
- Linearized computational graph
 - Edge weights are partial derivatives
 - Vertex labels are not needed
- Compute sum of weights over all paths from independent to dependent variable(s), where the path weight is the product of the weights of all edges along the path [Baur & Strassen]
- Find an order in which to compute path weights that minimizes cost (e.g., FLOPS): identify common subpaths (=common subexpressions in Jacobian)

A small example

... lots of code... a = cos(x)b = sin(y)*y*yf = exp(a*b)... lots of code... Now algonoidere 197-58p a dy*g_y(1:p))+tmp1*g_v(1:p) **tmp1 + tmp2** b*g_a(1:p)+a*g_b(1:p) ja*g_a(1:p)+adjb*g_b(1:p) {_1(1:p)



Automatic generation of derivative code

- Automatic differentiation (AD) tools automate the creation of derivative code
- Automatic generation of derivative code from function code offers several benefits relative to hand-coded derivatives
 - Higher productivity
 - Improved quality: hand-coding is tedious and hence error-prone
 - Higher performance: tools explore combinatorial search space
 - Improved software maintenance: easier to maintain consistency
- □ AD tools require:
 - Robust compiler infrastructure (Open64/sL, ROSE)
 - Traditional and domain-specific compiler analyses (OpenAnalysis)
 - Combinatorial algorithms to identify effective strategies for combining partial derivatives (XAIFBooster – CSCAPES)

Argonne-developed AD tools

- OpenAD/F (Argonne/UChicago/Rice)
 - Support for many Fortran 95 features
 - Developed by a team with expertise in combinatorial algorithms, compilers, software engineering, and numerical analysis
 - Forward and reverse; source transformation
- ADIC (Argonne/UChicago)
 - Support for all of C, some C++
 - Source transformation; forward and reverse mode
 - New version (2.0) based on industrial strength compiler infrastructure
 - Shares some infrastructure with OpenAD/F
- ADIFOR (Rice/Argonne)
 - Mature and very robust tool
 - Support for all of Fortran 77
 - Forward and (adequate) reverse modes

OpenAD system architecture



http://www.mcs.anl.gov/OpenAD

Impact*

ADIFOR

- Cited in 232 journal articles (ISI)
- Cited in ~750 online articles (Google Scholar)
- 678 registered users (does not include users registered at Rice)
- 484 subscribers to adifor-users mailing list

ADIC

- Cited in 53 journal articles
- Cited in ~160 online articles
- 861 registered users
- 564 subscribers to adic-users mailing list
- Direct collaboration with several applications groups; funded collaborations with:
 - MIT: Ocean Modeling and State Estimation
 - NASA Langley: Multidisciplinary Design Optimization
 - PNNL: Atmospheric Chemistry

Research challenges and opportunities

Producing more efficient derivative computations

- Identifying and exploiting structure (e.g., sparsity, low rank)
- Numerical algorithms that exploit cheap derivative quantities, e.g., Jacobian-vector and vector-Jacobian products, univariate Taylor series coefficients, etc.
- Elimination strategies
- Compiler analysis
 - Context sensitive, flow sensitive analysis
 - Linearity analysis
 - Parallel, object-oriented programs



Research challenges and opportunities (cont.)

- Mathematical challenges
- Language feature coverage (e.g., C++ templates)
- Multi-language applications
- Different parallel programming models
 - MPI, OpenMP, hybrid, GPGPU, etc.
- Exploiting parallelism in derivative computation
- Efficient checkpointing strategies
- Derivative propagation
 - Hardware acceleration (cell processor, GeForce 8800GTX)
 - Sparse linear combinations (SparsLinC)



Research examples

- Exploiting scarcity reduces both the number of flops to preaccumulate local partials and the number of flops to propagate global derivatives
 - Scarcity: the Jacobian J for a given function f: \Re^n **a** \Re^m may have fewer than n * m degrees of freedom. A scarse J can be represented by a graph with a minimal edge count.
- Matrix coloring for problems with nested sparsity structure can reduce the cost of Jacobian computations for nonlinear PDEs discretized on regular grids (e.g., PETSc DA or DMMG)
- Polynomial-time algorithms for detecting structural properties (e.g., symmetry) of DAGs
- Fully automated derivatives when standard interfaces are available (e.g., NEOS, PETSc)

