## The Future of Performance Engineering in HPC

#### **Jeffrey S. Vetter**

**Oak Ridge National Lab and Georgia Tech** 

SciDAC Performance Engineering Research Institute INCITE Performance Evaluation and Analysis Consortium (PEAC) End Station

> http://ft.ornl.gov vetter@ornl.gov

## **Talk Highlights**

- Significant trends emerging in HPC
  - Architectural complexity: multicore, heterogeneity, power mgt
  - Scale
  - Application complexity: multiphase, multiscale, multiresoution
- Taken together, these trends can create a widening gap between expected and realized performance
- Performance engineering is critical to address this gap
  - Measurement
  - Prediction
  - Optimization
- Some performance engineering solutions that help to close this gap
  - Engagement
    - Frequent interaction between applications teams and performance experts
  - Tools
    - Instrumentation, collection, and analysis tools for measurement
  - Automatic optimization
    - Static and dynamic optimization of applications and libraries
    - Integrate of performance engineering into application/system lifecycle
  - Feedback to architects and system software designers

## **Years of Prosperity**



## **'New' constraints for architectures**

- Power
- Heat / thermal envelope
- Signaling
- Packaging
- Instruction level parallelism
- Memory, I/O, interconnect latency and bandwidth
- Market trends favor 'good enough' computing – *Economist*



Heat dissipation of the latest Intel processors, such as high end Pentium 4 and Pentium 4 E, has become a widely discussed issue. Reasons and consequences of astonishingly high thermal levels Intel's chips achieve is probably something the industry is looking at pretty thoroughly, as the general trend for semiconductors' evolution is increase of heat dissipation, which rises necessity of cooling the chips down that also a problem itself. Intel seems to understand the difficulty very well, as the company's Chief Technology Officer Patrick Gelsinger talked on the matter during IDF show.

## **Architectural Complexity – Multicore**

AMD quad-core due on Sept 10

- 4 cores
- Enhanced FPUs
- Shared resources
  - L3 cache
  - Hypertransport links
  - Memory controllers
- Independent clock frequencies



#### Architectural Complexity – Multicore Core count is easy to increase. Resource contention is a challenge!



#### Architectural Complexity – neterogeneity, Specialization

Architectures target specific workloads: games, graphics, business, encryption,







#### **System Scale**

#### Interconnect design and cost limits system scale



# processors

#### Performance Engineering encompasses Measurement, Prediction, and Optimization



#### **STI Cell Demonstrates these Sensitivities**

GPUs, FPGAs, and other devices are similar



### HPC Challenge Benchmarks Demonstrate these Issues

#### HPCC on Cray X1 – Baseline v. Optimized



## **Application Diversity**

- Multi-phase, multi-scale applications present challenges in performance engineering
  - Multiple languages
  - Multiple phases of physics, chemistry
  - Adaptive meshing, multigrid solvers, etc

Applications teams know this best!

#### **Application Diversity**

#### Dwarfs illustrate some dimensions of this diversity

Science Domain	Code	Structured Grids	Unstructured Grids	FFT	Dense Linear Algebra	Sparse Linear Algebra	Particles	Monte Carlo
Accelerator Physics	ТЗР		x			x		
Astrophysics	CHIMERA	X			X	X	X	
Astrophysics	VULCAN/2D		X		X			
Biology	LAMMPS			X			X	
Chemistry	MADNESS		X		X			
Chemistry	NWCHEM			X	X			
Chemistry	OReTran	X		X	X			
Climate	CAM	X		X			X	
Climate	POP/CICE	X				X	X	
Climate	MITgcm	X				X	X	
Combustion	S3D	X						
Fusion	AORSA	X		X	X			
Fusion	GTC	X				X	X	X
Fusion	GYRO	X		X	X	X		
Geophysics	PFLOTRAN	X	X			X		
Materials Science	QMC/DCA				x			x
Materials Science	QBOX			x	x		x	
Nanoscience	CASINO						X	X
Nanoscience	LSMS	X			X			
Nuclear Energy	NEWTRNX		x		x	x		
Nuclear Physics	CCSD				x			
QCD	MILC	X						X

