

#### INCITE Overview March 30, 2010 ASCAC

Julia C. White, INCITE Manager whitejc@DOEleadershipcomputing.org

## What is INCITE?

### INCITE: Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment

Provides awards to academic, government, and industry organizations worldwide needing large allocations of computer time, supporting resources, and data storage to pursue transformational advances in science and industrial competitiveness.

Beginning in 2010, INCITE is jointly run by the ALCF and OLCF, managed by Julia White



## High-resolution climate modeling Providing accurate scenarios of future climate change

#### Key challenges

- Anthropogenic climate change and global warming concerns drive the need to improve the scientific basis for assessing the potential ecological, economic, and social impacts of climate change
- The goal of decadal prediction requires long-time integrations of models at unprecedented resolutions

#### Key benefits

- Predicting and simulating the possibility of abrupt climate change on the timescale of decades rather than centuries
- Mitigating deleterious effects of global climate change

#### **Computing solutions**

 Higher fidelity simulations made possible by petascale computing are improving predictive capability and the ability to realistically represent features such as precipitation patterns and tropical storms



Visualization: Jamison Daniel, ORNL

Abrupt climate change: Running NCAR's CCSM3 model, the simulation shows deglaciation during the Bolling-Allerod, Earth's most recent period of natural global warming





## Advances in nuclear reactor modeling Making safe, clean nuclear energy available globally

#### Key challenges

 Developing new technologies that will dramatically expand the availability of safe, clean nuclear energy to help meet the growing global energy demand

#### Key benefits

•

Simulations will enable researchers to gain an understanding of the fundamental thermal mixing phenomena within advanced recycling reactor cores, which can lead to improved safety and economy of these pivotal designs

#### **Computing solutions**

- Validating the core hydrodynamics large-eddy simulations by comparing highly detailed simulations in similar configurations
- Simulations of coolant flow in a simplified geometry to allow resolving all turbulent motion with no model assumptions



"Computations... are already yielding important results in the analysis and understanding of reactor core flows, including establishment of turbulent flow entry lengths and subassembly coolant mixing characteristics."

Dr. Paul Fischer, Argonne National Laboratory

Representation of turbulent flow of coolant into a mock-up of the upper plenum of an advanced recycling nuclear reactor. The colors indicate the speed of the fluid.



## High-temperature superconductor design Revolutionary simulations for improved energy transmission

#### Key challenges

- Simulations of high-temperature superconductors to provide a deeper understanding of existing materials and the design of new materials with predetermined properties
- Application of Hubbard model to understand role of inhomogeneties in cuprates—paving the way for higher temperature superconductors

#### Key benefits

 Research into the nature of materials promises to revolutionize many areas of modern life, from power generation and transmission to transportation and faster, smaller computers and storage devices

Simulation: Thomas Maier, Gonzalo Alvarez, Mike Summers, ORNL & Thomas Schulthess, ETH Zürich; Visualization: Jeremy Meredith, ORNL

#### **Computing solutions**

- Petascale simulations are increasing the accuracy and fidelity of superconductivity simulations
- Improved understanding will increase nanoscience and nanotechnology capabilities to improve U.S. competitiveness and industrial leadership

Dynamic cluster quantum Monte Carlo simulation of the striped state in the 1/8 doped LaBaCuO high-temperature cuprate superconductor. Consistent with experiments, the simulations show evidence that superconductivity is optimized in this state.





### **Next-generation energy and propulsion** First proof-of-concept aero and acoustic large-eddy simulation (LES) calculation completed

#### Key challenges

- Reduce airfoil trailing edge noise—a key component in wind turbine noise generation
- Tackle the yield-limiting noise barrier for wind turbines using simulation-based aeroacoustics via largescale computing

"As this technology develops, it will accelerate GE's ability to design quieter and larger blades and significantly increase the energy yields possible from the wind portion of the world's renewable portfolio."

