

### CASL: The Consortium for Advanced Simulation of Light Water Reactors A DOE Energy Innovation Hub for Modeling

and Simulation of Nuclear Reactors

Douglas B. Kothe

Director, CASL Oak Ridge National Laboratory





# The CASL Team: A unique lab-university-industry partnership

#### Core partners

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Electric Power Research Institute

Idaho National Laboratory

Los Alamos National Laboratory

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

North Carolina State University

Sandia National Laboratories

Tennessee Valley Authority

University of Michigan

Westinghouse Electric Company



Building on longstanding, productive relationships and collaborations to forge a close, cohesive, and interdependent team that is fully committed to a well-defined plan of action Individual contributors ASCOMP GmbH CD-adapco, Inc. City University of New York Florida State University Imperial College London Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Southern States Energy Board Texas A&M University University of Florida University of Florida University of Tennessee University of Wisconsin Worcester Polytechnic Institute





#### Outline

- Current Light Water Reactor (LWR) experience operational challenges
- Modeling and simulation (M&S) *challenge problems* that drive development of "virtual reactor" (VR) for LWRs
- How and why CASL A DOE Energy Innovation Hub for M&S of Nuclear Reactors – can make a difference in the short and long term





#### Nuclear Power in the US



### **U.S. Nuclear Energy**

Increasing cumulative capacity delivering at a high capacity factor







# There are numerous safety, operating, and design aspects to consider for nuclear reactors

Source: Fuel Safety Criteria in NEA Member Countries, NEA/CSNI/R(2003)10



# Critical elements for integration of Modeling and Simulation (M&S) into nuclear energy decisions

Acceptance by user community	<ul> <li>Address real problems in a manner that is more cost-effective than current technology</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Meet needs of utility owner-operators, reactor vendors, fuel suppliers, engineering providers, and national laboratories</li> </ul>
Acceptance	<ul> <li>Address issues that could impact public safety</li> </ul>
by regulatory authority	<ul> <li>Deliver accurate and verifiable results</li> </ul>
Acceptance of outcomes by public	<ul> <li>Provide outcomes that ensure high levels of plant safety and performance</li> </ul>

A team pursuing transformational nuclear computational science must have unique capabilities for identifying, understanding, and solving nuclear reactor safety and performance issues





### Life extension driven by economic decision on ability to continue to operate the plant

Key technical elements for basis of license renewal and life extension:

- Identify and quantify potential "life limiting" issues
- Structures, systems, and components aging and life-cycle management
- Opportunities for modernization and power uprates
- Enabling technology (e.g., analysis methods/simulation capability)



Significant financial decisions to support operation beyond 60 years are expected in 2014–2019





# Can an advanced "Virtual Reactor" be developed and applied to proactively address critical performance goals for nuclear power?



Reduce capital and operating costs per unit energy by:

- Power uprates
- Lifetime extension



Reduce nuclear waste volume generated by enabling higher fuel burnups



Enhance nuclear safety by enabling high-fidelity predictive capability for component and system performance from beginning of life through failure







### Current fuel performance issues provide insights for further power uprates and increased fuel burnups



An effective virtual reactor M&S capability will permit proactive evaluation to enable critical performance enhancements

A LAN



#### **CRUD-induced power shift (CIPS)**

- Deviation in axial power shape
  - Cause: Boron uptake in CRUD deposits in high power density regions with subcooled boiling
  - Affects fuel management and thermal margin in many plants
- Power uprates will increase potential for CRUD growth



#### **CRUD** deposits



Need: Multi-physics chemistry, flow, and neutronics model to predict CRUD growth





#### **CRUD-induced localized corrosion (CILC)**

- Hot spots on fuel lead to localized boiling
- Excessive boiling with high CRUD concentration in coolant can lead to thick CRUD deposits, CRUD dryout, and accelerated corrosion
- Result: Fuel leaker



Need: High-fidelity, high-resolution capability to predict hot spots, localized crud thickness, and corrosion



