

ETH Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich





## The DCA++ Story

How new algorithms, new computers, and innovative software design allow us to solve real simulation problems of high temperature superconductivity



#### Thomas C. Schulthess

Advance Scientific Computing Advisory Committee Meeting, Washington DC, March 3-4, 2009



## Superconductivity: a state of matter with zero electrical resistivity

#### **Discovery 1911**



#### Heike Kamerlingh Onnes (1853-1926)



Superconductor repels magnetic field Meissner and Ochsenfeld, Berlin 1933



#### Microscopic Theory for Superconductivity 1957

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 108, NUMBER 5

DECEMBER 1, 1957

#### Theory of Superconductivity\*

J. BARDEEN, L. N. COOPER,<sup>†</sup> AND J. R. SCHRIEFFER<sup>‡</sup> Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois (Received July 8, 1957)

A theory of superconductivity is presented, based on the fact that the interaction between electrons resulting from virtual exchange of phonons is attractive when the energy difference between the electrons states involved is less than the phonon energy,  $\hbar\omega$ . It is favorable to form a superconducting phase when this attractive interaction dominates the repulsive screened Coulomb interaction. The normal phase is described by the Bloch individual-particle model. The ground state of a superconductor, formed from a linear combination of normal state configurations in which electrons are virtually excited in pairs of opposite spin and momentum, is lower in energy than the normal state by isotope effect. A mutually orthogonal set of excited states in

one-to-one correspondence with those of the normal phase is obtained by specifying occupation of certain Bloch states and by using the rest to form a linear combination of virtual pair configurations. The theory yields a second-order phase transition and a Meissner effect in the form suggested by Pippard. Calculated values of specific heats and penetration depths and their temperature variation are in good agreement with experiment. There is an energy gap for individual-particle excitations which decreases from about 3.5kT\_e at  $T=0^\circ$ K to zero at  $T_e$ . Tables of matrix elements of single-particle operators between the excited-state superconducting wave functions, useful for perturbation expansions and calculations of transition probabilities, are given.







BCS Theory generally accepted in the early 1970s



### Superconductivity in the cuprates



J.G. Bednorz and K.A. Müller: Ba-La-Cu-O System

- Discovered in 1986 by Bednorz and Müller
- Totally different materials
  - In the normal state conventional superconductors are metals cuprates are insulators or poor conductors





#### Twenty years later

- No predictive power for  $T_c$  in known materials
- No predictive power for design of new SC materials
- No explanation for other unusual properties of cuprates (pseudogap, transport, ...)
- Only partial consensus on which materials aspects are essential for high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductivity
- No controlled solution for proposed models

### The role of inhomogeneities



#### Outline

- Brief introduction into superconductivity and the cuprates
- Background: The two dimensional Hubbard model and the DCA/QMC method
- Simulational studies with the DCA/QMC method
- Algorithmic improvements and a method to study effects of disorder an nanoscale inhomogeneities
  - Accelerating Hirsch-Fye QMC with delayed updates
  - Mixed precision and multithreaded implementations (GPU in particular)
  - Disorder averaging and a first study of how disorder affects the superconducting transition temperature
- DCA++, concurrency, scaling, and performance
  - Results for Cray XT4 and first results for a PF/s scale system
- Summary and conclusions

#### From cuprate materials to the Hubbard model



#### **2D Hubbard model and its physics**



Half filling: number of carriers = number of sites

nergy

 $= 4t^2/U$ 

Formation of a magnetic moment when *U* is large enough

Antiferromagnetic alignment of neighboring moments

#### 1. When *t* >> *U*:

Model describes a metal with band width W=8t



#### 2. When U >> 8t at half filling (not doped)

Model describes a "Mott Insulator" with antiferromagnetic ground state (as seen experimentally seen in undoped cuprates)



#### Hubbard model for the cuprates



Publication year

3. Parameter range relevant for superconducting cuprates

**U≈**8t

No simple solution!