## **Observations**

- Take together, these three trends have the potential for creating a widening gap between expected and realized performance
- Performance engineering is critical to address this gap
  - Measurement
  - Prediction
  - Optimization
- We must feed this information back to architects and system software designers



## **Some Performance Engineering Solutions**

#### Engagement

- Frequent interaction between applications teams and performance experts
- ➡ Tools
  - Instrumentation, collection, and analysis tools for measurement
- Automatic optimization
  - Static and dynamic optimization of applications and libraries
  - Integrate of performance engineering into application/system lifecycle

Feedback to architects and system software designers

## **Engagement: SciDAC PERI**

- Application Engagement
  - Work directly with DOE computational scientists
  - Ensure successful performance porting of scientific software
  - Focus PERI research on real problems
- Application Liaisons
  - Build long-term personal relationships with PERI researchers and scientific code teams
- Tiger Teams
  - Focus on DOE's highest priorities
    - SciDAC-2
    - INCITE

See <u>www.peri-scidac.org</u> for more info.





#### Tools: Software Development Tools for Petascale Computing

- Assembled ~60 experts in software development tools to identify challenges for Petascale
- See Fred Johnson's presentation

#### SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT TOOLS FOR Petascale Computing Workshop Washington, DC

#### August 1-2, 2007

Home
Registration
Agenda (pdf)
Lodging
Transportation
Area Attractions
Sponsors
Contacts

#### Software Development Tools for PetaScale Computing Workshop

Petascale computing systems will soon be available to DOE science community. To prepare for the deployment and productive use of these platforms, DOE must ensure that software development tools, such as performance analyzers and debuggers, surpass application requirements for scalability, functionality, reliability, and easy of use. In this workshop, we will assemble experts in the area of software development tools for high performance computing in order to identify and prioritize these opportunities and gaps.

Attendance is by invitation only.



### **Tools: Traditional Performance Analysis of Communication Operations**

- Many MPI tools use tracing
  - Produces very detailed information about communication activity
  - Illustrates dependencies among tasks

<b>1</b>			VAMPIR	- Global Timeline			
			sweep3d.mpi.stf (	1:16.633 - 1:26.114	= 9.48 s)	1:24.0	1:26.0
Process 0	MPI_Recv	<mark>319</mark>	MPI_Allreduce	1.22.0		1.24.0	MPI
Process 1	MPI_Recv	319 SWEE	P MPI_Allreduce				
Process 2	MPI_Recv <mark>319</mark>	MPi_Recv	319 MPI_Allreduce_				
Process 3	319	MPI_Recv	MPI_Allredu	ice			
Process 4	MPI_Re	CV	SWEERME	PI_Allreduce			
Process 5	MPI_Recv		316	MPI_Allreduce			
Process 6	MPI_Recv			316 I4PI_Alir	educe		<b></b>
Process 7	MPI_Recv			319	MPI_Allred	uce	
Process 8	MPI_Recv			319	MPI_A	Allreduce	
Process 9	MPI_Recv			<mark>.</mark> 916	316 316	MPI_Allreduce	
Process 10	MPI_Recv				<mark>319</mark> 212	MPI_Allreduce	
Process 11	MPI_Recv				<mark>319</mark>	MPI_Allredu	ce
Process 12	MPI_Recv					212 MPI_AI	reduce
Process 13	MPI_Recv					819 212 MPI_AI	Ireduce
Process 14	MPI_Recv						257
Process 15	MPI_Recv						319 16/32 bars
$\triangleleft$							

## **Tools: Timeline with 1024 tasks**

n: VAMPIR - Timeline	100 07 + 100 07 + 100 070 - 50 001 +)	<<
		4501 5001 5503 1000 PPPI Applicatio
this application execu	iting efficiently? 🧮	
ow will this work for 64	x or 128x??	