### Grid-to-rod fretting failure (GTRF)

- Clad failure can occur as the result of rod-spring interactions
  - Induced by flow vibration
  - Amplified by irradiation-induced grid spacer growth and spring relaxation
- Power uprates and burnup increase potential for fretting failures
  - Leading cause of fuel failures in PWRs





Need: High-fidelity, fluid structural interaction tool to predict gap, turbulent flow excitation, rod vibration and wear





### Fuel assembly distortion (FAD)

- Excessive axial forces caused by radiation-induced swelling lead to distortion or structural failure
- Power uprates and increased burnups:
  - May increase fuel distortions
  - May alter core power distributions, fuel handling scenarios, control rod insertability, and plant operation

Need: Tool to predict distortion and impact on power distributions and safety analyses







#### Departure from nucleate boiling (DNB)

- Local clad surface dryout causes dramatic reduction in heat transfer during transients (e.g., overpower and loss of coolant flow)
- Current limitations:
  - Absence of detailed pin modeling in TH methods results in conservative analysis
  - Detailed flow patterns and mixing not explicitly modeled in single- and two-phase flow downstream of spacer grids
- Power uprates require improved quantification of margins for DNB or dryout limits



Need: High-fidelity modeling of complex flow and heat transfer for all pins in core downstream of spacer grids





#### Reactor vessel and internals integrity

- Reactor vessel:
  - Radiation damage results in increased temperature for onset of brittle failure, making failure more likely due to thermal shock stresses with safety injection system
  - Increased power rating and lifetime both increase radiation damage to the vessel
  - Low leakage loading patterns and proposed revised NRC rule indicate that expected vessel lifetime > 80 years for most PWRs
- Internals:
  - Damage can be caused by thermal fatigue, mechanical fatigue, radiation damage, and SCC
  - Replacement cost of internals is high, making lifetime extension less economically attractive



Need: High-fidelity tool to predict temperatures, stresses, and material performance (fatigue and cracking) over long-term operation





### New materials and fuel concepts for transformational performance improvement

- SiC cladding
  - Enrichment savings due to lower cross section



- Uprate capability
- Insensitive to dryout or DNB (operational capability: >1900°C)
- Immunity to fretting failure
- Simplification of safety systems

#### Ongoing DOE Project with 5 CASL partners leading: WEC, EPRI, MIT, INL, ORNL



- UN fuel
  - Higher U-235 loadings than UO<sub>2</sub> without increase in U-235 enrichment
  - Much higher thermal conductivity and increased thermal output capability (upratings)
  - Cooler fuel and lower fission gas release
  - Improved accident and transient performance

Need: New materials models and methods to evaluate performance of advanced fuel designs





#### A Virtual Reactor developed and successfully applied to key challenge problems benefits the nuclear industry

Challenge Problem	Description	Relevance
CRUD	CIPS: Deviation in axial power shape caused by CRUD deposition in high power density regions with subcooled boiling. CILC: Clad corrosion and failure due to CRUD deposition	Power uprates yield higher power density and an increased potential for CRUD growth, axial power offsets, and clad failures
GTRF	Clad failure due to flow vibration-induced rod-spring interactions amplified by irradiation-induced grid spacer growth and spring relaxation	Power uprates and burnup increase potential for fretting failures, the leading cause of fuel failures in PWRs
Internals Lifetime (LE)	Damage to internals packages caused by thermal fatigue, mechanical fatigue, radiation damage, and stress corrosion cracking.	Replacement cost of internals is high, making lifetime extension less economically attractive
DNB (Safety)	Local clad surface dryout causing dramatic reduction in heat transfer capability during certain accident transients (e.g., overpower and low coolant flow)	Power uprates require improved quantification of margins for DNB limits
FAD	Distortion or component structural failure due to excessive axial forces caused by radiation-induced swelling	Power uprates and increased burnups may increase fuel distortions and alter core power distributions and fuel handling scenarios
Advanced Fuel Forms (AF, Safety, GTRF)	Examination of new cladding material, fuel material, and fuel pin geometries.	New fuel forms will enable power uprates, higher fuel burnups, and lower fuel cycle costs than can be achieved by incremental modifications of current fuel forms, i.e., zirconium alloy cladding, UO <sub>2</sub> fuel pellet, and cylindrical geometry