Finite doping levels (0.05 – 0.25)

Typical values: *U*~10eV; *t*~0.9eV; *J*~0.2eV;

(0.1eV ~ 10<sup>3</sup> Kelvin)

#### The challenge: a (quantum) multi-scale problem



#### **Quantum cluster theories**



On-site Coulomb repulsion (~A)

Explicitly treat correlations within a localized cluster



Gomes et al. (2007)



Maier et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. '05



Treat macro-scopic scales within meanfield

Coherently embed cluster into effective medium

#### Green's functions in quantum many-body theory

Noninteracting Hamiltonian & 
$$H_0 = \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V(\vec{r}) \right]$$
  
Green's function  $\left[ i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_0 \right] G_0(\vec{r}, t, \vec{r}', t') = \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \delta(t - t)$ 

Fourier transform & analytic continuation:  $z^{\pm} = \omega \pm i\epsilon$   $G_0^{\pm}(\vec{r}, z) = [z^{\pm} - H_0]^{-1}$ 

Hubbard Hamiltonian 
$$H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \qquad n_{i\sigma} = c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma}$$

Hide symmetry in algebraic properties of field operators

$$c_{i\sigma}c_{j\sigma'} + c_{j\sigma'}c_{i\sigma} = 0$$
$$c_{i\sigma}c_{j\sigma'}^{\dagger} + c_{j\sigma'}^{\dagger}c_{i\sigma} = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$$

Green's function 
$$G_{\sigma}(r_i, \tau; r_j, \tau') = -\left\langle \mathcal{T}c_{i\sigma}(\tau)c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau') \right\rangle$$

Spectral representation  $G_0(k, z) = [z - \epsilon_0(k)]^{-1}$ 

$$G(k, z) = [z - \epsilon_0(k) - \Sigma(k, z)]^{-1}$$

#### **Sketch of the Dynamical Cluster Approximation**



Solve many-body problem with quantum Monte Carole on cluster ➤ Essential assumption: Correlations are short ranged

#### DCA method: self-consistently determine the "effective" medium



# Systematic solution and analysis of the pairing mechanism in the 2D Hubbard Model



 First systematic solution demonstrates existence of a superconducting transition in 2D Hubbard model Maier, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 237001 (2005)



- Study the mechanism responsible for pairing in the model
  - Analyze the particle-particle vertex
  - Pairing is mediated by spin fluctuations
     Maier, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 47005 (2006)





# Moving toward a resolution of debate over pairing mechanism in the 2D Hubbard model

- "We have a mammoth (U) and an elephant (J) in our refrigerator do we care much if there is also a mouse?"
  - P.W. Anderson, Science **316**, 1705 (2007)
  - see also <u>www.science</u>mag.org/cgi/eletters/316/5832/1705
     "Scalapino is not a glue sniffer"
- Relative importance of resonant valence bond and spin-fluctuation mechanisms
  - Maier et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** 237001 (2008)





Both retarded spin-fluctuations and nonretarded exchange interaction J contribute to the pairing interaction

Dominant contribution comes from spin-fluctuations!

#### Hirsch-Fye Quantum Monte Carole (HF-QMC) for the quantum cluster solver Hirsch & Fye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2521 (1998)

Partition function & Metropolis Monte Carlo  $Z = \int e^{-E[\mathbf{x}]/k_{\mathrm{B}}T} d\mathbf{x}$ Acceptance criterion for M-MC move:  $\min\{1, e^{E[\mathbf{x}_{k}] - E[\mathbf{x}_{k+1}]}\}$ 

Partition function & HF-QMC:  $Z \sim \sum_{s_i,l} \det[\mathbf{G}_c(s_i,l)^{-1}]$ matrix of dimensions  $N_t \times N_t$ Acceptance:  $\min\{1, \det[\mathbf{G}_c(\{s_i,l\}_k)]/\det[\mathbf{G}_c(\{s_i,l\}_{k+1})]\}$ 



Update of accepted Green's function:

 $\mathbf{G}_c(\{s_i,l\}_{k+1}) = \mathbf{G}_c(\{s_i,l\}_k) + \mathbf{a}_k \times \mathbf{b}_k$ 

#### HF-QMC with Delayed updates (or Ed updates)

 $\mathbf{G}_{c}(\{s_{i},l\}_{k+1}) = \mathbf{G}_{c}(\{s_{i},l\}_{0}) + [\mathbf{a}_{0}|\mathbf{a}_{1}|...|\mathbf{a}_{k}] \times [\mathbf{b}_{0}|\mathbf{b}_{1}|...|\mathbf{b}_{k}]^{t}$ 

Complexity for *k* updates remains  $O(kN_t^2)$ 

But we can replace *k* rank-1 updates with one matrix-matrix multiply plus some additional bookkeeping.