Dr. Gary Leonard, Global Technology Leader Energy and Propulsion Technologies **GE Global Research** 

#### Key benefits

 Quieter and larger blades and a significant increase in the energy yields possible from the wind portion of the world's renewable portfolio

#### Computing solutions

• Comparisons with available experimental data show that the LES predictions are successful in predicting key flow phenomena



Reducing aerodynamic noise is critical to the viability of next-generation "green" low-carbon/greenhouse gas emission energy systems (e.g., wind turbines)





## LCF allocation programs More than 2.7 billion processor hours





## **INCITE in its 7th year** Explosive growth in program allocations



## **INCITE is open to researchers worldwide from academic, government, and industry organizations**



- No designated number of hours for a particular science area
- INCITE looks at all recommendations, focusing on potential for scientific or technological impact, then readiness



### The INCITE program is typically 2.5× oversubscribed 3,870 Hours requested Hours allocated 2,004 1,600 889 606 260 268 95 2007 2008 2009 2010 **Millions of Processor Hours Requested**



## **INCITE** awards have grown in size and number





#### **INCITE 2010 awards:** 35 new projects and 34 renewal projects 41% of new submittals and 83% of renewals





# 2010 project demographics by PI affiliation





## **INCITE 2011: Schedule for proposals**





## **Review process: Computational readiness**

- Reviewers: Center staff who are expert in these systems
- Both centers review each proposal

Criteria for new proposals	Criteria for renewals*
<ul> <li>Appropriateness for requested resources</li> <li>Appropriateness of computational approach</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Met technical and computational milestones</li> <li>On track to meet future milestones</li> </ul>
Technical readiness	

\*Assessment of renewals, as recommended by 2008 Committee of Visitors



## **Review process: Panel review**

• Reviewers: Domain experts drawn from institutions worldwide

- Appropriateness of proposal method
- Team qualifications
- Reasonableness of requested resources
- Met technical/scientific milestones
- On track to meet future milestones
- Imnact relative to other proposals

\*Assessment of renewals, as recommended by 2008 Committee of Visitors



## **2010 INCITE process improvements**

- Introduced a feedback step for computational review
- Enhanced program transparency by providing reviewer comments to authors
  - Response to 2008 Committee of Visitors recommendation
- Created a "blue ribbon" peer review panel
- Initiated review of renewals
  - Response to 2008 Committee of Visitors recommendation
- Engaged ALCF and OLCF management teams and INCITE manager in selection of proposals

Advanced Scientific Computing Advisory Committee

Committee of Visitors

INCITE Report

COV date: April 23-24, 2008

COV membership: Marsha Berger (chair), New York University Roscoe Giles, Boston University Cray Henry, HPCMP, Department of Defense James Kinter, COLA, George Mason University Dimitri Marripis, University of Wyoming Gopal Shenoy, Argonne National Laboratory



## **2010 INCITE panel reviewer survey results**

Survey statement	Rating
The INCITE proposals discussed in the panel represent some of the most cutting-edge computational work in the field	4.5
The proposals were comprehensive and of appropriate length given the award amount requested	3.9
The science panel was sufficiently diverse to assess the range of research topics being considered	4.2
Having access to the center's computational readiness (CR) reports was valuable for my assessment of the proposals	4.4
Please rate your overall satisfaction with the 2010 INCITE Science Panel review process (including expected communications from INCITE management, guidance received, coordination, etc.)	4.7

Scale: 1 = strongly disagree/very dissatisfied; 5 = strongly agree/very satisfied



## 2011 INCITE process plans

- Post the reviewer questions with the call for proposals to increase transparency of assessment process for proposal authors
  - Recommended by 2008 Committee of Visitors
- Build review panel earlier in the year
- Identify potential opportunities for making referrals from INCITE to ERCAP
- Reassess and potentially redesign web-based form for proposal submittal
  - Increase ease of use
  - Accommodate recent and projected program growth
- Build relationships with other centers whose users have science challenges that would benefit from the scale of the INCITE resources



## Contacts

#### For details about the INCITE program:

http://hpc.science.doe.gov



Ford etails about the ALCC program:

http://www.er.doe.gov/ascr/Facilities/ALCC.html