#### A Virtual Reactor developed and successfully applied to key challenge problems benefits the nuclear industry

Challenge Problem	Description	Relevance
LOCA (Safety)	Numerous fuel failure modes resulting in fission product release and coolable geometry degradation	Realistic LOCA analyses (10 CFR 50.46) can enable power uprates that would not have been achievable with previously licensed evaluation models
RIA (Safety)	Clad failure due to rapid heating of the pellet, leading to pellet disintegration caused by the rim effect	Higher fuel burnup increases rim effect; power uprates may lead to increased energy during RIA. Currently not limiting but may change with further test data (e.g., CABRI)
PCI (Safety, AF)	Clad failure due to radiation-induced fuel rod/cladding contact from stress corrosion cracking and fuel defects	Power uprates and increased burnups increase fuel/clad contact and the likelihood for fuel failures. Currently only limits power ramp rates during normal operation, which are infrequent
Reactor Vessel Lifetime (LE)	Radiation damage resulting in increased temperature for onset of brittle failure, making failure more likely due to thermal shock stresses with Safety Injection System (SIS).	Increased power rating and lifetime both increase radiation damage to the vessel. Low leakage loading patterns and proposed revised NRC rule indicate that expected vessel lifetime exceeds 80 years for most PWRs





### CASL has selected key phenomena limiting reactor performance selected for challenge problems

	Power uprate	High burnup	Life extension
Operational			
CRUD-induced power shift (CIPS)	×	×	
CRUD-induced localized corrosion (CILC)	×	×	
Grid-to-rod fretting failure (GTRF)		×	
Pellet-clad interaction (PCI)	×	×	
Fuel assembly distortion (FAD)	×	×	
Safety			
Departure from nucleate boiling (DNB)	×		
Cladding integrity during loss of coolant accidents (LOCA)	×	×	
Cladding integrity during reactivity insertion accidents (RIA)	×	×	
Reactor vessel integrity	×		×
Reactor internals integrity	×		×





## CASL vision: Create a virtual reactor (VR) for *predictive* simulation of LWRs

Leverage	Develop	Deliver
<ul> <li>Current state-of-the-art neutronics, thermal-fluid, structural, and fuel performance applications</li> <li>Existing systems and safety analysis simulation tools</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New requirements-driven physical models</li> <li>Efficient, tightly-coupled multi-scale/multi-physics algorithms and software with quantifiable accuracy</li> <li>Improved systems and safety analysis tools</li> <li>UQ framework</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>An unprecedented predictive simulation tool for simulation of physical reactors</li> <li>Architected for platform portability ranging from desktops to DOE's leadership-class and advanced architecture systems (large user base)</li> <li>Validation basis against 60% of existing U.S. reactor fleet (PWRs), using data from TVA reactors</li> </ul>
Ctang Current Ture Current Ture Furrent T	Cooling         Secondary System	Base M&S LWR capability

### CASL scope: Develop and apply the VR to assess fuel design, operation, and safety criteria

Near-term priorities (years 1–5)	Longer-term priorities (years 6–10)
<ul> <li>Deliver improved predictive simulation of PWR core, internals, and vessel</li> <li>Couple VR to evolving out-of-vessel simulation capability</li> <li>Maintain applicability to other NPP types</li> <li>Execute work in 5 technical focus areas to: <ul> <li>Equip the VR with necessary physical models and multiphysics integrators</li> <li>Build the VR with a comprehensive, usable, and extensible software system</li> <li>Validate and assess the VR models with self-consistent quantified uncertainties</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Expand activities to include structures, systems, and components beyond the reactor vessel</li> <li>Established a focused effort on BWRs and SMRs</li> <li>Continue focus on delivering a useful VR to: <ul> <li>Reactor designers</li> <li>NPP operators</li> <li>Nuclear regulators</li> <li>New generation of nuclear energy professionals</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Focus on challenge	e problem solutions
	Str.





