

Scientific Grand Challenges

CROSS-CUTTING TECHNOLOGIES FOR COMPUTING AT THE EXASCALE

February 2-7, 2010 • Washington D.C.

David L. Brown

Deputy Associate Director for Science & Technology Computation Directorate, LLNL

Presentation to the Advanced Scientific Computing Advisory Committee March 31, 2010

LLNL-PRES-426465

What is required to deliver exascale computational science by 2018?

Workshop Objectives:

- Outline the R&D needed for co-design of the exascale computational science environment
- Identify opportunities for "disruptive" computational approaches for future scientific discovery
- Produce a first cut at characteristics of hardware/software system roadmap that will meet science application needs over the next decade
 - Initial systems 2015 @ 100-300TF
 - Exascale systems in 2018

The cross-cutting workshop brought applied mathematicians and application developers into the discussion





Co-design will be key for exascale scientific discovery by 2018

- Tightly-coupled multi-disciplinary partnerships will ensure delivery of science applications on exascale platforms
- Transition to exascale will be as disruptive as transition from vector computing
 - New programming paradigms required
 - Emphasis on physics fidelity and UQ

• Appropriate investments will be required

- ASC spent only 20% of \$\$ on hardware
- Significant investment in computer science and math research
- Significant investment in re-design and re-write of applications codes



The cross-cut workshop was a co-design "practice session"



- Brought disparate computational science research communities together to understand exascale challenges
 - Applied mathematicians
 - Computer Scientists
 - Computer architects
 - Science application developers
 - Industry representatives
- Breakout sessions successfully overcame communication
 barriers
- Participants left the meeting with a deeper understanding of each other's communities
- "Co-design could really work!"

Who and where are the co-designers? What organizational changes are needed?



- Designers of extreme scale hardware must obtain a detailed understanding of the scientific challenges
- A multi-disciplinary computational science culture has blossomed over the past 15 years
 - Advanced Simulation and Computing (ASC) program used vertically integrated code teams to successfully deliver 3D simulation capability for the Stockpile Stewardship program
 - SciDAC program taught computational scientists to "collaborate or die"
 - CSGF prepares young computational scientists for HPC scientific discovery
 - Many applications developers will not be skeptical this time about the need for drastic re-writes of critical simulation codes
- Organizational changes will be essential to meet the 2018 target
 - Co-location of co-designers ideal, but unlikely to be feasible
 - Vendor IP issues must be addressed
 - Early studies of how best to carry out co-design essential

Breakout sessions addressed the three workshop themes





- Theme 1: Math models and algorithms
 - Impact of application needs and architectural developments on math models, algorithms and programming models
 - Impact of application, math model and algorithms needs on architectural development

Theme 2: System Software

- System software functionality required at exascale
- What tasks traditionally handled by systems software will need to be addressed elsewhere, e.g. resiliency handling?

• Theme 3: Programming models and environment

- What programming models and environments are needed?
- Will programming models provide suitable abstractions and tools for applications/algorithm needs?

Six "math" areas were used to provide context for the discussions



	Theme I	Theme II	Theme III
A. PDEs I	Tuesday pm		
C. PDEs II	Tuesday pm		
D. UQ/ Stochastic		Tuesday pm	
E. Discrete Math		Tuesday pm	
B. Data/ Visualization			Tuesday pm
F. Solvers/ Optimization			Tuesday pm

Six "math" areas were used to provide context for the discussions



	Theme I	Theme II	Theme III
A. PDEs I		Wednesday am	
C. PDEs II		Wednesday am	
D. UQ/ Stochastic			Wednesday am
E. Discrete Math			Wednesday am
B. Data/ Visualization	Wednesday am		
F. Solvers/ Optimization	Wednesday am		

Six "math" areas were used to provide context for the discussions



	Theme I	Theme II	Theme III
A. PDEs I			Wednesday pm
C. PDEs II			Wednesday pm
D. UQ/ Stochastic	Wednesday pm		
E. Discrete Math	Wednesday pm		
B. Data/ Visualization		Wednesday pm	
F. Solvers/ Optimization		Wednesday pm	

Final sessions synthesized results for each theme



	Theme I	Theme II	Theme III		
A. PDEs I					
C. PDEs II	David Keyes				
D. UQ/ Stochastic		Pete Beckman			
E. Discrete Math			Jeff Vetter		
B. Data/ Visualization					
F. Solvers/ Optimization					

Thursday afternoon



FINDINGS

Exascale ≠ Petascale X 1000



- Traditionally, PDE-based applications have expected 10x increase in resolution with each 1000x increase in compute capability, but not this time:
 - We won't have 1000x the memory available
 - The processors won't be 10x faster
 - Proportionally, we won't be able to move as much data on or off each processor
 - Introduction of massive parallelism at the node level is a significant new challenge (MPI is only part of the solution)
- However, exascale computing is an opportunity for...
 - More Fidelity: Incorporate more physics instead of increased resolution
 - Greater Understanding: Develop uncertainty quantification (UQ) to establish confidence levels in computed results and deliver predictive science