Matrix Coloring

- Jacobian matrices are often sparse
- The forward mode of AD computes J × S, where S is usually an identity matrix or a vector
- Can "compress" Jacobian by choosing S such that structurally orthogonal columns are combined
- A set of columns are structurally orthogonal if no two of them have nonzeros in the same row
- Equivalent problem: color the graph whose adjacency matrix is J^TJ
- **□** Equivalent problem: distance-2 color the bipartite graph of J

Compressed Jacobian



Example of a challenge: Chain rule (non-)differentiability

```
if (x .eq. 1.0) then
    a = y
else if ((x .eq. 0.0) then
    a = 0
else
    a = x*y
endif
```

```
b = sqrt(x**4 + y**4)
```

Mathematical Challenges

- Derivatives of intrinsic functions at points of nondifferentiability
- Derivatives of implicitly defined functions
- Derivatives of functions computed using numerical methods

Points of nondifferentiability

- Due to intrinsic functions
 - Several intrinsic functions are defined at points where their derivatives are not, e.g.:
 - abs(x), sqrt(x) at x=0
 - max(x,y) at x=y
 - Requirements:
 - Record/report exceptions
 - Optionally, continue computation using some generalized gradient
 - ADIFOR/ADIC approach
 - User-selected reporting mechanism
 - User-defined generalized gradients, e.g.:
 - [1.0,0.0] for max(x,0)
 - [0.5,0.5] for max(x,y)
 - Various ways of handling
 - Verbose reports (file, line, type of exception)
 - Terse summary (like IEEE flags)
 - Ignore
- Due to conditional branches
 - May be able to handle using trust regions

Implicitly Defined Functions

- Implicitly defined functions often computed using iterative methods
- Function and derivatives may converge at different rates
- Derivative may not be "accurate" if iteration halted upon function convergence
- Solutions:
 - Tighten function convergence criteria
 - Add derivative convergence to stopping criteria
 - Compute derivatives directly, e.g. A $\Box x = \Box b$

Derivatives of Functions Computed Using Numerical Methods

- Differentiation and approximation may not commute
- Need to be careful about how derivatives of numerical approximations are used
- For example, differentiating through an ODE integrator can provide unexpected results due to feedback induced by adaptive stepsize control:

$$\nabla z^{1} = \frac{\partial z^{1}}{\partial t^{1}} \nabla t^{1} + \frac{\partial z^{1}}{\partial p}$$



Addressing limitations in black box AD

Detect points of nondifferentiability

- proceed with a subgradient
- currently supported for intrinsic functions, but not conditional statements
- Exploit mathematics to avoid differentiating through an adaptive algorithm
- Modify termination criterion for implicitly defined functions
 - Tighten tolerance
 - Add derivatives to termination test (preferred)



Automatic differentiation and parallelism

- Data-flow analysis framework must become MPI-aware: requires identifying potential send-receive pairs
- Reverse mode dramatically reduces derivative cost for scalar functions

(1 cpu-week versus 1 million cpu-years for a climate model) but requires control and data flow reversal relative to function evaluation

- In message-passing codes, send becomes receive and receive becomes send; situation significantly more complicated in case of nonblocking communication (EuroPVM/MPI2008, PDSEC2009)
- Requirement to restore state in reverse order leads to full state and incremental checkpointing strategies; restarts can be done in parallel
- New prefix-like algorithms for derivatives of parallel reduction operations

Exascale challenges

- AD is a *semantic* transformation and the resulting code may exhibit different concurrency characteristics than the original computation
- Differentiation of some existing (e.g., PGAS) and future programming models
- Checkpointing for reverse mode

Summary

- Automatic differentiation provides a (semi-)automated way for generating accurate derivatives
- AD research spans multiple areas: applied mathematics, combinatorial algorithms, compilers
- AD algorithms and tools must keep pace with
 - Increasingly complex applications
 - Evolving hardware, increasing levels of parallelism
 - Changing programming models and languages



For More Information

- Andreas Griewank and Andrea Walther, Evaluating Derivatives, 2nd edition, SIAM, 2008.
- Griewank, "On Automatic Differentiation"; this and other technical reports available online at: <u>http://www.mcs.anl.gov/autodiff/tech_reports.html</u>
- AD in general: <u>http://www.mcs.anl.gov/autodiff/</u>, <u>http://www.autodiff.org/</u>
- □ ADIFOR: http://www.mcs.anl.gov/adifor/
- □ ADIC: <u>http://www.mcs.anl.gov/adic/</u>
- OpenAD: <u>http://www.mcs.anl.gov/openad/</u>
- □ Other tools: <u>http://www.autodiff.org/</u>