#### CASL's technical focus areas will execute the plan







#### The CASL Virtual Reactor: A code system for scalable simulation of nuclear reactor core behavior

(th n	uel Performance nermo-mechanics, naterials models)	<ul> <li>Development guided by relevant challenge problems</li> <li>Broad applicability</li> <li>Thermal Hydraulics (thermal fluids)</li> <li>Structur Mechani</li> </ul>	
•	d formation, Interpretation, Interpretation,	egrator Reacte	or System
	Geometry	Multi-mesh h Motion/ Management Quality rovement	
			CAR RIDGE National Laboratory

### The CASL VR builds on a foundation of mature, validated, and widely used software



- CASL developers have delivered code for production (not just research)
  - ORNL and LANL codes account for almost 80% of RSICC distributions since 2005





### VR development is driven by requirements of annual L1 milestones

CRUD, GTRF	CRUD, GTRF	CRUD, GTRF, Safety, OR	CRUD, GTRF, LE	CRUD, GTRF, LE, Safety, OR, AF
Initial core simulation using coupled tools and models	Detailed phenomena modeling in fully coupled VR	Assembly simulation with rod fretting and upscaled material models	Initial predictive reactor modeling in coupled VR	Predictive reactor simulation coupled to physical plant
FY10 FY	/11 F\	/12 FY	13 FY1	4 FY15





#### Capabilities are developed and integrated collaboratively with Focus Areas and external projects

CRUD, GTRF	CRUD, GTRF	CRUD, GTRF, Safety, OR	CRUD, GTRF, LE	CRUD, GTRF, LE, Safety, OR, AF
Improved coupling of existing tools	<ul> <li>Chemistry: BOA</li> <li>Initial sub-cooled boiling model</li> <li>Transient single- phase CFD</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved chemistry</li> <li>Initial FSI</li> <li>Transient multiphase CFD</li> <li>Pin-resolved transport</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Coupled transport, flow, chemistry</li> <li>Materials damage models</li> <li>Tightly-coupled FSI</li> <li>Initial hybrid transport</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved materials and chemistry models</li> <li>Hybrid transport</li> <li>Improved coupling</li> <li>Performance, scalability</li> </ul>
FY10 F	Y11 F`	Y12 FY	′13 FY1	4 FY15





### VR development cycle is planned around major releases for L1 simulations

CRUD, GTRF	CRUD, GTRF	CRUD, GTRF, Safety, OR	CRUD, GTRF, LE	CRUD, GTRF, LE, Safety, OR, AF
Improved coupling of existing tools	<ul> <li>Chemistry: BOA</li> <li>Initial sub-cooled boiling model</li> <li>Transient single- phase CFD</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved chemistry</li> <li>Initial FSI</li> <li>Transient multiphase CFD</li> <li>Pin-resolved transport</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Coupled transport, flow, chemistry</li> <li>Materials damage models</li> <li>Tightly-coupled FSI</li> <li>Initial hybrid transport</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Improved materials and chemistry models</li> <li>Hybrid transport</li> <li>Improved coupling</li> <li>Performance, scalability</li> </ul>
FY10 F	۲11 F`	Y12 FY	713 FY1	4 FY15
O4 • Requirem • New featu developm	ents • Integration ure • Performance	O2 • Feature-frozen beta release • AMA begins L1 scoping	O3 • Major release for L1 simulations and assessment	



# The CASL VR has a mature starting point

- Building on existing capability to deliver versatile tools
  - Initial focus on PWRs
  - Extensible to other reactor types
- Implemented as a component-based architecture integrating current and legacy workflows and capabilities
  - Includes tools used to design and license the U.S. PWR fleet
- An evolving state-of-the-art software design and ecosystem
  - Designed to exploit advanced computing platforms
  - Full coupling of all relevant physical processes
  - Integrated high-fidelity CFD, transport, and mechanics incorporated into the workflows of designers
  - Advanced methods for understanding sensitivities and propagating uncertainties