Uncertainty Quantification will permeate the exascale



- UQ is the end-to-end study of accuracy and reliability of scientific inferences
- Large ensemble calculations will have dynamic resource allocation requirements significantly different than traditional applications
- Traditional space-shared, batch-scheduled usage unlikely to be effective for UQ or new multi-physics codes
- Client/server model for UQ requires a different failure model (OK for clients to fail)
- Significant code redesign a likely requirement in general opportunity to embed UQ in exascale applications

Understanding characteristics of PDE solvers important for co-design



- Domain decomposition with nearest-neighbor communication patterns
- Elliptic solvers: smaller, non-local communication patterns
 - Frequent nearest-neighbor communications, less frequent messages to farthest neighbors
 - Frequent, Small global reductions (1000's/timestep)
- Network performance needed:
 - Low latency
 - High bandwidth
 - High message rate for point-to-point and collective communication operations
 - Highly desirable that physical topology of machine matches communication patterns (optimize performance by reducing network contention)

Memory management will be key in PDE applications



- Memory hierarchy becomes deeper and more complex at exascale
- Inadequate tools and interfaces to hint, manage and control memory for run-time systems
- Fine-grain, node-level parallelism in PDE solvers could exploit a hierarchical two-level machine/programming model
- Want system software that can exploit spatial/temporal locality hints from application code
- Cache is energy-expensive alternative fast local memory access approaches for performance and energy savings
- Low-cost thread create/destroy essential for performance
 - Global namespace for threads as first-class objects
 - Research needed to find best threading model
 - Threads > processing elements might help hide memory latency
 - Adaptive nature of modern solvers requires a dynamic threading model/ system software support

More dynamic control of system resources will be required at exascale



- Adaptive run-time systems could address
 - Dynamic load balancing
 - Dynamic power allocation,
 e.g. to network vs. cpu
 - Ability to reconfigure around faults
 - Dynamic resource requirements

- Programming model support for dynamic control
 - Dynamic load-balancing abstractions
 - Language support for dynamic control of resources
 - Methods to record control flows of execution and data to allow reverse computation in adjoint mehtods (UQ)

Applications must care more about fault tolerance and resilience



- Checkpoint-restart won't scale with current storage systems; use NVRAM instead?
- Co-design will be required to develop standard fault management API
- Application-specific fault recovery likely
- Consider local recovery from faults

Discrete math applications will challenge exascale machines

- Large amount of irregular data movement, few FLOPS
- Adaptive runtime will be important:
 - Resource profile is typically dynamic
 - Dynamic load balancing on a node required
- Energy efficiencies could be achieved with dynamic power allocation between FLOPS or data movement
- Need ability to efficiently handle irregular data
- Co-design opportunities:
 - Discrete event simulators for exascale architectures
 - Use graph algorithms for task scheduling on nodes and across nodes

Graph theory Integer programming Combinatorial optimization



Familiar system support issues will be even more challenging at the exascale



- File system scalability and robustness will continue to be the weakest link at the exascale
 - Codes must do more in-situ analysis needed to compensate for I/O limitations

• Hierarchical debugging tools will be needed

- Sophisticated single-node debugger
- Debugger for 10,000 nodes
- Large-scale debugger a research challenge

• Performance tools are not keeping up with largest machines

- Results in an understanding gap as we approach exascale
- Existing performance tools don't address heterogeneous architectures
- Research needed to develop vertically integrated performance analysis tool for exascale applications

Opportunity for compilers to support heterogeneous and multi-core processors



- Leverage recent advances in compiler technology (e.g. ROSE)
- Compilers hide complexity of underlying instruction sets, some parallelism
- Optimizations:
 - language keywords,
 - annotations
 - runtime adaptation
 - profile-guided optimization

• New opportunities:

- power management
- small memory capacities
- resiliency
- interoperability

Preliminary panel findings are grouped into three categories



- 2. R&D for Programming Models to support exascale computing
- 3. R&D for System Software at the exascale



Algorithms R&D

- 1. Re-cast critical applied math algorithms to reflect impact of anticipated macro architecture evolution
- 2. Adapt data analysis algorithms for exascale
- 3. Address numerical analysis questions associated with move from bulksynchronous to multi-task approaches
- 4. Develop "mini-applications": essential elements of critical applications
- 5. Develop simulations of emerging architectures

Re-cast critical applied math algorithms



• PDEs:

- New PDE discretizations reflecting shift from FLOPto memory-constrained hardware
- New algorithms with more compute, less communication

• UQ:

- Opportunity to re-design codes with UQ built in
- Move statistics inside loops

- Solvers and optimization methods:
 - Solvers with reduced global communication
 - Leverage low-latency onchip all-gather
 - New sparse eigensolver formulations
 - FFTs

Novel algorithms:

 Reduced-precision arithmetic algorithms that store less, but maintain accuracy

Adapt data analysis algorithms to extreme scale environments



- Leverage increased node-local NVRAM availability
 "Back to the future:" out-of-core approaches
- Analysis algorithms for streaming data
- Leverage global address space
- Where is the best place to do analysis?
 - In situ (part of simulation code)
 - Post processing on the exascale platform
 - Post processing on a dedicated analysis platform (but what about the I/O bottleneck?)
- Research on development of common data structures or data access patterns to enable re-usable data analysis software

Address numerical analysis issues associated with move away from bulksynchronous programming model



- Accuracy, stability of multi-physics and multi-scale coupling
- High-order operator splitting methods
- Accuracy, stability of methods that apply operators more asynchronously

Role of simulation as part of co-design



- Develop "mini-applications" that capture essential elements of large scientific applications
 - Hardware and system software engineers can understand critical performance issues

• Develop simulation tools for emerging architectures

 Algorithm, application developers can understand code performance on a range of potential architectures

New programming models



- 1. R&D new exascale programming paradigms (e.g. MPI+X)
- 2. Develop API's for dynamic resource management
- 3. Programming models that support memory management at the exascale
- 4. Scalable approaches for I/O
- 5. Interoperability tools to support transition to new environment
- 6. Language support for PE's at the exascale
- 7. PM support for latency management
- 8. PM support for fault tolerance/resilience
- 9. API's for power management

Investigate and develop new exascale programming paradigms



- Hybrid programming models: MPI+X, with X=
 - OpenMP
 - Pthreads
 - CUDA (GPUs)
 - Chapel, UPC, co-array Fortran
 - MPI
- Effective abstractions that expose loop-level and data-level parallelism
- Improved abstract machine model

- Programming model support for multiple networks on same machine
- New PM an opportunity to change how computational science is done:
 - Introduction of intrusive (but more efficient) UQ techniques
 - MPMD approach to multiphysics application codes

Memory consistency models to support discrete algorithms

Interoperability

- Migration from old PMs/ languages will be gradual
- Need support for interoperability between "old" and "new"

• 1/0

- Consider database approaches (object models) for I/O
- PM support for data structure linearization

Language support

- Asynchronous algorithms
- Uncertainty-carrying variables



Memory management, I/O, interoperability, language support

Memory management

PGAS language support

Latency, Resilience, Power, New approaches



Latency management

 Need capability to overlap computing, analysis, communication, I/O

• Power

 Power-aware programming models

- Resilience
 - PM support for fault management
 - Fault-tolerant MPI collectives
 - API for checkpointing

New approaches

- Message-driven PM's for scientific applications?
- API to support execution through a DAG
- PM's for in-situ data analysis

ASCAC March 2010

System Software



- 1. System software tools to support node-level parallelism
- 2. System support for dynamic resource allocation
- 3. System software support for memory access
- 4. Performance/Resource measurement and analysis tools
- 5. System tools to support fault management
- 6. System support for exascale I/O

Support for node-level parallelism



- Small light-weight messages
- Light-weight fine-grained and flexible synchronization
- Latency tolerance through high degree of threading
- System calls for node-level parallelism
- Low cost thread create/destroy
- Software control of on-chip data movement to enhance performance
- Fast all-reduce (for fast inner products)
- Tools to manage communication patterns
- Tools to support move away from bulk-synchronous parallelism
- Tools to support maintenance of local state when objects migrate between processors

System software support for memory access



- Support for GAS to replace cache-coherence as as mechanism
- Research the use of GAS in partitioning of graphs
- Tools to manage memory hierarchies
- Ability to turn off memory hierarchy for accesses that cannot make good use of it
- Hooks for direct access to memory management
- Support to allow local memory to be configured in either scratchpad or cache mode
- System support for data provenance to support data analysis

Performance / Resource measurement and analysis tools for exascale



- Apply data mining methods to help develop performance measurement tools
- Performance tools for heterogeneous environments
- New performance analysis tools, particularly for hybrid programs
- System calls to query relative costs of various operations
 - Both static and dynamic information is needed
- Runtime layer functionality to provide information about system state

System tools for fault management



- Tools to support fault tolerance management
 - E.g. Fault notification API
- Research in debugging at scale
- Research the fault-tolerance implications of UQ
- Develop a taxonomy of faults to support advanced fault handling
- Understand the role of system software in resilience
- If smaller system (e.g. 10%) is used for data analysis, observe that:
 - Resilience will be less of a problem since it won't be possible to move large amounts of data off of the main compute platform

Co-design is essential for exascale scientific discovery by 2018

- Close multi-disciplinary partnerships will ensure delivery of science applications on exascale platforms
- All partners must commit to significant changes in both hardware and software design
 - New programming paradigms required
 - Emphasis on physics fidelity and UQ
- Appropriate investments will be required
 - ASC spent only 20% of \$\$ on hardware
 - Significant investment in computer science and math research
 - Significant investment in re-design and re-write of applications codes