#### Performance improvement with delayed updates



 $N_c = 16$   $N_l = 150$   $N_t = 2400$ 

delay (k)

#### MultiCore/GPU/Cell: threaded programming



Multi-core processors: OpenMP (or just MPI)

#### NVIDIA G80 GPU: CUDA, cuBLAS





IBM Cell BE: SIMD, threaded prog.



full lazy data transfer

#### **Disorder and inhomogeneities**



Hubbard Model with random disorder (eg. in U)

$$H^{(\nu)} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + \sum_{i} U^{(\nu)}_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$

$$U_i^{(\nu)} \in \{U, 0\}; N_c = 16 \to N_d = 2^{16}$$

Algorithm 1 DCA/QMC Algorithm with QMC cluster solver (lines 5-10), disorder averaging (lines 4, 11-12), and DCA cluster mapping (line 3, 13)

1: Set initial self-energy

2: repeat

- 3: Compute the coarse-grained Green Function
- 4: for Every disorder configuration (in parallel) do
- 5: Perform warm-up steps
- 6: for Every Markov chain (in parallel) do
- 7: Update auxiliary fields
  - Measure Green Function and observables
- 9: end for

8:

- 10: Accumulate measurements over Markov chains
- 11: end for
- 12: Accumulate measurements over disorder configurations.
- 13: Re-compute the self-energy
- 14: until self consistency is reached



#### DCA++ code from a concurrency point of view



#### DCA++: strong scaling on HF-QMC



### Weak scaling on Cray XT4

- HF-QMC: 122 Markov chains on 122 cores
- Weak scaling over disorder configurations



disorder configurations

> DCA cluster mapping

#### Sustained performance of DCA++ on Cray XT4



Number of cores

#### **Cray XT5 portion of Jaguar @ NCCS**



Peak: 1.382 TF/s Quad-Core AMD Freq.: 2.3 GHz 150,176 cores Memory: 300 TB For more details, go to WWW.NCCS.gov

#### Sustained performance of DCA++ on Cray XT5

Weak scaling with number disorder configurations, each running on 128 Markov chains on 128 cores (16 nodes) - 16 site cluster and 150 time slides



Number of Cores

### Summary

- Today's methods and computational capabilities allow us to take a deep look into the mechanisms of high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductivity
  - Simulations of superconducting transition in model without phonons
  - Dominant contribution to pairing mechanism: "glue" due to spin fluctuations
- DCA++ optimally mapping DCA/QMC method onto today's hardware architectures
  - Algorithm: Hirsch-Fye QMC with delayed updates (>10x speedup)
  - Accelerator work motivated: mixed precision (almost 2x speedup)
  - Highly scalable implementation to study disorder and nanoscale inhomegeneities
  - Extensible implementation based on C++/STL generic programming model
- Sustained 1.35 PF/s on 150K cores of Cray XT5 portion of NCCS/Jaguar
  - Sustained 625 TF/s on 130K cores in double precision (52% efficiency)
- More than 1000 fold capability enhancement since 2004:
  - NCCS 2004: Cray X1 with 5 TF/s peak, DCA/QMC sustained about 2 TF/s (required high memory bandwidth)
  - NCCS 2008: factor 300 more in peak Flop/s & at least 20x due to algorithms
  - Future: Continuous time QMC a new class of QMC algorithms







### The DCA++ Story:

How new algorithms, new computers, and innovative software design allow us to solve real simulation problems of high high temperature superconductivity Team, collaborators, computing resources, and funding

G. Alvarez

- M. S. Summers
- D. E. Maxwell
- M. Eisenbach
- J. S. Meredith
- J. M. Larkin
- J. Levesque
- T. A. Maier
- P. R. C. Kent
- E. F. D'Azevedo
- T. C. Schulthess

D. Scalapino M. Jarrell J. Vetter Trey White staff at NCCS & Cray & many others Computating resources: NCCS @ ORNL

Funding: ORNL-LDRD, DOE-ASCR, DOE-BES