#### **Tools: Automatic Classification for Communication Performance Analysis**

- Use decision tree classification (a supervised learning technique) to classify application's messages automatically
- Compare an application's message operations to 'normal' communication for a particular MPI configuration

➤Modeling Phase (once)

-Use benchmarks to generate decision tree

-Both efficient and inefficient

➤Classification Phase (many)

-Execute application -Analyze application trace with classifier based on decision tree



## Automatic Optimization: SciDAC PERI Framework

- Long-term goals for PERI
- Automate the process of tuning software to maximize its performance
- Build upon forty years of human experience and recent success with libraries
  - PHIPAC, ATLAS, FFTW, SPIRAL, SPOOLES
- Reduce the performance portability challenge facing computational scientists
- Address the problem that performance experts are in short supply



**PERI** automatic tuning framework

# Automatic Optimization: Engineering Applications for Performance Throughout their Lifecycle

Use performance assertions to verify the performance explicitly

```
pa_start(&pa, "$nFlops", PA_AEQ, "11 * %g * %g", &ym, &xm);
 1:
      for (j=ys; j<ys+ym; j++) {</pre>
 2:
        for (i=xs; i<xs+xm; i++) {</pre>
 3:
          if (i == 0 || j == 0 || i == Mx-1 || j == My-1) {
 4:
            f[j][i] = x[j][i];
 5:
          } else {
 6:
 7:
                    = x[i][i];
            u
            uxx = (two*u - x[j][i-1] - x[j][i+1])*hydhx;
 8:
 9:
            uyy = (two*u - x[j-1][i] - x[j+1][i])*hxdhy;
10:
            f[j][i] = uxx + uyy - sc*PetscExpScalar(u);
11:
12:
        }
      }
13:
14:
      pa end(pa);
     PetscLogFlops(11*ym*xm);
15:
```

► Expression

- "\$nFlops", PA\_AEQ, "11 \* %g \* %g", &ym, &xm
- Empirically measure number of floating point operations with instrumentation
- Test approximate equality (±10%) to '11 \* ym \* xm'?
- Empirical measurements verify performance model

## Many Other Performance Engineering Topics...

- Performance prediction
  - Analytical modeling
  - Simulation
  - Hybrid
  - Historical predictions
- New programming models, languages
- Reliability, correctness, fault tolerance
- **> 10**
- Cooperation with vendors on hardware and software architecture and performance engineering support
- ⇒ Etc…

## Summary

- Significant trends emerging in HPC
  - Architectural complexity: multicore, heterogeneity, power mgt
  - Scale
  - Application complexity: multiphase, multiscale, multiresoution
- Taken together, these trends can create a gap between expected and realized performance
- Performance engineering is critical to bridging this gap
  - Measurement
  - Prediction
  - Optimization
  - Feedback to architects and system software designers
- Some performance engineering solutions that help to close this gap
  - Engagement
    - Frequent interaction between applications teams and performance experts
  - Tools
    - Instrumentation, collection, and analysis tools for measurement
  - Automatic optimization
    - Static and dynamic optimization of applications and libraries
    - Integrate of performance engineering into application/system lifecycle
  - Feedback to architects and system software designers

## Acknowledgements

- Thanks to contributors, sponsors
  - ORNL Future Tech team <a href="http://ft.ornl.gov">http://ft.ornl.gov</a>
  - SciDAC PERI <u>http://www.peri-scidac.org</u>
  - INCITE PEAC
  - NCCS <u>http://nccs.gov</u>
  - Experimental Computing Lab @ ORNL
  - Cray, AMD
- More information
  - Future Tech: Publications: http://ft.ornl.gov/pubs
- Acknowledgements
  - This research was sponsored by the Office of Mathematical, Information, and Computational Sciences, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a non-exclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.