#### **Denovo HPC Transport**



KIDGE

#### **Denovo Parallel Performance**





### CASL Challenge Problems Possess Uncertainties That can be reduced via model improvements & leadership-class systems

Challenge Problem	Uncertainty	Principal Source of Improvement
CRUD	Crud concentration, deposition, thickness Boron uptake and its affect on rod power Crud dryout, clad temp rise, corrosion	High-fidelity CFD, turbulent heat transfer, corrosion chem Coupled CFD & neutronics New models: fundamental R&D and validation data
GTRF	Rod excitation force, natural frequency Rod fatigue vibration and wear	High-resolution CFD-structure interaction & coupling Grid/clad interaction, fatigue, stress building, cracking
PCI (Pellet Clad Interaction)	Pellet / clad stresses and cracking	High-fidelity coupled CFD / neutronics / fuel performance
DNB (Safety)	Location of hot channel	Minimum DNB prediction: coupled CFD / neutronics
FAD	Fuel assembly bow	High-resolution coupled CFD / structure / neutronics for vs fluence & power history





# The Predictive Capability Maturity Model (PCMM) will be used to measure the progress of VR development

- Developed for modeling and simulation efforts based on similar assessment models for other areas such as NASA's Technical Readiness Levels and Carnegie Mellon's Capability Maturity Model
- Measures process maturity by objectively assessing technical elements

Technical elements	Maturity level	Assessment of completeness / characterization	Evidence of maturity
<ul> <li>Representation and geometric fidelity</li> </ul>	Level 0	Little or no assessment	Individual judgment and experience
<ul> <li>Physics and material model fidelity</li> </ul>	Level 1	Informal assessment	Some evidence of maturity
<ul><li>Code verification</li><li>Solution verification</li></ul>	Level 2	Some formal assessment, some internal peer review	Significant evidence of maturity
<ul> <li>Model validation</li> <li>Uncertainty quantification and sensitivity analysis</li> </ul>	Level 3	Formal assessment, essentially all by independent peer review	Detailed and complete evidence of maturity

#### We will annually assess the CASL VR against challenge problems





#### In-core Nuclear Reactor Computational Requirements

- Neutronics (steady state)
  - Assembly (lattice), full core, vessel
- Thermal hydraulics (steady state and transient)
  - Assembly (subchannel / multiphase, CFD / single & multiphase)
  - Full core (subchannel / single & multiphase, CFD / single & multiphase)
  - Vessel (CFD / single & multiphase)
- Coupled neutronics and thermal hydraulics (steady state)
- Coupled thermal hydraulics and mechanics
- Coupled neutronics, thermal hydraulics, mechanics
- Add detailed fuel performance to all the above

Beyond exascale is needed to regularly perform full core, coupled simulations We are in the process of quantifying these requirements





### CASL possesses the key elements required for success

Physical reactors	<ul> <li>3 Westinghouse PWRs at Sequoyah and Watts Bar, operated by TVA</li> </ul>
NRC engagement	<ul> <li>Existing MOU between NRC Office of Regulatory Research and EPRI</li> <li>CSO: Develop strategy for NRC engagement; AMA focus area Project 5: Execute strategy</li> </ul>
Education, Training, and Outreach (ETO) Program	<ul> <li>Comprehensive engagement with students, faculty, and practicing scientists, engineers, and regulators</li> <li>Leverage EPRI's structured technology transfer approach</li> </ul>
Validation <b>Validation</b> <b>Validation</b> <b>Validation</b> <b>Validation</b> <b>Validation</b>	<ul> <li>One entire focus area dedicated to validation and UQ</li> <li>Extensive reactor design information and test and operational data</li> <li>Data validation needs and sources identified: Integral and separate-effects tests, PIE of used fuels, plant and in-core diagnostics, in- and out-of-pile testing of prototypic fuels</li> </ul>
Virtual Office, Community, and Computing (VOCC)	<ul> <li>Integration and application of latest and emerging technologies to build an extended "virtual one roof"</li> </ul>









